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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $*$-algebra of all linear bounded operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Sufficient conditions for the positivity and invertibility of operators from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are found. An arbitrary symmetry from a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is written as the product $A^{-1} U A$ with a positive invertible $A$ and a self-adjoint unitary $U$ from $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\varphi$ be the weight on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$, let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\|A\| \leq 1$. If $A^{*} A-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$, then $|A|-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$ and, for any isometry $U \in \mathcal{A}$, the inequality $\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2} \geq\left\|\left||A|-I \|_{\varphi, 2}\right.\right.$ holds. If $U$ is a unitary operator from the polar expansion of the invertible operator $A$, then this inequality becomes an equality.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $*$-algebra of all linear bounded operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Searching for sufficient conditions for the positivity and invertibility of operators from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is one of the problems of operator theory; see, for example, [1]-[7] and the bibliography therein. Let us describe the results obtained.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$, let $B$ be invertible, let $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be an operator-monotone function with $f(0)=0$, and let $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=+\infty$. Then the operator $f\left(f^{-1}(A)+B\right)-A$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$and is invertible (Theorem 1; the positivity of the operator $B$ is important here). Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and let $A$ be left-invertible; let $\left(\lambda-\lambda^{2}\right)|A|^{2} \geq 2|B|^{2}$ for some number $0<\lambda<1$. Then the operator $|A+B|^{2}-|B|^{2}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$and is invertible (Theorem 2). For unital $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $S \in \mathcal{A}$, the equivalence of the following conditions was established in [8, Corollary 1]:
(i) $S^{2}=I$;
(ii) $S=T^{-1} U T$ for an invertible operator $T$ and a Hermitian unitary $U$ from $\mathcal{A}$. For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the operator $T$ can be chosen positive (Theorem 4).

The study of traces and weights on operator algebras is an important part of the work on the theory of noncommutative integration (see [9]-[11]) and constantly attracts the attention of researchers; see, for example, [12]-[15] and bibliography therein.

Let $\varphi$ be the weight on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\|A\| \leq 1$. If $A^{*} A-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$, then $|A|-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$ and, for any isometry $U \in \mathcal{A}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2} \geq\left\|\left||A|-I \|_{\varphi, 2} .\right.\right.
$$

If the operator $U$ is a unitary operator from the polar expansion of the invertible operator $A$, then this inequality becomes an equality (Theorem 5). Let $\varphi$ be a finite weight on the unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $U \in \mathcal{A}$ be an isometry. Then

$$
\|A-z U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2} \geq\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}-\varphi(I)^{-1}\left|\varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)\right|^{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

(Theorem 6).
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## 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

The left (respectively, right) ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector subspace $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
A \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text { and } \quad B \in \mathcal{J} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A B \in \mathcal{J} \quad \text { (respectively, } B A \in \mathcal{J})
$$

By a $C^{*}$-algebra we mean a complex Banach $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\left\|A^{*} A\right\|=\|A\|^{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad A \in \mathcal{A}
$$

For the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we let $\mathcal{A}^{\text {id }}, \mathcal{A}^{\text {sa }}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{+}$denote its subsets of idempotents $\left(A=A^{2}\right)$, Hermitian $\left(A^{*}=A\right)$ elements, and positive elements, respectively. If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $|A|=\sqrt{A^{*} A} \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$and $\operatorname{Re}\{A\}=\left(A+A^{*}\right) / 2 \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {sa }}$. If $I$ is the unit in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then the formula $S_{P}=2 P-I$ defines the bijection between $\mathcal{A}^{\text {id }}$ and the set $\mathcal{A}^{\text {sym }}$ of all symmetries $\left(S^{2}=I\right)$ in $\mathcal{A}$. By $\mathcal{A}^{\text {inv }}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text {u }}$ we denote the subsets of invertible elements and unitary $\left(A^{*} A=A A^{*}=I\right)$ elements, respectively. An element $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is called an isometr if $A^{*} A=I$.

