

INEQUALITIES FOR DETERMINANTS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TRACE

A. M. Bikchentaev

UDC 512.643:517.982

Abstract: Let tr be the canonical trace on the full matrix algebra \mathcal{M}_n with unit I . We prove that if some analog of classical inequalities holds for the determinant and trace (or the permanent and trace) of matrices for a positive functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(I) = n$, then $\varphi = \text{tr}$. Also, we generalize Fischer's inequality for determinants and establish a new inequality for the trace of the matrix exponential.

DOI: 10.1134/S0037446620020068

Keywords: linear functional, matrix, trace, determinant, permanent, matrix exponential, Fischer inequality

Introduction

Let tr be the canonical trace on the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\det(A)$ stand for the determinant of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Let $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$, $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$, $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sa}}$, and \mathcal{M}_n^+ be the lattice of projections ($P = P^2 = P^*$), the set of idempotents ($P = P^2$), the Hermitian part, and the cone of nonnegative definite matrices in \mathcal{M}_n respectively. Let I be the unit of \mathcal{M}_n . We obtain the following generalization of Fischer's inequality for determinants. Suppose that $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$ with $P_i P_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$, $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = I$. Then $\det(\mathcal{P}(A)) \geq \det(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, where $\mathcal{P}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k A P_k^*$ (Theorem 1). For $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$, we demonstrate that $\text{tr}(\exp(\mathcal{P}(A))) \leq \text{tr}(\mathcal{P}(\exp(A)))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ (Theorem 2).

It is well known that validity of each of the Young, Hölder, Cauchy–Bunyakovskii–Schwartz, Golden–Thompson, Peierls–Bogoliubov, and Araki–Lieb–Thirring inequalities implies the equality $\varphi = \text{tr}$ for an arbitrary positive functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(I) = n$ (see [1–4]). Suppose that $\varphi = \text{tr}$, while $\text{per}(A)$ is the permanent, and $\lambda_t(A)$ ($t = 1, \dots, n$) are the eigenvalues of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Then the following relations hold:

- Schur's inequality [5, Chapter III, § 1.4]

$$\sum_{t=1}^n |\lambda_t(A)|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 (= \varphi(AA^*)) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_n;$$

the equality is attained if and only if A is normal;

- the equality [5, Chapter I, § 4.16, formula (1)]

$$\det(\exp(A)) = \exp(\varphi(A)) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_n; \tag{1}$$

- the inequality [6, Problem 3, p. 163] $\det(A)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \varphi(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
- the inequality [5, Chapter II, § 4.4.12] $\text{per}(A) \leq \frac{1}{n} \varphi(A^n)$ for all nonnegative matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sa}}$.

We will demonstrate that validity of each of these four relations implies that $\varphi = \text{tr}$ (Theorems 3 and 4) for an arbitrary positive functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(I) = n$.

The research was funded by the subsidy allocated to Kazan Federal University for the State Assignment in the Sphere of Scientific Activities, Project 1.13556.2019/13.1.

1. Definitions and Notation

A C^* -algebra is a complex Banach $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote by \mathcal{A}^{pr} , \mathcal{A}^{id} , and \mathcal{A}^+ the subsets of projections, idempotents, and positive elements of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Each C^* -algebra can be realized as a C^* -subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (Gelfand–Naimark, see [7, Theorem 3.4.1]).

Recall that $A^* = [\overline{a_{ji}}]_{i,j=1}^n$ for $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathcal{M}_n$. A linear functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n is called *Hermitian* if $\varphi(A^*) = \overline{\varphi(A)}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and *positive* if φ is Hermitian and $\varphi(\mathcal{M}_n^+) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. A positive functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n is called *faithful* if $\varphi(A) = 0$ ($A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$) $\Rightarrow A = 0$.

Let $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$ with $P_i P_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$, $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = I$. Define the mapping $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k A P_k^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}_n.$$

If $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$, then \mathcal{P} is a block projection operator whose properties are studied in [8–10]. The formula $S = 2P - I$ ($P \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$) establishes a bijection between $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$ and the set $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sym}}$ of all symmetries ($S^2 = I$) from \mathcal{M}_n .

