111

112

113

114

1 of 11 https://doi.org/10.1107/S2052520620006538

tion sites of iron and tin in the oxoborates are determined using Mössbauer spectroscopy and compared with EDX and X-ray diffraction data (XRD). According to results obtained from high-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy, the Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ oxidation in vonsenite and hulsite occurs at approximately 500 and 600 K, respectively. According to the high-temperature XRD data, this process is accompanied by an assumed deformation of crystal structures and subsequent solid-phase decomposition to hematite and warwickite. It is seen as a monotonic decrease of volume thermal expansion coefficients with an increase in temperature. A partial magnetic ordering in hulsite is observed for the first time with $T_{\rm c} \simeq 383$ K. Near this temperature, an unusual change of thermal expansion coefficients is revealed. Vonsenite starts to melt at 1571 K and hulsite starts to melt[melts] at 1504 K. Eigenvalues of thermal expansion tensor are calculated for the oxoborates as well as a character of anisotropy of the

1. Introduction

Vonsenite and hulsite are minerals with an approved[?] endmember formula Fe²⁺₂Fe³⁺(BO₃)O₂, which were discovered over a hundred years ago (Knopf & Schaller, 1908; Eakle, 1920). Generally, these mixed-valent iron oxoborates occur in magnesian[magnesium?] skarns (Aleksandrov, 1998). However, the end-member formula does not adequately reflect the empirical elemental composition of these compounds that typically contain in both Fe²⁺- and Fe³⁺dominant sites admixed cations[?] in significant amounts (Konnert et al., 1976). Idealized formulae of hulsite and $(Mg,Fe^{2+})_2$ vonsenite are $(Fe^{3+},Sn)(BO_3)O_2$ and $Fe^{2+}{}_2Fe^{3+}(BO_3)O_2$, respectively (Konnert et al., 1976; Yamnova et al., 1978; Swinnea & Stein-

Vladimir V. Shilovskikh^d and Igor V. Pekov^e

Yaroslav P. Biryukov,^a Almaz L. Zinnatullin,^b Rimma S. Bubnova,^a Farit G. Vagizov,^b Andrey P. Shablinskii,^a Stanislav K. Filatov,^c*

Investigation of thermal behavior of mixed-valent

 $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ oxocentred polyhedra by in

situ high-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy,

X-ray diffraction and thermal analysis

iron borates vonsenite and hulsite containing

^aInstitute of Silicate Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Makarova emb., 2, Saint Petersburg, 199034, Russian Federation, ^bKazan Federal University, Kremlyovskaya Str., 18, Kazan, 420008, Russian Federation, ^cInstitute of Earth Sciences, Department of Crystallography, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya Emb., 7/9, Saint Petersburg, 199034, Russian Federation, ^dGeomodel Resource Centre, Saint Petersburg State University, Ulyanovskaya Str., 1, Saint Petersburg, 198510, Russian Federation, and ^eFaculty of Geology, Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, 1, Moscow,

119991, Russian Federation. *Correspondence e-mail: s.filatov@spbu.ru The investigation of elemental composition, crystal structure and thermal behavior of vonsenite and hulsite from the Titovskoe boron deposit in Russia is reported. The structures of the borates are described in terms of cation-centered and oxocentred polyhedra. There are different sequences of double chains and layers consisting of oxocentred $[OM_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal

pyramids forming a framework. Elemental composition was determined by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Oxidation states and coordinaexpansion[?] is described in comparison with their crystal structures.

STRUCTURAL SCIENCE **CRYSTAL ENGINEERING** MATERIALS

ISSN 2052-5206

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

28

30

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

54

56

57

Received 12 March 2020 Accepted 15 May 2020

Edited by R Černý University of Geneva Switzerland

Keywords: oxoborate: oxidation: high-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy: high-temperature X-ray diffraction; thermal expansion.

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/b 115 fink, 1983). Vonsenite crystallizes in ludwigite structure type in the orthorhombic space group Pbam and hulsite crystallizes in 116 117 pinakiolite structure type in the monoclinic space group P2/m. Although the structures are different, both minerals belong to 118 a family of 3 Å wallpaper structure compounds (Moore & 119 Araki, 1974; Hawthorne, 2014). The crystal structure of 120 vonsenite contains four positions for cations: M(1) (2a) and 121 M(3) (4g) positions are occupied by Fe²⁺ and Mg, M(2) (2d) positions are occupied by $Fe^{2.5+}$, M(4) (4h) positions are 123 occupied by Fe³⁺ and Sn⁴⁺ (Swinnea & Steinfink, 1983). There 124 are five octahedral positions for cations in the structure of 125 hulsite: M(1) (1a) and M(3) (1d) are filled by Mg, Fe³⁺ and 126 Sn^{4+} , M(2) (1f) and M(5) (2n) are filled by Fe^{2+} and Mg, M(4)127 (1g) are filled by Fe^{2+} (Yamnova *et al.*, 1978). The structures 128 are composed of metal-oxygen octahedra and $[BO_3]^{3-}$ trian-129 gles (Takéuchi, 1956; Konnert et al., 1976; Yamnova et al., 130 1978: Swinnea & Steinfink, 1983). 131

