

UNIVALENCE OF SOLUTION OF THE EXTERIOR INVERSE
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM
WITH RESPECT TO PARAMETERS x, y

N.R. Abubakirov

In paper [1] the solution of the exterior inverse boundary value problem (IBVP) with respect to parameters x, y was constructed. The objective of this article is to obtain sufficient conditions for univalence; however, to this end we should slightly change the statement of the problem in comparison with [1].

It is required to find on the plane of the complex variable $z = x + iy$ a contour $\partial D_z = L_z = L_z^1 \cup L_z^2$ and a function $w(z)$ analytic in the domain $D_z, \infty \in D_z$, via the boundary value condition

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \varphi_1(x) + i\psi_1(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq l, \quad \text{on } L_z^1, \\ w &= \varphi_2(y) + i\psi_2(y), \quad 0 \leq y \leq l, \quad \text{on } L_z^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let us assume that the given univalent functions define on the plane w a closed Jordan contour L_w which is the boundary of a simply connected finite domain $D_w = w(D_z), \partial D_w = L_w = L_w^1 \cup L_w^2$. We also assume that the point $w_0 = w(\infty)$ is a priori fixed (see [2], p.17). After mapping of D_w onto the unit disk $E = \{|\zeta| < 1\}$, which sends w_0 to 0, while the points of the junction of arcs L_w^1 and L_w^2 to the points $e^{i\gamma_A}$ and $e^{i\gamma_B}$, respectively, and $0 < \gamma_A < \pi, \gamma_B = 2\pi - \gamma_A$, in order to find a function $z(\zeta)$ analytic in E with except for a pole in zero, we obtain the Hilbert boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} z(e^{i\gamma}) &= x(\gamma), \quad \gamma_A \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_B, \\ \operatorname{Im} z(e^{i\gamma}) &= y(\gamma), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_A, \quad \gamma_B \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $x(\gamma)$ and $y(\gamma)$ are known monotone functions which are assumed to be Hölder. We denote by K the class of all functions $\varphi_1, \psi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_2$, which satisfy all the above conditions. The two cases can take place here: $x(\gamma)$ decreases while $y(\gamma)$ increases, or vice versa. For the sake of definiteness, we assume that the first possibility is realized.

In a way analogous to that in [1] we define a solution of problem (2) in the form

$$z(\zeta) = -iF_0(\zeta) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{c(\sigma)}{|F_0(e^{i\sigma})|} \frac{e^{i\sigma} + \zeta}{e^{i\sigma} - \zeta} d\sigma + iB_0 + C\zeta - \frac{\overline{C}}{\zeta} \right), \tag{3}$$

The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant no. 02-01-00914.

©2003 by Allerton Press, Inc.

Authorization to photocopy individual items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Allerton Press, Inc. for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$ 50.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.