2. New Inequalities for Determinants and the Trace

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$ with $P_i P_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$, $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = I$. Then $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(\sum_{k=1}^m P_k A P_k)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$. In particular, $\text{tr}(\mathcal{P}(A)) = \text{tr}(A)$, $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, for $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$.*

PROOF. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, then

$$\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^m P_k A\right) = \sum_{k=1}^m \text{tr}(P_k A) = \sum_{k=1}^m \text{tr}(P_k A P_k) = \text{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^m P_k A P_k\right). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2 [11, Theorem 1.3]. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $P \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$. There is a unique decomposition $P = \tilde{P} + Z$, where $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{pr}}$ and the nilpotent Z belongs to \mathcal{A} with $Z^2 = 0$; moreover, $Z\tilde{P} = 0$ and $\tilde{P}Z = Z$.*

Proposition 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$ is invertible, $P \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$, and $P = \tilde{P} + Z$ is the decomposition described in Lemma 2. Then PAP^* is invertible in the reduced algebra $\tilde{P}\mathcal{A}\tilde{P}$.*

PROOF. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A \geq \varepsilon I$. Consider the multiplicative representation $P = \tilde{P}T$ with an invertible $T \in \mathcal{A}^+$ [12, Lemma 3]. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $T \geq \delta I$. Then $T^2 \geq \delta^2 I$ and

$$PAP^* \geq \varepsilon PP^* = \varepsilon \tilde{P}T^2\tilde{P} \geq \varepsilon\delta^2\tilde{P}.$$

It remains to take into account the fact that $\tilde{P}P = P$, $\tilde{P}PAP^*\tilde{P} = PAP^*$, and \tilde{P} is the unit of the reduced algebra $\tilde{P}\mathcal{A}\tilde{P}$. \square

Theorem 1. *$\det(\mathcal{P}(A)) \geq \det(A)$ for all $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{id}}$ with $P_i P_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$, $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = I$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.*

PROOF. By the Determinant Product Theorem, $\det(S) \in \{-1, +1\}$ for each $S \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sym}}$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}_n^+) \subset \mathcal{M}_n^+$ and $\det(X) \geq 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, it suffices to verify the claim only for invertible matrices. The results of [13, 14] imply that the function

$$A \mapsto \log \det(A) \tag{2}$$

is concave on the set of invertible matrices $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$ (see also [15, Chapter 10, §2, Theorem 9]). By Lemma 2 from [10],

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}} S_j A S_j^* \quad (3)$$

for 2^{m-1} collections $\{t_{jk}\}_{k=1}^m$ with $t_{jk} \in \{-1, +1\}$, where $S_j = \sum_{k=1}^m t_{jk} P_k \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sym}}$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{m-1}$. Therefore, $\det(S_j) = \det(S_j^*) \in \{-1, +1\}$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{m-1}$. The invertibility of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ for an invertible $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ follows from the representation of (3) where each summand $S_j A S_j^*$ lies in \mathcal{M}_n^+ and is invertible by the Invertible Product Theorem. Concavity of (2), the Determinant Product Theorem, and (3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \det(\mathcal{P}(A)) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \log \det(S_j A S_j^*) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \log \det(A) = \log \det(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\det(\mathcal{P}(A)) \geq \det(A) \quad (4)$$

due to strict monotonicity of the logarithmic function on the half-axis $(0, +\infty)$. \square

REMARK 1. Relation (4) for a particular case when $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$ is known as Fischer's inequality [16, Problem II.5.6]. Hence, by Lemma 1 and (1), we obtain

$$\det(\mathcal{P}(\exp(A))) \geq \det(\exp(A)) = \exp(\text{tr}(A)) = \exp(\text{tr}(\mathcal{P}(A)))$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.

Corollary 1. $\det(\mathcal{P}(A)) \geq \exp(\text{tr}(\log A))$ for each positive definite matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.

PROOF. We have

$$\det(\mathcal{P}(A)) = \det(\mathcal{P}(\exp(\log A))) \geq \det(\exp(\log A)) = \exp(\text{tr}(\log A))$$

for a positive definite matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$. \square

Proposition 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd, $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, and $S, T \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sym}}$ with $\det(S) = \det(T)$. Then $\det(A - SAT) = 0$.

PROOF. The claim follows from the relations

$$S(A - SAT)T = -(A - SAT), \quad \det(S) = \det(T) \in \{-1, +1\}$$

and the Determinant Product Theorem. \square

Here the oddness of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is essential. Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

in \mathcal{M}_2 . Then $S \in \mathcal{M}_2^{\text{sym}}$ and $\det(A - SAS) = x^2 + 2x - 4 \neq 0$ for $2x \neq -1 \pm \sqrt{5}$. The trace $\text{tr}(A - SAS^*) = x^2 + 2x$ can take arbitrary values from the interval $[-1, +\infty)$. We have $\text{tr}(PAP^*) - \text{tr}(\tilde{P}A\tilde{P}) = x + x^2/4$ for the idempotent $P = (I + S)/2$, while the projection \tilde{P} is defined in Lemma 2. Since $\text{tr}(\mathcal{P}(A)) - \text{tr}(A) = x + x^2/2$ for the pair $P_1 = P, P_2 = I - P$, the requirement $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$ is essential in Lemma 1.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with the operator norm $\|B\| \leq 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\lambda_t((BAB^*)^p) \leq \lambda_t(BA^pB^*) \quad \text{for all } t = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (5)$$