132 Magnetic properties of iron borates strongly depend on their structure. Magnetic iron atoms form three ladder-like 133 structures in ludwigite-like compounds. Therefore, magnetic 134 properties of these compounds show strong unidirectional 135 anisotropy (Whangbo et al., 2002; Vallejo & Avignon, 2007; 136 Freitas et al., 2009). On the other hand, metal atoms form quasiplanar structures in pinakiolite-like compounds. Such 138 structures determine the two-dimensional character of 139 magnetic properties, which is different from the one described 140 for ludwigite-like structures (Freitas et al., 2010; Medrano et 141 al., 2018). Recently, it has been shown that compounds that 142 crystallize in the ludwigite type are antiferromagnets whose 143 structures contain magnetic arrangements with geometric 144 frustrations (Knyazev et al., 2019). 'Geometrically frustrated 145 antiferromagnets' is one of the most intensively studied topics 146 in condensed matter physics due to the attractive magnetic 147 properties they exhibit (Greedan, 2001, 2010; Gomonay & 148 Loktev, 2014; Bovo et al., 2018). 149

There are few works known to date devoted to the inves-150 tigation of the Mössbauer effect in vonsenite and hulsite. The 151 ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum recorded at room temperature of a 152 153 synthetic analog of vonsenite is seen to contain several paramagnetic components (doublets) assigned to various crystal-154 lographic sites (Swinnea & Steinfink, 1983). With a decrease in 155 temperature, a charge ordering and a few magnetic transitions 156 were detected, and the spectra became more complex 157 (Douvalis et al., 2002; Larrea et al., 2004). There are few 158 articles which report high-temperature Mössbauer experi-159 ments of vonsenite (Li et al., 1994; Douvalis et al., 2002; Larrea 160 et al., 2004). However, an investigation of the ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer 161 effect in vonsenite has not vet been reported. In contrast, 162 results of investigations of the ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer effect in 163 hulsite have been reported (Smith & Zuckerman, 1967; Konnert et al., 1976). The ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer spectrum of hulsite 165 shows a single absorption line with isomer shift characteristic 166 of the Sn⁴⁺ state. To date, there are no works known devoted 167 to the investigation of the ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer effect in hulsite.

It should be noted that there is a lack of high-temperature
crystal-chemical investigations of iron borates in general.
There are <u>a few works</u> known devoted to such investigations

(Shimomura *et al.*, 2007; Biryukov *et al.*, 2016, 2018). A combination of *in situ* high-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy and <u>high-temperature</u> X-ray diffraction (HTXRD) works well to reveal magnetic phase transitions in iron borates (Biryukov *et al.*, 2016, 2018). The investigation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ oxidation, which should occur in vonsenite and hulsite with an increase in temperature using data of these methods, is of special interest too.

This paper reports on the investigation of chemical composition, cation distribution and crystal structure, thermal behavior of the mixed-valent iron oxoborates vonsenite and hulsite from the Titovskoe boron deposit (Russia) by *in situ* high-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy, HTXRD and thermal analysis [differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG)]. A discussion on thermal expansion of the borates under consideration is given in terms of cationand oxocentred polyhedra since they compose the $[OM_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra (Krivovichev *et al.*, 1998, 2013) and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal pyramids that are not described elsewhere for these minerals.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The minerals were collected from the Titovskoe boron deposit, Tas-Khayakhtakh Range, Polar part of Sakha (Yakutia) Republic, Russia. Crystals of vonsenite suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were <u>separated</u> from the probes[?] using a <u>LOMO (Russia) binocular</u> microscope and then checked on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer. Polycrystalline samples of the minerals were used for the room- and high-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and thermal analysis experiments.

2.2. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)

The samples were mounted in an epoxy block and polished with progressively **smaller[finer]** diamond powders up to 0.25 μ m. Elemental compositions of the samples were determined using a scanning electron microscope Hitachi S3400N equipped with an energy-dispersive spectrometer Oxford X-Max 20. Operating conditions were set at 20 kV, 1 nA and 120 seconds per point dwell time. Concentrations of elements with an atomic number higher than carbon were determined. Spectra were processed **with** *AZtec* (Oxford Instruments, 2016) software using a TrueQ technique. The standards used were FeS₂ (Fe), MgO (Mg), Mn (Mn), Al₂O₃ (Al), Ti (Ti) and Sn (Sn).

Mean analytical results are given in Table S1. These data are in agreement with elemental compositions of vonsenite and hulsite from the Titovskoe deposit obtained by Aleksandrov (1998). Empirical formulae of vonsenite and hulsite based on five oxygen atoms per formula unit (apfu) are $(Fe^{2+}_{1.86}Mg_{0.13})_{\sum 1.99}(Fe^{3+}_{0.92}Mn^{2+}_{0.05}Sn^{4+}_{0.02}Al_{0.02})_{\sum 1.01}(BO_3)$ - O_2 and $(Fe^{2+}_{1.90}Mg_{0.11})_{\sum 2.01}(Fe^{3+}_{0.88}Mn^{2+}_{0.06}Sn^{4+}_{0.05} Al_{0.01})_{\sum 1.00}(BO_3)O_2$, respectively. Manganese is considered to be Mn^{2+} , tin is considered to be Sn^{4+} and Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} ratios are

224

225

226

227

228

172

173

174

175

Files: b/ra5077/ra5077.3d b/ra5077/ra5077.sgml RA5077 FA IU-2015/13(16)6 2015/13(16)6 ()

N5077 PROOFS B:FA:202

229 Table 1

Experimental details for vonsenite at 293 and 400 K- TAKEN FROM CIF. DIFFERENCES HIGHLIGHTED.

