## Scale analysis of the generalized supercritical fluid extraction model for diffusive mass transfer in polydisperse packs

## A.A. Salamatin

Kazan Federal Univ., Dept. Aerohydromechanics, Kremlyovskaya Str. 18, 420008 Kazan, Russia; fax. +7 (843) 292-72-79, e-mail: arthouse131@rambler.ru

Elaborate supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) models conventionally distinguish between different mechanisms of the solvent mass transfer on the marco-scale level of porous packing bed in the vessel and micro-scale level of single particles. While macro-scale modelling is traditionally based on spatially averaged convective mass balance equations, generally accounting for axial dispersion effects [1-4], the principles of particle-scale models are not so well established or, even, understood. As a rule, two limiting approaches are discussed and employed in SFE data interpretation; they are the shrinking core (SC) model [1, 2] and broken and intact cells (BIC) model [3, 4]. The latter one assumes high longitudinal permeability of the internal microscopic channels (cell walls), resulting in lumped parameter system approximation with average solute concentration in the ground material. On the other hand, the SC model considers the case of high transversal permeability of the cell membranes and assigns all the mass transfer resistance to diffusion along the transport channels. Consequently, the narrow diffusive front moves inside each particle and separates the shrinking oil-filled core from the outer depleted transport zone. Obviously, there exists a wide intermediate range of the ground-plant-material properties when typical rates of solute diffusion across the cell membranes are on the same order as those through the particle, along its transport channels, and the two above (SC- and BIC-) limits fail to describe the SFE process on the micro-scale level.

A generalized SFE model for diffusive solvent-mass transfer in particles is proposed and analysed in this study on the basis of scale analysis. Each (spherical) particle is considered as



an agglomerate of cells (Fig. 1). Every cell is considered as a lumped system containing solvent (1) with dissolved-solute concentration  $\theta_s$ and droplets (4) of undissolved oil with volumeaveraged density x (initial value  $x_0$ ). The cells are bounded by cell membranes (6) surrounded by cell walls (2) which together with intercellular voids (3) compose the so-called apoplast transport system with solute concentration  $\theta_a$  and apparent diffusion coefficient  $D_a$ . For finite solute saturation

concentration  $\theta_*$ , the relationship between x and  $\theta_s$  is written as

$$\theta_s = \min\left\{\theta_*; x / (1 - \varepsilon_0)\right\},\tag{1}$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the cell volume fraction, occupied by insoluble substances (5). Eq. (1) implies that undissolved oil (if any) instantaneously dissolves in the solvent inside the cell up to saturation level  $\theta_*$ .

Fig. 1. Schematic of internal structure of ground particles. 1 -solute, dissolved in the solvent, 2 -cell wall, 3 -intercellular space (voids), 4 -undissolved oil, 5 -insoluble substances (proteins, etc.), 6 -cell membrane (bold solid line).

Eventually, mass-balance master equations of solute diffusion inside a particle can be presented in terms of dimensionless time  $\tau$ , radial coordinate *r*, and concentrations *x*, and  $\theta_s$ ,  $\theta_a$  normalized by their respective scales  $\tau_{sc}$ , *a*,  $x_0$ , and  $\theta_*$ 

$$\delta_{cw} \frac{M}{1+M} \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial \tau} = (1-\varepsilon) M \left( \theta_s - \theta_a \right) + \frac{1}{6} \Delta \theta_a, \qquad \frac{M}{1+M} \left( (1-\varepsilon) \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial \tau} + \delta_{cw} \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial \tau} \right) = \frac{1}{6} \Delta \theta_a, \quad (2a)$$

$$\theta_{s} = \min\left\{1; \frac{x}{\Theta}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \frac{a^{2}\beta_{c}}{2D_{a}}, \quad \delta_{cw} = \frac{\varepsilon\Theta}{1-\varepsilon_{0}}, \quad \Theta = (1-\varepsilon_{0})\frac{\theta_{*}}{x_{0}}$$
(2b)  
$$\tau_{sc} = \frac{x_{0}}{\theta_{*}} \left(\frac{1}{3\beta_{c}} + \frac{a^{2}}{6D_{a}}\right).$$

Here,  $\beta_c$  is the reduced mass transfer coefficient across the cell membranes (units  $-s^{-1}$ ),  $\varepsilon$  (~0.01) is the apoplact volume fraction, a – particle radius, and  $\tau_{sc}$  is the timescale of particle full depletion defined as the sum of two typical times of diffusion across the cell membrane and along the cell wall. Parameter  $\delta_{cw}$ , as well as  $\varepsilon$ , is usually negligible if compared to 1, and only two dimensionless complexes, similarity numbers,  $\Theta$  and M entirely specify the SFE regime.

For  $\Theta \ll 1$ , i.e. for plant material with high initial oil content such as seeds, two limiting cases of small (I) and high (II) values of M can be formally defined. Asymptotic expansion of Eqs. (2) in case I ( $\Theta$ , M  $\ll 1$ ) shows that the principal-order approximation corresponds to BIC model. In case II ( $\Theta \ll 1$ , M  $\gg 1$ ), the SC model is obtained with the width of moving diffusive front estimated as  $aM^{-0.5}$ . At the same time, it must be emphasized that the above scale analysis performed for polydisperse packed beds with typical range of particle size,  $a \sim 10^{-4}-10^{-3}$  m, reveals at  $D_a / \beta_c$ -ratios  $\sim 10^{-7}$  m<sup>2</sup> a wide spectrum of various SFE scenarios from BIC- to SC-limits simultaneously existing in different particle-size fractions with different M-values from M  $\sim 0.1$  (for smaller particles) to M  $\sim 10$  (for bigger particles). Consequently, only BIC- or SC-type limiting mass transfer models alone may not be applicable for kinetic data description on micro-scale level in case of polydisperse packed beds.

The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research and the Republic of Tatarstan, grant No. 15-41-02542 r\_povolzhe\_a, and grant No. 16-31-00007 mol\_a.

## **References:**

[1] Goto M., Roy B.C., Hirose T. Shrinking core leaching model for supercritical fluid extraction // J. Supercrit. Fluids. 1996. V. 9. № 2. P. 128-133.

[2] *Egorov A.G., Salamatin A.A.* Bidisperse shrinking core model for supercritical fluid extraction // Chem. Eng. Technol. 2015, V. 38, № 7, P. 1203-1211.

[3] Sovova H. Rate of the vegetable oil extraction with supercritical  $CO_2 - I$ . Modelling of extraction curves // Chem. Eng. Sci. 1994. V. 49. No 3. P. 409-414.

[4] *Sovova H.* Steps of supercritical fluid extraction of natural products and their characteristic times // **J. Supercrit. Fluids.** 2012. V. 66. P. 73-79.