By a weight on $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we mean a mapping $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{+} \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ such that

$$
\varphi(X+Y)=\varphi(X)+\varphi(Y), \quad \varphi(\lambda X)=\lambda \varphi(X) \quad \text { for all } \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{A}^{+}, \quad \lambda \geq 0
$$

(here $0 \cdot(+\infty) \equiv 0$ ). A weight $\varphi$ is sad to be exact if $\varphi(X)=0 \Rightarrow X=0, X \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$. For the weight $\varphi$, we define (see [16, Chap. II, II.6.7.3], [11, Chap. 2, Sec. 11])

- $\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{+}=\left\{X \in \mathcal{A}^{+}: \varphi(X)<+\infty\right\}, \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{sa}}=\operatorname{lin}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{+}$;
- $\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}=\left\{A \in \mathcal{A}: A^{*} A \in \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{+}\right\}$is a left ideal of $\mathcal{A}$;
- $\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}=\sqrt{\varphi\left(A^{*} A\right)}\left(A \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}\right)$ be seminorm (norm for exact $\varphi$ ) to $\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$.

The restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{+}}$can be extended by linearity to a functional on $\mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}=\operatorname{lin}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{+}$, this restriction will be denoted by the same letter $\varphi$. Such an extension allows us to identify finite weights (i.e., $\varphi(X)<+\infty$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$) with positive functionals in $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $*$-algebra of all linear bounded operators in $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\sigma(A)$ be the spectrum of the operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Any $C^{*}$-algebra can be realized as a $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (Gelfand-Naimark; see [17, Theorem 3.4.1]). A locally convex topology in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, defined by the semi-norms $X \mapsto\|X \xi\|(\xi \in \mathcal{H})$, is called a strong operator topology (so-topology). By the commutator of a set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we mean the set

$$
\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}): X Y=Y X \text { for all } X \in \mathcal{X}\}
$$

By a von Neumann algebra acting in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we mean a $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for which $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$. For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we let $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ denote its lattice of projectors $\left(A=A^{*}=A^{2}\right)$. For an operator $X \in \mathcal{A}$, by $\operatorname{rp}(X)$ we will denote its rank projector, i.e., the projector onto the closure of the range of the operator $X$; we have $\operatorname{rp}(X) \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {pr }}$. For $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}=n<\infty$ the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is identified with the complete matrix algebra $\mathbb{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A function $f: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be

- matrix monotone of order $n$ or $n$-monotone if, for all $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\text {sa }}$ with $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, the inequality $A \leq B$ means $f(A) \leq f(B)$;
- operator-monotone, If it is $n$-monotone for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $f$ is 2 -monotone, then $f \in C^{1}(\mathcal{I})$ and $f^{\prime}>0$ for $f \neq$ const. A function $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is operator-monotone if and only if it is operator concave, i.e.,

$$
f(\lambda A+(1-\lambda) B) \geq \lambda f(A)+(1-\lambda) f(B) \quad \text { for all } \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}
$$

and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Examples:

1) $f(t)=t^{p}, 0 \leq p \leq 1$;
2) $f(t)=(t-1) / \log (t), f(0):=0, f(1):=1$; see $[18$, Sec. 2].

## 3. ON THE INVERTIBILITY OF THE OPERATORS

Lemma 1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be an operator-monotone function with $f(0)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=$ $+\infty$, and let $t_{0}>0$. Then

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \exists \delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0 \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \quad(f(t+\varepsilon) \geq f(t)+\delta)
$$

Proof. By [19, Chap. VII, Theorem 4], a 2-monotone function $f, f \neq$ const, can be expressed in the form $f(t)=\int_{0}^{t} d x / c(x)^{2}$, where the function $c(x)>0$ is also concave for all $x>0$. Let us rewrite the inequality $f(t+\varepsilon) \geq f(t)+\delta$ in the form $\int_{0}^{t+\varepsilon} d x / c(x)^{2} \geq \int_{0}^{t} d x / c(x)^{2}+\delta$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \frac{d x}{c(x)^{2}} \geq \delta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove that the function $1 / c(x)^{2}$ decreases. Suppose that $1 / c(x)^{2}$ is strictly increasing on an interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then the function

$$
f(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d x}{c(x)^{2}}
$$

will be strictly convex on $(a, b)$, which is impossible, because every operator-monotone function on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$ is concave. It is now clear that the number $\delta=\varepsilon / c\left(t_{0}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}$ satisfies inequality (1).