	293 K	400 K	
Crystal data			
Chemical formula	$BFe_{2.654}Mg_{0.346}O_5$	BFe _{2.654} Mg _{0.346} O ₅	
Mr	247.4	247.4	
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbam	Orthorhombic, Pbam	
a, b, c (Å)	9.3914 (10), 12.3034 (10), 3.0697 (6)	9.425 (1), 12.3528 (10), 3.0799 (6	
$V(Å^3)$	354.69 (8)	358.58 (8)	
Ζ	4	4	
Radiation type	Μο Κα	Μο Κα	
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	10.70	10.59	
Crystal size (mm)	$0.4 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$	$\overline{0.4} \times 0.1 \times 0.1$	
Data collection			
Diffractometer	Bruker Smart APEX II	Bruker Smart APEX II	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (Krause <i>et al.</i> 2015)	Multi-scan (Krause <i>et al.</i> 2015)	
T > T	0.820, 0.870	0.820 0.870	
No. of measured independent and	1886 404 329	1882 404 337	
observed $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ reflections	1000, 101, 025	1002, 101, 007	
R _{int}	0.037	0.086	
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.616	0.614	
Refinement			
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.039, 1.66	0.029, 0.032, 1.48	
No. of reflections	404	404	
No. of parameters	57	57	
No. of restraints	1	1	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	1.02, -0.81	0.54, -0.58	

calculated and these data are in accordance with the Mössbauer spectroscopy data.

2.3. Mössbauer spectroscopy

Mössbauer experiments were performed in transmission geometry using a conventional spectrometer (WissEl, Germany) operating in constant acceleration mode equipped with a Mössbauer Furnace MBF-1100 and temperature controller TR55. Low-temperature measurements were carried out with a continuous flow cryostat (model CFICEV from ICE Oxford, UK). Measurements were provided within the temperature range of 100-745 K. The ⁵⁷Co (Rh) with an activity of about 50 mCi and the ^{119m}Sn (CaSnO₃) with an activity of about 15 mCi (both RITVERC GmbH, Russia) were used as a source[sources?] for resonance radiation. The spectrometer velocity scale was calibrated using thin metallic iron foil (at room temperature). SpectrRelax software (Matsnev & Rusakov, 2012) was used for experimental data processing. Isomer shifts were measured relative to α -Fe at room temperature for ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra and SnO₂ at room temperature for ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer spectra.

2.4. Thermal analysis

Thermal analysis (TG+DSC) was carried out using a STA 429 D NETZSCH simultaneous thermal analysis instrument equipped with a platinum-rhodium sample holder (dynamic air atmosphere, air flow 50 cm³ min⁻¹, temperature range 313–1650 K, heating rate 20 K min⁻¹). Before the experiment, calibration of a thermobalance was made using a CaC₂₄·2H₂O external standard. The accuracy of the determination of the

weight was ± 0.01 mg. The temperature (K) and sensitivity (μ V mW⁻¹) calibration of the Type S thermocouple was performed using In, Sn, Bi, Zn, Al, Au and Pb external standards. The errors in determinations of the temperature and sensitivity did not exceed ± 2 K and ± 2 relative percent, respectively. The pellets for the experiments were weighed with an accuracy of 0.01 mg (the mass was approximately 20 mg) and placed in an open platinum–rhodium crucible. The temperatures of thermal effects were determined using NETZSCH *Proteus* software **by the DSC first derivative curve[?]**.

2.5. Room- and high-temperature X-ray diffraction

Powder diffraction data were collected using a Rigaku MiniFlex II diffractometer (Co $K\alpha$, $2\theta = 5-70^{\circ}$, step 0.02°). The phase composition was determined using *PDXL* integrated X-ray powder diffraction software (Sasaki *et al.*, 2010) and *PDF-2 2016* from the ICDD [ICSD] (Inorganic Crystal Structure Database, http://www2.fiz-karlsruhe.de/icsd_home.html; **Reference?**). The Rietveld refinement of the XRD patterns was performed using *RietToTensor* (Bubnova *et al.*, 2018). X-ray phase analysis revealed that the polycrystalline samples of vonsenite and hulsite contained in its compositions diopside CaMg(Si₂O₆) (about 2 and 10 wt%, respectively) and Fe₃O₄ (about 0.6 wt%) as an impurities according to the Rietveld refinement.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector using Mo $K\alpha$ radiation and an Oxford Cobra Cryosystem device. The room- and high-temperature experi-

Files: b/ra5077/ra5077.3d b/ra5077/ra5077.sgml RA5077 FA IU-2015/13(16)6 2015/13(16)6 ()

FIIOUIO B:FA:2020:76:4:0

ments were performed using the same crystal. More than one hemisphere of three-dimensional data was collected by the CCD detector and frame widths of 0.5° in ω , with 30 s used to acquire each frame. The data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and background effects using APEX (Bruker, 2012) and XPREP (Bruker, 2012). A semi-empirical absorp-tion-correction based on the intensities of equivalent reflec-tions was applied in the SADABS program (Krause et al., 2015). The crystal structure of vonsenite was solved by charge flipping and refined at 293 and 400 K using JANA2006 (Petříček et al., 2014) program suite. Experimental details are given in Table 1. Atomic coordinates, displacement para-meters and selected bond distances are given in Tables S2-S4. Hulsite forms very thin and curved plates, dimensions of this curvature are smaller than optical resolution of the binocular, thus it was not possible to refine the structure using a singlecrystal X-ray diffraction experiment. Here the cation distri-bution in hulsite was determined using room-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy data and the model of hulsite presented by Yamnova et al. (1978) (Tables S5-S7).