PROOF. Since the real function $s \mapsto s^q$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}^+$) is operator convex for $1 \leq q \leq 2$, we have

$$(BXB^*)^q \leq BX^qB^*$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ with $\|B\| \leq 1$ by [17, Theorem 2.1]. By monotonicity of eigenvalues (i.e., $\lambda_t(X) \leq \lambda_t(Y)$ for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for $0 \leq X \leq Y$) this matrix inequality leads to the claim of the lemma for $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Let $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $2 < p < \infty$ be fixed. Choose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{j-1} < p \leq 2^j$ and put $q = \sqrt[j]{p}$. Then $j \geq 2$ and $1 < 2^{\frac{j-1}{j}} < q \leq 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_t(BA^pB^*) &= \lambda_t(B(A^{p/q})^qB^*) \geq \lambda_t((BA^{p/q}B^*)^q) = \lambda_t((BA^{p/q}B^*)^q) \\ &= \lambda_t(B(A^{p/q^2})^qB^*)^q \geq \dots \geq \lambda_t(BA^{p/q^j}B^*)^{q^j} = \lambda_t(BAB^*)^p = \lambda_t((BAB^*)^p) \end{aligned}$$

by monotonicity of the power functions $s \mapsto s^b$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}^+$) and the equality $\lambda_t(X^b) = \lambda_t(X)^b$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ and the reals $b > 0$. \square

Theorem 2. Let $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{pr}}$ with $P_iP_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$, $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = I$. Then $\text{tr}(\exp(\mathcal{P}(A))) \leq \text{tr}(\mathcal{P}(\exp(A)))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.

PROOF. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\mathcal{P}(A)) &= I + \sum_{k=1}^m P_kAP_k + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(P_kAP_k)^2}{2!} + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(P_kAP_k)^j}{j!} + \dots \\ &= -(m-1)I + \left(I + P_1AP_1 + \frac{(P_1AP_1)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(P_1AP_1)^j}{j!} + \dots \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + \left(I + P_mAP_m + \frac{(P_mAP_m)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(P_mAP_m)^j}{j!} + \dots \right), \\ \mathcal{P}(\exp(A)) &= P_1 \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{A^j}{j!} + \dots \right) P_1 \\ &\quad + \dots + P_m \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{A^j}{j!} + \dots \right) P_m \\ &= -(m-1)I + \left(I + P_1AP_1 + \frac{P_1A^2P_1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{P_1A^jP_1}{j!} + \dots \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + \left(I + P_mAP_m + \frac{P_mA^2P_m}{2!} + \dots + \frac{P_mA^jP_m}{j!} + \dots \right); \end{aligned}$$

the matrix series converges in norm (i.e., elementwise). Since the matrix trace coincides with the spectral trace and is a continuous linear functional, Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 3. \square

3. The Inequalities for Determinants Characterize the Trace

Theorem 3. The following are equivalent for a positive functional φ on the algebra \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(I) = n$:

- (i) $\varphi = \text{tr}$;
- (ii) $\det(\mathcal{P}(\exp(A))) \geq \exp(\varphi(A))$ for all \mathcal{P} and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
- (iii) $\det(A)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n}\varphi(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;

- (iv) $\text{per}(A) \leq \frac{1}{n}\varphi(A^n)$ for all nonnegative matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sa}}$;
(v) $\det(I + \varepsilon A) = 1 + \varepsilon\varphi(A) + o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.
Moreover, if φ is faithful, then (i)–(v) are equivalent to the conditions:
(vi) $\det(\exp(A)) \leq \exp(\varphi(A))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
(vii) $\varphi(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varphi(A^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ and $0 < q < p$.

PROOF. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Theorem 1 and (1); see the implication (i) \Rightarrow (v) in [18, Chapter 6, §9, Exercise 1].