Theorem 1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$, where $B$ is invertible, and let $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be an operator-monotone function with $f(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=+\infty$. Then

$$
\text { operator } f\left(f^{-1}(A)+B\right)-A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+} \text {and invertible. }
$$

Proof. Since $f^{-1}(A)+B \geq f^{-1}(A)$, holds in view of the fact that the function $f$ is operator-monotone, we have $f\left(f^{-1}(A)+B\right)-A \geq 0$. In view of the inequality $B \geq 0$, where $B$ is invertible, there exists a number $\varepsilon>0$ such that $B \geq \varepsilon I$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(f^{-1}(A)+B\right) \geq f\left(f^{-1}(A)+\varepsilon I\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the function $f$ is operator-monotone. Let us prove that there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(f^{-1}(A)+\varepsilon I\right) \geq A+\delta I \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, we use the spectral theorem in the form of multipliers (for the operator $A$ ) and Lemma 1 with a sufficiently large parameter $t_{0}$, because inequality (3) is in a "commutative" setting. In this case, the operator $A$ is regarded as a nonnegative essentially bounded measurable function on a measure space $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, which is the direct sum of spaces with finite measures. Now, from (2), (3), we obtain $f\left(f^{-1}(A)+B\right)-A \geq \delta I$, as required.

For the function $f(t)=\sqrt{t}, t \geq 0$, the choice of the number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ can be made without using Lemma 1. Let us take a number $\delta>0$ such that $\varepsilon \geq 2 \delta\|A\|+\delta^{2}$. Then

$$
\varepsilon I \geq 2 \delta\|A\| I+\delta^{2} I \geq 2 \delta A+\delta^{2} I, \quad A^{2}+\varepsilon I \geq A^{2}+2 \delta A+\delta^{2} I=(A+\delta I)^{2}
$$

thus, (3) is established, because the $f$ function is operator-monotone.
Example 1. The positivity of the operator $B$ is essential in Theorem 1. In $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$
\text { for } \quad A=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { we have } \quad A^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

For an invertible matrix

$$
B=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-4 & -1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

the matrix

$$
A^{2}+B=\sqrt{A^{2}+B}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a projector. Therefore, the matrix

$$
\sqrt{A^{2}+B}-A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \leq 0
$$

is invertible.
$\{\operatorname{th} 2: x 350\}$
Theorem 2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $A$ be left-invertible, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-\lambda^{2}\right)|A|^{2} \geq 2|B|^{2} \quad \text { for some number } \quad 0<\lambda<1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\{eq4:x350\}
Then the operator $|A+B|^{2}-|B|^{2} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$is invertible.
Proof. The operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is left-invertible if it is bounded below, i.e.,

$$
\exists \varepsilon>0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{H} \quad(\|A \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\|\xi\|)
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle A^{*} A \xi, \xi\right\rangle=\langle A \xi, A \xi\rangle=\|A \xi\|^{2} \geq \varepsilon^{2}\|\xi\|^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\langle I \xi, \xi\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}
$$

i.e., $A^{*} A \geq \varepsilon^{2} I$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B|^{2}-|B|^{2} & =(1-\lambda)|A|^{2}+\lambda|A|^{2}+A^{*} B+B^{*} A+\frac{1}{\lambda}|B|^{2}-\frac{1}{\lambda}|B|^{2} \\
& =(1-\lambda)|A|^{2}+\left|\sqrt{\lambda} A+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} B\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{\lambda}|B|^{2} \\
& \geq(1-\lambda)|A|^{2}-\frac{1}{\lambda}|B|^{2} \geq \frac{1-\lambda}{2}|A|^{2} \geq \frac{(1-\lambda) \varepsilon^{2}}{2} I
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2. Condition (4) is essential in Theorem 2. For an arbitrary number $0<\varepsilon<1 / 10$ in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, let us put

$$
A=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1+\varepsilon & 1-\varepsilon \\
1-\varepsilon & 1+\varepsilon
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. We have

$$
|A|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1+\varepsilon^{2} & 1-\varepsilon^{2} \\
1-\varepsilon^{2} & 1+\varepsilon^{2}
\end{array}\right) \nexists\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=2|B|^{2}
$$

i.e., condition (4) does not hold for all $0<\lambda<1$. The operator

$$
|A+B|^{2}-|B|^{2}=(A+B)^{2}-B^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
6+4 \varepsilon+2 \varepsilon^{2} & 4-2 \varepsilon-2 \varepsilon^{2} \\
4-2 \varepsilon-2 \varepsilon^{2} & 2+2 \varepsilon^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is invertible and has a negative eigenvalue.
Lemma 2. Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$, and let the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$ so-converge. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$, and let

$$
0<a=\inf _{n \geq 1} \lambda_{n} \leq \sup _{n \geq 1} \lambda_{n}=b<\infty
$$

Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} A_{n}$ so-converges and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \text { invertible } \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} A_{n} \text { invertible. }
$$

Proof. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} A_{n}$ so - converges due to [20, Theorem 2]. Note that

1) $a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} A_{n} \leq b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$;
2) $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}$is invertible $\leftrightarrow \exists \varepsilon>0$ such that $A \geq \varepsilon I$.

Theorem 3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and let $\lambda, \mu>0$. Then
(i) if the operator $A^{*} B+B^{*} A$ is invertible then so is $\lambda A^{*} A+\mu A A^{*}$ :
(ii) if $A \geq 0$ and $A B^{*}+B A$ is invertible then so is $\lambda A+\mu B A B^{*}$.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2, we can put $\lambda=\mu=1$. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{+}, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text {sa }}$, and let $-X \leq Y \leq X$. If $Y$ is invertible, then so is $X$ [21, Corollary 2].
(i) Since $(A \pm B)^{*}(A \pm B) \geq 0$, we have

$$
-A^{*} A-B^{*} B \leq A^{*} B+B^{*} A \leq A^{*} A+B^{*} B .
$$

(ii) Since $(\sqrt{A} \pm B \sqrt{A})(\sqrt{A} \pm B \sqrt{A})^{*} \geq 0$, we have

$$
-A-B A B^{*} \leq A B^{*}+B A \leq A+B A B^{*} .
$$

## 4. SYMMETRIES AND IDEALS IN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

For the unital $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $S \in \mathcal{A}$, the equivalence of the following conditions was established in [8, Corollary 1]:
(i) $S^{2}=I$, i.e., $S \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {sym }}$;
(ii) $S=T^{-1} U T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {inv }}$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{u}} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\text {sa }}$.

Let us prove that, for a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the operator $T$ can be chosen to be positive.
Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra, and let $S \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $S^{2}=I$;
(ii) $S=A^{-1} U A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\text {inv }}$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{u}} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\text {sa }}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Formula $S_{P}=2 P-I$ defines the bijection between the sets $\mathcal{A}^{\text {id }}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text {sym }}$. If $P \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {id }}$, then there exists a $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {inv }}$ such that

$$
Q \equiv T^{-1} P T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {pr }}
$$

[22, Lemma 16]. If $T^{-1}=V\left|T^{-1}\right|$ be polar expansion of the operator $T^{-1}$, then $V=T^{-1}\left|T^{-1}\right|^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {u }}$ and $T=\left|T^{-1}\right|^{-1} V^{*}$ by virtue of the theorem about the converse of the product of operators. Now

$$
P=T Q T^{-1}=\left|T^{-1}\right|^{-1} V^{*} Q V\left|T^{-1}\right|=A^{-1} R A \quad \text { с } \quad A=\left|T^{-1}\right| \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\text {inv }}
$$

and $R=V^{*} Q V \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {pr }}$. Therefore,

$$
S=2 P-I=2 A^{-1} R A-I=A^{-1}(2 R-I) A
$$

and we can put $U=2 R-I$.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a left (or right) ideal in a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}^{+}, I-A \in \mathcal{J}$. Then
(i) $I-A^{1 / 2^{n}} \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii) if $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra and $A \leq I$, then $I-\operatorname{rp}(A) \in \mathcal{J}$; for so-closed $\mathcal{J}$, the condition $A \leq I$ can be omitted.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a left ideal in a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Since

$$
(I+\sqrt{A})(I-\sqrt{A})=I-A \in \mathcal{J}
$$

and the element $I+\sqrt{A}$ is invertible, we have $I-\sqrt{A} \in \mathcal{J}$. Since