The HTXRD experiments were conducted using a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer with a thermal attachment (Co $K\alpha$, 40 kV and 35 mA, reflection geometry, D/teX Ultra high-speed detector, air atmosphere, $2\theta = 10-80^{\circ}$, temperature range 293-1273 K, steps 10 and 20 K, heating rate 1.5 K min⁻¹). A thermocouple was used to control the temperature. Before the HTXRD experiment, an Si external standard was measured in the temperature range 293-1273 K in order to control the thermal expansion coefficients. The temperatures of phase transitions were checked using SiO₂ and K₂SO₄. The error in the determination of the temperature did not exceed ± 10 K. Experimental data processing by the Rietveld refinement, approximation of temperature depen-dencies of lattice parameters and drawing figures of thermal expansion coefficients (α) were performed using *RietTo*-Tensor (Bubnova et al., 2018). A detailed description of the data processing and calculation of eigenvalues of thermal expansion tensor is given in detail by Bubnova et al. (2018).

The crystal structures were visualized using VESTA (Momma & Izumi, 2011).

3. Results

3.1. Mössbauer spectroscopy

3.1.1. Room-temperature ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer spectroscopy. The room-temperature ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer spectrum of vonsenite [Fig. 1(*a*)] is a doublet with an isomer shift value equal to 0.17 mm s^{-1} , quadrupole splitting and linewidth equal to 0.93 and 0.8 mm s⁻¹, respectively. The values of hyperfine parameters show that the oxidation state of tin atoms is 4+. The hyperfine parameters of tin nuclei in vonsenite are reported here for the first time, which are close to those of ludwigitelike Co₅Sn(O₂BO₃)₂ (Medrano *et al.*, 2015).

The room-temperature ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer spectrum of hulsite [Fig. 1(b)] is consisted of one quadrupole doublet with an isomer shift value equal to 0.23 mm s⁻¹, quadrupole splitting

Files: b/ra5077/ra5077.3d b/ra5077/ra5077.sgml RA5077 FA IU-2015/13(16)6 2015/13(16)6 ()

and linewidth equal to 0.95 and 1.07 mm s^{-1} , respectively. These values indicate Sn⁴⁺ atoms. The values are different from those reported for hulsites (Smith & Zuckerman, 1967; Aleksandrov *et al.*, 1967), where a single absorption line was described. However, these values of hyperfine parameters are close to those of Ni_{5.15}Sn_{0.85}(O₂BO₃)₂ crystallizing in pina-kiolite structure type, and it was concluded that the Sn⁴⁺ atoms substitute several cationic positions (Medrano *et al.*, 2018). A similar conclusion was also made by Suknev & Diman (1975) based on IR studies of hulsite. The larger value of the linewidth of the spectrum of hulsite in comparison to that of vonsenite may be due to a substitution of the largest number of non-equivalent crystallographic positions by the tin atoms in the crystal structure of hulsite.

3.1.2. Vonsenite. The ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra of vonsenite at selected temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. These spectra were fitted with four paramagnetic components (doublets). These components correspond to four non-equivalent crystallographic positions of iron atoms in the structure of vonsenite: 2a [M(1)], 2d [M(2)], 4g [M(3)] and 4h [M(4)]. The spectrum at room temperature and its components are shown in Fig. 2(*b*).

The behavior of hyperfine parameters with temperature is shown in Fig. 3. Generally, isomer shift decreases with an increase in temperature [Fig. 3(a)]. However, for two components with higher values of isomer shift, *i.e.* for the Fe²⁺ atoms, an abrupt change of values of the isomer shift is seen at the temperature of about 500 K, which is due to the oxidation of Fe²⁺ atoms. At higher temperatures, values of the isomer shift of these components are characteristic of those of the







Figure 2

⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra of vonsenite (*a*) at 293, 473 and 723 K and (*b*) its spectral components at 293 K.

5077 PROOFS B:FA:2020:76:

Fe³⁺ atoms. Values of quadrupole splitting indicate the octahedral coordination of the cations throughout the whole 458 459 temperature range [Fig. 3(b)].

3.1.3. Room- and high-temperature ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy of hulsite. The room-temperature ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of hulsite can be fitted with one magnetically split (sextet) and two paramagnetic (doublets) components (Fig. 4).

Values of hyperfine parameters of the magnetically split component [orange curve (I) in Fig. 4] are characteristic of the Fe³⁺ atoms occupying highly distorted octahedral sites. It is assumed that these are the 1d and 1g positions. As the temperature increases, a hyperfine field on iron nuclei decreases with a subsequent transformation of the magnetically split component into the paramagnetic doublet near the



Figure 3

457

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

Temperature dependencies of the hyperfine parameters of the 57Fe Mössbauer spectral components of vonsenite: (a) isomer shift and (b)quadrupole splitting.



critical temperature, T_c [Fig. 5(a)]. Such a partial magnetic ordering should be caused[?] by the quasi-two-dimensional structure of hulsite. Below the critical temperature, some of these planes are magnetically ordered, while others are not ordered. Similar behavior was observed in other pinakiolitelike compounds (Freitas et al., 2010; Medrano et al., 2018). The experimental data on the temperature dependence of the ⁵⁷Fe hyperfine field in hulsite were least-squares fitted by power law

$$B_{\rm HF}(T) = B_{\rm HF}(0) \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_{\rm c}}\right)^{\beta} \tag{1}$$

and allowed us to deduce the following parameters: $B_{\rm HF}(0) =$ 487±2 kOe, $T_c = 383\pm1$ K, $\beta = 0.23\pm0.01$. The solid line in Fig. 5(a) represents the best fit of the data obtained by the least-squares procedure. These data clearly show that the magnetic phase transition occurs at 383 K. The obtained value of critical exponent $\beta = 0.23$ is lower than predicted by the classical Landau theory $\frac{1}{2}$ and is close to $\frac{1}{4}$ which is characteristic to[?] phase transition at the tricritical point (Huang, 1987). Another reason for such a value of the critical exponent may be the two-dimensional character of magnetic interaction. Indeed, for layered magnetic systems, close values of β are reported (Taroni et al., 2008).