Without loss of generality, assume that $\varphi(X) = \text{tr}(S_\varphi X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$, where

$$S_\varphi = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$$

and $s_1 + \dots + s_n = n$. We need to show that

$$s_1 = \dots = s_n = 1. \quad (6)$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): If (6) is not valid, then there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_k > 1$. By the Spectral Theorem in finite dimensions, $\exp(A) = \exp(1) \cdot A + \exp(0) \cdot (I - A)$ for the projection

$$A = \text{diag}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1 \text{ times}}, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{M}_n^{\text{PF}}, \quad (7)$$

while, by (ii), $\exp(1) \geq \exp(s_k)$ for the mapping \mathcal{P} associated with all projections of the form (7) with $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Consequently, $s_k \leq 1$; a contradiction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): If (6) is not valid, then there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_k > 1$. Given a real $\varepsilon > 0$, introduce the matrix $A_\varepsilon = (1 + \varepsilon)I - \varepsilon A$, where A is from (7). Inserting $A_\varepsilon (\in \mathcal{M}_n^+)$ in (iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} &\leq \frac{1}{n}((1 + \varepsilon)s_1 + \dots + (1 + \varepsilon)s_{k-1} + s_k \\ &\quad + (1 + \varepsilon)s_{k+1} + \dots + (1 + \varepsilon)s_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}((1 + \varepsilon)n - \varepsilon s_k) = 1 + \varepsilon - \frac{s_k}{n}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Taylor formula with Peano's remainder:

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} = 1 + \frac{n-1}{n}\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+.$$

Now, (iii) takes the form

$$1 + \frac{n-1}{n}\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) \leq 1 + \varepsilon - \frac{s_k}{n}\varepsilon \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+.$$

Consequently, $s_k \leq 1$; a contradiction.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): If (6) is not valid, then there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_k > 1$. Given $1 > \varepsilon > 0$, introduce the matrix $A_\varepsilon = I - \varepsilon A$, where A is from (7). Inserting A_ε in (iv), we obtain

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} + (1 - \varepsilon)^n s_k + s_{k+1} + \dots + s_n).$$

Write the Taylor formula with Peano's remainder:

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^n = 1 - n\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+.$$

Now, (iv) takes the form $1 - \varepsilon \leq 1 - s_k\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Consequently, $s_k \leq 1$; a contradiction.

(v) \Rightarrow (i): If (6) is not valid, then there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_k > 1$. By (v), we obtain

$$1 + \varepsilon = 1 + s_k \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$$

for the projection A from (7). Consequently, $s_k = 1$; a contradiction.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i): If (6) is not valid, then there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $0 < s_k < 1$. By (vi), $\exp(1) \leq \exp(s_k)$ for the projection A from (7). Consequently, $s_k \geq 1$; a contradiction.

(i) \Rightarrow (vii): Without loss of generality, assume that $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $A^r = \text{diag}(a_1^r, \dots, a_n^r)$ for all $r > 0$. By Jensen's inequality (see [19, Theorem 19]),

$$\varphi(A^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (a_1^p + \dots + a_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (a_1^q + \dots + a_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \varphi(A^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $0 < q < p$.

(vii) \Rightarrow (i): If (6) is not valid, then there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $0 < s_k < 1$. By (vii), $s_k^q \leq s_k^p$ for the projection A from (7). Consequently, $s_k \geq 1$; a contradiction. Recall that if $1 < p < \infty$ and φ is a positive functional on \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(A^p) \leq \varphi(B^p)$ for $0 \leq A \leq B$, then $\varphi = \lambda \text{tr}$ with some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ [20, Theorem]. \square

Corollary 2. For a positive functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(I) = n$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\varphi = \text{tr}$;
- (ii) $\det(\exp(A)) \geq \exp(\varphi(A))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.

REMARK 2. In connection with the inequality from Theorem 3(iii), recall that

$$\det(A)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \min_{B \in \mathcal{M}_n^+, \det(B)=1} \frac{\text{tr}(AB)}{n}$$

for all positive definite real matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ [21, Chapter II, § 21, Theorem 14].

Theorem 4. For a positive functional φ on \mathcal{M}_n with $\varphi(I) = n$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\varphi = \text{tr}$;
- (ii) $\sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t(A)^2 \leq \varphi(A^2)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
- (iii) $|\lambda_t(A) - \frac{\varphi(A^*A)}{n}| \leq \left(\frac{n-1}{n}(\varphi(A^*A) - \frac{|\varphi(A)|^2}{n})\right)^{1/2}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $t = 1, \dots, n$;
- (iv) $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^2 \leq \varphi(A^2)$ for all $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
- (v) $\varphi(A^2) \leq \text{tr}(A)^2$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
- (vi) $\sqrt{\text{tr}(A)} \leq \varphi(\sqrt{A})$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$;
- (vii) $\varphi(\sqrt{A}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{a_{ii}}$ for all $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.

PROOF. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is the aforementioned Schur's inequality. See the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii) in [16, Problem I.6.16, p. 172] and the implications (i) \Rightarrow (iv)–(vii) in [6, Problem 16, p. 24].