$$
\left(I+A^{1 / 4}\right)\left(I-A^{1 / 4}\right)=I-\sqrt{A} \in \mathcal{J}
$$

and the element $I+A^{1 / 4}$ is invertible, we have $I-A^{1 / 4} \in \mathcal{J}$. Continuing this process, we obtain the required result.
(ii) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra, and $A \leq I$. Since $\operatorname{rp}(A) \geq A$, it follows that $I-A \geq$ $I-\operatorname{rp}(A) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{rp}(A) A=A \operatorname{rp}(A)=A$. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$and $X \leq Y$, then there exists a $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|Z\| \leq 1$ such that $\sqrt{X}=Z \sqrt{Y}$ [23, Chap. 1, Sec. 1, Lemma 2]. Therefore,

$$
\sqrt{I-\operatorname{rp}(A)}=I-\operatorname{rp}(A)=Z \sqrt{I-A}
$$

for some $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|Z\| \leq 1$. By virtue of the spectral theorem in the form of multipliers, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I-\operatorname{rp}(A) & =\sqrt{I-\operatorname{rp}(A)}=\sqrt{(I-\operatorname{rp}(A))(I-A)}=(I-\operatorname{rp}(A)) \sqrt{I-A} \\
& =Z \sqrt{I-A} \cdot \sqrt{I-A}=Z(I-A) \in \mathcal{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let the left ideal $\mathcal{J}$ be so-closed. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a convex subset in $\mathcal{A}$, by [9, Chap. II, Sec. 2, item (iv) of Theorem 2.6], it follows that $\mathcal{J}$ is $\sigma$-weakly closed. Therefore, by virtue of [9, Chap. II, Sec. 3, Proposition 3.12] $\mathcal{J}$ contains a single projector $E$ such that $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{A} E$. Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $I-A=X E$. Then

$$
I-\operatorname{rp}(A)=I-A-\operatorname{rp}(A)(I-A)=(I-\operatorname{rp}(A))(I-A)=(I-\operatorname{rp}(A)) X E \in \mathcal{J}
$$

and the lemma is proved.
Theorem 5. Let $\varphi$ be the weight on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\|A\| \leq 1$. If $A^{*} A-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$, then $|A|-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$ and, for any isometry $U \in \mathcal{A}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2} \geq\left\|\left||A|-I \|_{\varphi, 2}\right.\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the operator $U$ is a unitary operator from the polar expansion of the invertible operator $A$, then the equality is achieved in (5).

Proof. Since $|A|=\sqrt{A^{*} A}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{A}$, we have $|A|-I \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$ by virtue of item (i) of Lemma 3 . To prove inequality (5) without loss of generality, it suffices to consider the case of an arbitrary isometry $U \in \mathcal{A}$, for which $A-U \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$. Let $B=|A|-I$; then the polar representation of the operator $A$ can be expressed as $A=W(I+B)$, where $W$ is a unitary operator. Let $V=W^{*} U$; then $V$ is an isometry and

$$
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=\|I+B-V\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=\varphi\left((B+I-V)^{*}(B+I-V)\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=\varphi\left(B^{2}\right)+\varphi(D) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\{\operatorname{th} 5: x 350\}$
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where

$$
D=2 I+2 B-V-V^{*}-B V-V^{*} B \in \mathfrak{M}_{\varphi}^{\mathrm{sa}}
$$

Let us prove that $D \geq 0$. Since $\|A\| \leq 1$, we have $|A|^{2} \leq|A|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =2 I+|A|-I-V-V^{*}-|A| V^{*}+V^{*}-V|A|+V \\
& =I+|A|-|A| V-V^{*}|A|=(|A|-V)^{*}(|A|-V)+\left(|A|-|A|^{2}\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as the sum of two nonnegative operators, and (5) holds due to (6) and the fact that the function $f(t)=\sqrt{t}, t \geq 0$, is monotone.

Let $U$ be a unitary operator from the polar expansion of the operator $A$, i.e., $U=A|A|^{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2} & =\varphi\left(\left(A-A|A|^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(A-A|A|^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(|A|^{2}-|A|^{-1}|A|^{2}-|A|^{2}|A|^{-1}+|A|^{-1}|A|^{2}|A|^{-1}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(|A|^{2}-2|A|+I\right)=\varphi\left((|A|-I)^{2}\right)=\||A|-I\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., in (5), equality is achieved.