Two other components [green (II) and magenta (III) curves in Fig. 4] do not change significantly with an increase in temperature [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)]. The values of the hyperfine parameters of the doublets are characteristic of the Fe²⁺ atoms. It is assumed that the doublet shown by the green curve is related to the Fe^{2+} atoms (1f and 2n crystallographic sites), while the component shown by the magenta curve is related to $Fe^{2.5+}$ (1*a* site). However, it should be noted that in order to obtain more detailed information on the cation distribution in the structure of hulsite, it is necessary to provide Mössbauer experiments at low temperatures. The values of the isomer shift and quadrupole splitting of all the components decrease with an increase in temperature [Fig. 5(b)]. The isomer shift values decrease due to the second-order Doppler effect, while the decrease of the quadrupole splitting is caused by a decrease of an electric field gradient due to a more uniform filling of 3d levels by resonant iron atoms at higher temperatures. However, values of the isomer shift of components I and III decrease more obviously at higher temperatures (above 600 K). An increase of values of the quadrupole splitting of component III as well as a decrease of relative area of



Figure 5

Temperature dependencies of the hyperfine parameters of ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra of hulsite: (a) hyperfine field of component I, (b)isomer shift and (c) quadrupole splitting.

component II is observed. In the region of higher velocities, new lines appear corresponding to the magnetic component of α -Fe₂O₃ (hematite) (Fig. 4). Such behavior of a system at high temperatures **should be caused[?]** by the Fe²⁺ oxidation. The metal cations do not change their octahedral coordination throughout the whole temperature range [Figs. 5(*b*) and 5(*c*)].

3.2. Crystal structure description

3.2.1. Crystal structure of vonsenite. In terms of cationcentered polyhedral (Fig. 6), the structure of vonsenite is described as a framework composed of vertex-sharing [O(4)]and edge-sharing [O(2)-O(2), O(2)-O(3) and O(2)-O(5)]metal-oxygen $[MO_6]^{n-}$ octahedra that form the infinite zigzag chain [Fig. 6(a)]. The $[BO_3]^{3-}$ isolated triangles [Fig. 6(c)] are connected to the octahedra by the vertices. According to the Mössbauer spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction data (see §§2.5 and 3.1), the M(1) and M(3) positions, or the 2a and 4g crystallographic sites, are occupied by the divalent iron and magnesium atoms, the M(2) (2d) positions are occupied by Fe^{2.5+}, the M(4) (4h) positions are occupied by Fe³⁺ and Sn^{4+} (Tables S2). The average M(1) - O and M(3) - O bond lengths = 2.13 Å, $\langle M(2) - O \rangle = 2.09$ Å, $\langle M(4) - O \rangle = 2.06$ Å (Tables S4). Thus, the values of the average bond distances between the trivalent cation and oxygen are shorter than those between the divalent one and oxygen since it is known that the ionic radii r_{ion} of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ in octahedral coordination are 0.78 and 0.64 Å, respectively (Shannon, 1976). The $\langle B(1) - O \rangle$ bond length is 1.38 Å. As the temperature increases by 100 K, an elongation of the M-O bonds of up to 0.02 Å is observed (Tables S4). The boron-oxygen bond lengths virtually do not change with the increase in temperature, which is in agreement with the data from the previous investigations of temperature-dependent structural changes in borates using low- and high-temperature single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Bubnova et al., 2002; Bubnova & Filatov, 2013).

In terms of the oxocentred polyhedra, the structure of vonsenite is composed of the distorted $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ polyhedra [Figs. 7(*a*) and 7(*b*)]. Moreover, for the first time, the $[OM_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal pyramids in the structures of vonsenite and hulsite are described (Fig. 7). In the structure of vonsenite both types of polyhedra form double chains elongated along the *c* axis [Fig. 7(*b*)]. The double chains composed of the vertex-sharing $[OM_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra are described by Krivovichev *et al.* (1998). The second type of double chain is composed of the edge-sharing $[OM_5]^{n+}$ pyramids [Fig. 7(*b*)]. These slanted double chains are connected by common vertices and edges forming a framework.

The $[O(4)M_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedron is composed of the M(1), M(3) divalent cations and two M(4) cations with oxidation state of 2.5+ and 3+. The $[O(2)M_5]^{n+}$ pyramid is composed of the M(3) divalent cation, two M(2) and two M(4) cations with oxidation states of 2.5+ and 3+ [Fig. 7(b)]. The divalent M(3) atom is the vertex of the $[OM_5]^{n+}$ pyramid. The tetrahedra are connected to each other by the common M(1) and M(4) vertices and to the $[OM_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal pyramids by the M(3) vertices and M(4)-M(4) edges. The $[OM_5]^{n+}$ polyhedra are connected to each other by the common M(2)-M(2) and M(2)-M(4) edges. The O(4)-M bond lengths in the tetrahedra are 1.94-2.02 Å, the average O(4)-M bond length is 1.99 Å. The O(2)-M bond lengths are longer than those in the tetrahedra and equal to 2.08 and 2.09 Å, $\langle O(2)-M \rangle = 2.09$ Å.

