

On Complete Sublattices of Formations of Finite Groups

N. N. Vorob'ev^{1*}

¹*P. M. Masherov Vitebsk State University
Moskovskii pr. 33, Vitebsk, 2100 Republic of Belarus*

Received September 3, 2016

Abstract—We prove that the lattice of all τ -closed saturated formations of finite groups is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all τ -closed solubly saturated formations of finite groups.

DOI: 10.3103/S1066369X18010036

Keywords: *finite group, formation of groups, subgroup functor, τ -closed formation, saturated formation, solubly saturated formation, complete lattice of formations, complete sublattice.*

INTRODUCTION

All groups in question are finite. It is known that the set of all formations \mathcal{F} is a complete lattice with respect to the inclusion \subseteq . Recall that a nonempty set of formations Θ is called a *complete lattice of formations* ([1], P. 151) if the intersection of any family of formations from Θ belongs to Θ and there is a formation \mathfrak{F} in Θ such that $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ for any formation \mathfrak{H} from Θ . Various families of formations can form complete lattices, in particular, the family of all saturated formations \mathcal{L} and the family of all solubly saturated formations \mathcal{C} ([1], P. 151; [2], P. 97).

It is well-known that a sublattice of a complete lattice \mathcal{P} may be a complete lattice and be not a complete sublattice of \mathcal{P} ([3], Chap. V, P. 195). A *sublattice* \mathcal{H} of a complete lattice \mathcal{P} is called *complete* if $\sup_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\inf_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{H}$ for any nonempty subset $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

This being the case, we have $\sup_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{X} = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X}$ and $\inf_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{X} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{X}$.

The property of completeness for sublattices of formations was studied in [1], [4–6], [7] (P. 273). Note that the fact that sublattices of saturated and solubly saturated formations are complete was established due to functor methods in the study of formations (see A. N. Skiba's monograph [1]). A formation \mathfrak{F} is called *saturated* if the condition $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ implies $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. A formation \mathfrak{F} is called *solubly saturated* if the condition $G/\Phi(R(G)) \in \mathfrak{F}$ always implies $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. The symbol $R(G)$ denotes the greatest soluble normal subgroup of a group G . For a nonempty saturated formation \mathfrak{F} , it is accepted to write $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$ and say that \mathfrak{F} is a saturated formation with local satellite f ([2], P. 20; [8], P. 356).

In [9], A. N. Skiba introduced multiply saturated and totally saturated formations. Every formation is considered to be *0-tuply saturated*. For $n \geq 1$, a formation \mathfrak{F} is called an *n-tuply saturated* if $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$, where all nonempty values of the local satellite f are $(n - 1)$ -tuply saturated formations. A formation is called *totally saturated* if it is n -tuply saturated for all natural numbers n .

Let $\tau(G)$ be a system of subgroups in a group G . It is said that τ is a *subgroup functor* (in the sense of A. N. Skiba, [1], P. 16) if the following conditions hold:

- 1) $G \in \tau(G)$;

*E-mail: vornic2001@mail.ru.