Show the converse implications. Without loss of generality, assume that $\varphi(X) = \text{tr}(S_\varphi X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$, where $S_\varphi = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ and $s_1 + \dots + s_n = n$. We need to verify relations (6). If (6) is not valid, then there exist $m, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_m < 1$ and $s_j > 1$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): By (ii), $1 = \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_t(A)^2 > \varphi(A^2) = s_j$ for a projection A (with $j = k$) from (7); a contradiction.

(v) \Rightarrow (i) and (vii) \Rightarrow (i): For the matrix A indicated above, inequality (v) (or (vii)) gives $s_j \leq 1$; a contradiction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Inequality (iii) for $t = 1$ implies $s_m \geq 1$ for a projection A (with $m = k$) from (7); a contradiction.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) and (vi) \Rightarrow (i): Inequality (iv) (or (vi)) gives $s_m \geq 1$ for the projection A (with $m = k$) from (7); a contradiction. \square

About other characterizations of the trace, see [22–25] and references therein.

References

1. Bikchentaev A. M. and Tikhonov O. E., “Characterization of the trace by Young’s inequality,” *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 6, no. 2, Article 49 (2005).
2. Cho K. and Sano T., “Young’s inequality and trace,” *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 431, no. 8, 1218–1222 (2009).
3. Bikchentaev A. M., “Commutation of projections and trace characterization on von Neumann algebras. II,” *Math. Notes*, vol. 89, no. 4, 461–471 (2011).
4. Bikchentaev A. M., “The Peierls–Bogoliubov inequality in C^* -algebras and characterization of tracial functionals,” *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, vol. 32, no. 3, 175–179 (2011).
5. Marcus M. and Mink H., *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1964).
6. Zhang F., *Matrix Theory. Basic Results and Techniques*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1999 (Universitext)).
7. Murphy G., *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, Boston (1990).
8. Gokhberg I. Ts. and Krein M. G., *An Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1969).
9. Chilin V. I., Krygin A. V., and Sukochev Ph. A., “Extreme points of convex fully symmetric sets of measurable operators,” *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, vol. 15, no. 2, 186–226 (1992).
10. Bikchentaev A. M., “Block projection operators in normed solid spaces of measurable operators,” *Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)*, vol. 56, no. 2, 75–79 (2012).
11. Koliha J. J., “Range projections of idempotents in C^* -algebras,” *Demonstratio Math.*, vol. 24, no. 1, 91–103 (2001).
12. Bikchentaev A. M., “On representation of elements of a von Neumann algebra in the form of finite sums of products of projections,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 46, no. 1, 24–34 (2005).
13. Bellman R., “Notes on Matrix Theory. II,” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 60, no. 3, 173–175 (1953).
14. Mirsky L., “An inequality for positive definite matrices,” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 62, no. 6, 428–430 (1955).
15. Lax P. D., *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*. Enlarged second edition, Wiley- Intersci. [John Wiley & Sons], Hoboken, NJ (2007) (Pure Appl. Math. (Hoboken)).
16. Bhatia R., *Matrix Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997) (Grad. Texts Math.; Vol. 169).
17. Hansen F. and Pedersen K. G., “Jensen’s inequality for operators and Löwner’s theorem,” *Math. Ann.*, vol. 258, no. 3, 229–241 (1982).
18. Bellman R., *Introduction to Matrix Analysis*. 2nd ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA (1997) (Class. Appl. Math.).
19. Hardy G. H., Littlewood J. E., and Pólya G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK) etc. (1988).
20. Bikchentaev A. M. and Tikhonov O. E., “Characterization of the trace by monotonicity inequalities,” *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 422, no. 1, 274–278 (2007).
21. Beckenbach E. F. and Bellman R., *Inequalities* [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1965).
22. Bikchentaev A. M., “Commutativity of projections and characterization of traces on von Neumann algebras,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 51, no. 6, 971–977 (2010).
23. Bikchentaev A. M., “Commutation of projections and characterization of traces on von Neumann algebras. III,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 54, no. 12, 4482–4493 (2015).
24. Bikchentaev A. M., “Trace and differences of idempotents in C^* -algebras,” *Math. Notes*, vol. 105, no. 5, 641–648 (2019).
25. Bikchentaev A. M., “Metrics on projections of the von Neumann algebra associated with tracial functionals,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 60, no. 6, 952–956 (2019).

A. M. BIKCHENTAEV

LOBACHEVSKII INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
OF KAZAN (VOLGA REGION) FEDERAL UNIVERSITY, KAZAN, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `Airat.Bikchentaev@kpfu.ru`