3.2.2. Crystal structure of hulsite. Oxidation states and cation distribution of iron and tin in hulsite were determined using Mössbauer spectroscopy (see §3.1). There are five positions of cations in the crystal structure: the M(1) position, or 1*a* site, is occupied by the Fe^{2.5+} cations, M(2) (1*g*) and M(3) (1*d*) sites are occupied by Fe³⁺, M(4) and M(5) (1*f* and 2*n*) by the divalent iron and magnesium atoms. These cations are surrounded by six oxygen atoms forming $[MO_6]^{n-}$ octahedra. The octahedra are connected to each other by the edges forming chains of two types. The first one is **practically**



Figure 6

The wallpaper structures of (a) vonsenite and (b) hulsite represented in terms of $[MO_6]^{n-}$ cation-centered polyhedra in comparison with figures of thermal expansion. Arrangement of the $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles in comparison with figures of thermal expansion of (c) vonsenite and (d) hulsite (the solid green line -300 K, the dashed red line - the maximum temperature).

Files: b/ra5077/ra5077.3d b/ra5077/ra5077.sgml RA5077 FA IU-2015/13(16)6 2015/13(16)6 ()

Acta Cryst. (2020



Figure 7

The crystal structures of (a, b) vonsenite and (c, d) hulsite represented in terms of the oxocentred polyhedra in comparison with figures of thermal expansion: the framework of vonsenite consists of different chains composed of the $[O(4)M_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra and $[O(2)M_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal pyramids while the framework of hulsite consists of alternative layers composed of the $[O(4)M_4]^{n+}$ and $[O(5)M_5]^{n+}$ polyhedra. The $[OM_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra are colored in turquoise, the $[OM_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal pyramids – in blue.

direct[?meaning] and consisted of a sequence of $[M(1)O_6]^{n-1}$ and $[M(4)O_6]^{n-}$ polyhedra, and the second one is a zigzag chain consisting of $[M(2)O_6]^{n-}$, $[M(3)O_6]^{n-}$ and $[M(5)O_6]^{n-}$ octahedra [Fig. 6(b)]. According to single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of a hulsite reported by Yamnova et al. (1978), the average M(1)-O bond length is 2.07 Å, $\langle M(2)$ -O and $\langle M(3)-O \rangle$ are 2.08 Å, $\langle M(4)-O \rangle$ and $\langle M(5)-O \rangle$ are 2.13 Å (Table S7). The boron atoms are surrounded by three oxygen atoms, forming the isolated triangles. The planes of the $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles are perpendicular to metal-oxygen layers and the triangles are connected to the octahedra by common vertices. The $\langle B(1) - O \rangle$ bond length is 1.38 A.

Although it is possible to obtain the same chains composed of the oxocentred polyhedra, these chains form two types of layers in the bc plane [Figs. 7(c) and 7(d)]. The layer, which consists of the $[O(4)M_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra, was first described by Krivovichev et al. (1998). The second one, which is composed of the edge-sharing pyramids, is described here for the first time. The layers are connected to each other by the common M(5) vertices forming a framework. The $[O(4)M_4]^{n+}$ tetra-hedra are connected to each other by the common M(4)vertices and M(1)-M(1) edges. The O(4) atoms are

surrounded by two metal cations with oxidation state of 2.5+ and two divalent cations. The O(4)—*M* bond lengths in the tetrahedra are 1.96–2.06 Å, the average O(4)—*M* bond length is 2.02 Å. The $[O(5)M_5]^{n+}$ pyramids are connected to each other by the M(2)-M(2), M(3)-M(3) and M(2)-M(3) edges. The O(5) atoms are surrounded by four metal cations with oxidation state of 3+ and one divalent cation. The O(5)—*M* bond lengths in the $[O(5)M_5]^{n+}$ polyhedra vary from 2.07 to 2.09 Å, $\langle O(5)-M \rangle$ is 2.08 Å. The oxocentred polyhedra are interconnected by the common M(5) vertices.

3.3. Thermal analysis

The DSC curve of vonsenite [Fig. S1(a)] shows several thermal effects. The highest peak is within the range of 1513– 1590 K with a maximum at 1571 K and it corresponds to the melting of the sample. Hulsite starts to melt at approximately 1504 K [Fig. S1(b)]. An exothermic effect of low intensity within the range of 520–615 K in the DSC curve of vonsenite corresponds to assumed prolonged oxidation of the Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ oxidation in the sample, which starts at about 500 K according to the Mössbauer spectroscopy data (see §3.1.2).

Biryukov et al. • Mixed-valent iron borates vonsenite and hulsite 7 of 11

799 The oxidation in hulsite occurs at about 600 K (see $\S3.1.3$) and it can be seen in [Fig. S1(b)] (DSC curve) as an exothermic 800 801 effect of low intensity within the range of 550-700 K. An insufficient mass increase by approximately three relative 802 percent in the whole temperature range can be seen in the TG 803 curves of the minerals (Fig. S1), which is probably caused by 804 an increase of the oxygen content in the composition of the 805 samples due to a prolonged solid-phase decomposition of the to hematite, α -Fe₂O₃, and warwickite. 807 samples $(Fe,Mg)_2(BO_3)O$ (see §3.4). Fluctuations in the mass of 808 vonsenite, which are equal to ± 0.3 relative percent, cannot be 809 interpreted correctly, because these values are in the borders 810 of the accuracy of the determination of the weight (± 2 relative 811 percent) (see §2.4). 812