For $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \varphi=\operatorname{tr}$, and the unitary operator $U$, the assertion of Theorem 5 was obtained in [24, Chap. VI, Lemma 3.1] and it was shown that, in this particular case, the equal sign in (5) is realized if and only if $U$ is a unitary operator from the polar expansion of an invertible operator $A$.

Lemma 4. For the numbers $a, c>0$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$, let us define a function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, assuming that $f(z)=c|z|^{2}-2 \Re\{z \bar{b}\}+a$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\min _{z \in \mathbb{C}} f(z)=f\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)=a-\frac{|b|^{2}}{c}
$$

Proof. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
f(z)=\left(\sqrt{c} z-\frac{b}{\sqrt{c}}\right)\left(\sqrt{c} \bar{z}-\frac{\bar{b}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)-\frac{|b|^{2}}{c}+a=\left|\sqrt{c} z-\frac{b}{\sqrt{c}}\right|^{2}-\frac{|b|^{2}}{c}+a
$$

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi$ be the weight on a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $U \in \mathcal{A}$ be an isometry. Then
(i) $A \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi} \Leftrightarrow U A \in \mathfrak{N}_{\varphi}$ and $\|U A\|_{\varphi, 2}=\|A\|_{\varphi, 2} ;$
(ii) if $\varphi$ is finite, then $\|A-z U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2} \geq\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}-\varphi(I)^{-1}\left|\varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)\right|^{2}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$
\|U A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=\varphi\left(A^{*} U^{*} U A\right)=\varphi\left(A^{*} A\right)=\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}
$$

(ii) The extension of a finite weight $\varphi$ to the whole algebra $\mathcal{A}$ will be denoted by the same letter $\varphi$. Since $\varphi\left(X^{*}\right)=\overline{\varphi(X)}$ and $\varphi(\operatorname{Re}\{X\})=\Re\{\varphi(X)\}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A-z U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2} & =\varphi\left(\left(A^{*}-\bar{z} U^{*}\right)(A-z U)\right)=\varphi\left(A^{*} A\right)-\varphi\left(2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{\bar{z} U^{*} A\right\}\right)+|z|^{2} \varphi(I) \\
& =\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}-2 \Re\left\{\bar{z} \varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)\right\}+|z|^{2} \varphi(I)
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimum of this expression (for fixed $A$ and $U$ ) is attained at the point $z=\varphi(I)^{-1} \overline{\varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)}$, and it is equal to $\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}-\varphi(I)^{-1}\left|\varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)\right|^{2}$; see Lemma 4.

From Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 with $z=1$, we obtain the following statement.
Corollary 1. Let $\varphi$ be finite weight on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|A\| \leq 1$, and let $U \in \mathcal{A}$ be an isometry. Then

$$
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2} \geq \max \left\{\||A|-I\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2},\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}-\varphi(I)^{-1}\left|\varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

Example 3. Suppose that the positive functional $\varphi: \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by the density matrix $S_{\varphi}=$ $\operatorname{diag}(t+s, t-s)$ with fixed $t>0$ and $0 \leq s \leq t$. Then

$$
\varphi(X)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S_{\varphi} X\right)=(t+s) x_{11}+(t-s) x_{22} \quad \text { for all } \quad X=\left[x_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{2} \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Let us put $A:=\operatorname{diag}(1,0), U:=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1)$. Then

$$
\|A-U\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=\||A|-I\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=t-s
$$

and, in the inequality of Theorem 5 , the equality is achieved for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. We have $\varphi(I)=2 t$ and

$$
\|A\|_{\varphi, 2}^{2}=\varphi\left(U^{*} A\right)=\varphi(A)=t+s
$$

because $A$ is a projector. Inequality in item (ii) of Theorem 6 becomes

$$
t-s \geq t+s-\frac{(t+s)^{2}}{2 t}=\frac{t}{2}-\frac{s^{2}}{2 t}
$$

and, for $s=t$, this inequality becomes an equality. Thus, for $s=t$, the inequality of Corollary 1 also becomes an equality.
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