3.4. High-temperature X-ray diffraction

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

822

823

824

826

3.4.1. Thermal behavior of vonsenite. As can be seen in Fig. S2(a), vonsenite undergoes the solid-phase decomposition caused by the oxidation started at about 500 K. At the HTXRD patterns this process is seen as appearance of peaks of α-Fe₂O₃ and assumable[?] X-ray amorphous B₂O₃ at 610 K (I), and then of a mixed-valent iron oxoborate warwickite, $(Fe,Mg)_2(BO_3)O$, at approximately 900 K (II) [Fig. S2(b)]. The difference between the temperatures of the oxidation determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy and HTXRD may be due to the different experimental conditions such as the heating rate. Peaks of vonsenite practically disappear after

828 Oxidation (by Fe₂O₃ peaks Warwickite Mössbauer growth (XRD peaks growth data) (XRD data) spectroscopy 830 data) $V(Å^3)$ 831 355.5 832 growth (XRD Warwickite Oxidation (by Mössbauer peaks growth (XRD data) 833 spectroscopy data) 350.5 data) 834 $V(Å^3)$ 835 180 345.5 836 175 b (Å) 837 β(°) 94.5 838 12.35 839 93.5 840 a (Å) 841 12.25 10.8 a (Å) 842 10.7 9.35 c (Å) 9.31 5.5 845 9.27 5.4 c (Å) 847 b (Å) 3.07 849 3.10 3.08 3.05[⊥]_300 3.06[⊥]₃₀₀ 851 700 500 700 900 500 900 **Temperature** (K) **Temperature (K)** (b)(a)853

Figure 8

854 Temperature dependencies of the unit-cell parameters of (a) vonsenite 855 and (b) hulsite.

Files: b/ra5077/ra5077.3d b/ra5077/ra5077.som **BA5077** FA IU-2015/14(16)6 2015/13(16)6 ()

Table 2		
Thermal expansion coefficients of vonsenite at selected temperature	res (K).	

Coefficient α (×10 ⁶ K ⁻¹)	300	350	375	450	500
α_{a}	11.91 (4)	10.74 (2)	10.66 (2)	9.59(1)	8.27 (3)
$\alpha_{\rm b}$	12.81 (7)	11.87 (3)	11.78 (3)	10.93 (2)	9.86 (5)
α_{c}	8.32 (4)	9.86 (2)	10.01(2)	11.39 (9)	13.14 (3)
$\alpha_{\rm V}$	33.1 (1)	32.5 (5)	32.6 (7)	31.9 (2)	31.3 (8)
$\alpha_{\rm max}/\alpha_{\rm min}$	1.5	1.2	1.15	1.2	1.6

1000 K (III). The change of the quantitative phase composition of vonsenite estimated by the Rietveld method with an increase in temperature is shown in Fig. S3(a).

The temperature dependencies of the orthorhombic unitcell parameters as well as the volume [Fig. 8(a)] were approximated using quadratic polynomials in the temperature range 300-500 K (before the beginning of the oxidation obtained by Mössbauer spectroscopy and subsequent solidphase decomposition) (Table S8).

The calculated thermal expansion coefficients at selected temperatures are given in Table 2.

3.4.2. Thermal behavior of hulsite. The solid-phase decomposition of hulsite begins at about 620 K (I) [Fig. S2(d)]. First, it decomposes to α -Fe₂O₃ (I), peaks of warwickite start to appear at about 730 K (II). Peaks of hulsite practically disappear after 1000 K (III). The change of the quantitative phase composition of hulsite with an increase in temperature is shown in Fig. S3(b). It should be noted that the amount of warwickite is less (about 5 wt%) than that after the decomposition of vonsenite (about 20 wt%). As it was noted by Takéuchi et al. (1950), vonsenite is more structurally similar to warwickite than hulsite.

The temperature dependencies of the monoclinic unit-cell parameters as well as the volume [Fig. 8(b)] were approximated using quadratic polynomials in the temperature range of 300–600 K (before the beginning of the oxidation obtained by Mössbauer spectroscopy and subsequent solid-phase decomposition) (Table S8). The calculated eigenvalues of thermal expansion tensor at selected temperatures are given in Table 3.

Near the critical temperature $T_c \simeq 383$ K determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy an unusual change of thermal expansion coefficients is revealed [see α_{11} and α_{33} in Fig. S4]. This is caused by a fact that there is a minimum of values of cell parameters and β angle at approximately 330–340 K. The additional HTXRD experiment and its experimental data processing confirmed repeatability of such change of the parameters and calculated coefficients near the critical temperature.

It is **expectable to obtain**[?] an abrupt change of thermal expansion coefficients near a critical temperature, which is consistent with thermodynamics on the abrupt change of the coefficients as a second-order derivative of Gibbs energy during the magnetic phase transition (Ehrenfest, 1933). However, there is a partial magnetic ordering in hulsite that should[?] be caused by a quasi-two-dimensional magnetic structure. Below the critical temperature, some of planes are

909

910

911

912

856

857

858

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

	α_{11} and α_{33} are the maximum and minimum inermal expansion coefficients in the monoclinic <i>uc</i> plane.							
Coefficient α (×10 ⁶ K ⁻¹)	300	305	315	325	340	350	500	600
α ₁₁	14.80 (7)	14.56 (7)	14.41 (6)	14.33 (6)	14.42 (6)	14.57 (5)	17.63 (6)	19.11 (2
$\alpha_b = \alpha_{22}$	7.45 (4)	7.52 (3)	7.61 (3)	7.75 (3)	7.91 (3)	8.06 (3)	10.51 (4)	11.56 (8
x ₃₃	12.29 (6)	13.23 (6)	13.09 (6)	12.57 (5)	11.89 (5)	11.14 (4)	-1.39(5)	-6.93 (5
$\mu_{c3} = (a_{33,c}) (^{\circ})$	82.2	72.9	62.1	45.2	36.8	32.6	23.6	23.1
α_{β}	0.31 (2)	0.51 (2)	0.69(2)	1.07 (2)	1.45 (2)	1.84 (2)	7.96(1)	10.63 (2
α	13.35 (2)	13.41 (2)	13.45 (2)	13.56 (2)	13.67 (2)	13.77 (2)	15.45 (1)	16.19 (2
α_c	14.81 (8)	14.41 (9)	14.11 (9)	13.51 (8)	12.82 (9)	12.11 (8)	1.65 (8)	-2.92 (8
α_V	35.5 (2)	35.3 (2)	35.1 (2)	34.7 (2)	34.2 (1)	33.8 (1)	26.7 (1)	23.6 (2)

magnetically ordered, while others are not ordered. Probably, due to this reason, an abrupt change is not observed, but only the unusual one. Relation between the behavior of the crystal lattice and magnetic ordering is intensively discussing[?please reword], and is connected with coupling between strain and order parameter (Salje, 1992; Magdysyuk et al., 2014).

4. Discussion

913

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

936

937

Table 3

4.1. Thermal expansion of vonsenite and hulsite

The crystal structure of vonsenite expands slightly aniso-938 tropically (Table 2). The temperature dependencies of the a 939 and b cell parameters increase monotonously [concave 940 dependencies in Fig. 8(a)] causing a decrease in the expansion 941 along these directions. Such thermal expansion is caused by 942 the prolonged Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} oxidation in the M(1) and / or M(3)943 positions since it is known that the ionic radius r_{ion} of Fe²⁺ is 944 less than that of Fe³⁺ in octahedral coordination (Shannon, 945 946 1976). Thus, this process should lead to a shortening of the M(1)-O and / or M(3)-O distances. The maximum expan-947 sion is along the c axis, *i.e.* it is perpendicular to the planes of 948 the $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles [Fig. 6(c)], which is consistent with the 949 principles of the high-temperature crystal chemistry of borates 950 (Bubnova & Filatov, 2013). Values of volume thermal expan-951 sion coefficient decrease with an increase in temperature. The 952 decrease of the α_{11} and α_{22} coefficients, which is caused by the 953 prolonged oxidation, as well as the expansion of the structure 954 along the c axis, which is caused by the arrangement of the 955 $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles, both lead to an insignificant degree of 956 thermal expansion anisotropy $\alpha_{\text{max}}/\alpha_{\text{min}}$ (Table 2). 957

The structure of hulsite expands highly anisotropically 958 (Table 3). Thermal oscillations of the $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles also 959 contribute to the thermal expansion of hulsite [Fig. 6(d)], 960 961 although, this contribution is less significant than for vonsenite. It is possible to consider the anisotropy of thermal 962 expansion of hulsite in terms of the theory of hinge defor-963 mation of monoclinic and triclinic crystals (Filatov, 2011; 964 Bubnova & Filatov, 2013). According to the theory, the 965 maximum thermal expansion of monoclinic compounds 966 967 should be along one of the *ac* parallelogram's diagonals if the β angle changes. In this case, while the β angle increases the 968 maximum expansion at 600 K is along the direction that is 969

close to the longer diagonal of the parallelogram ($\alpha_{11} = 19.11$ $\times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$) [Fig. 7(a)]. There is also a negative linear expansion (contraction) with an increase in temperature, which is practically along the c axis ($\alpha_{33} = -6.93 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$) that is probably due to the Fe^{2+} and/or $Fe^{2.5+}$ to Fe^{3+} oxidation in the M(1), M(4) and/or M(5) positions.

Values of volume thermal expansion coefficients for both minerals that belong to a family of 3 Å wallpaper structure compounds are practically the same at 300 K and decrease with an increase in temperature due to the iron oxidation process. The anisotropy of its expansion differs significantly with an increase in temperature, in particular, due to the specific arrangement of the oxocentred polyhedra. In the structure of vonsenite, the $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ double chains are located practically perpendicular to each other causing the slight anisotropy of the expansion [Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)]. In the structure of hulsite, $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ layers alternate with each other causing the high anisotropy of the expansion in a direction that is practically perpendicular to these layers [Figs. 7(c) and 7(d)].

5. Conclusion

The mixed-valent iron oxoborates, orthorhombic vonsenite and monoclinic hulsite, belonging to a family of 3 Å wallpaper structure compounds were investigated by a set of hightemperature in situ methods. In terms of the oxocentred polyhedra, the structures of vonsenite and hulsite are described as the frameworks composed of $[O(4)M_4]^{n+}$ tetrahedra and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ tetragonal pyramids that form double chains and double[?] layers, respectively. Oxidation states and distribution of iron and tin atoms in the oxoborates were determined using Mössbauer spectroscopy and compared with EDX and X-ray diffraction data. The temperatures of the Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ oxidation in vonsenite and hulsite are approximately 500 and 600 K, respectively. For the first time, the partial magnetic ordering was observed in hulsite with $T_{\rm C}$ at approximately 383 K. The oxidation is accompanied by an assumed deformation of crystal structures and subsequent solid-phase decomposition to hematite and warwickite. This process is seen at the temperature dependencies of unit-cell parameters and volume as its monotonic increase (concave dependencies). Near the critical temperature, the unusual

1027 change of thermal expansion coefficients of hulsite was revealed. Further research will be focused on understanding 1028 1029 the reasons of such a change and it will be necessary to conduct a set of low-temperature experiments (magnetome-1030 tery, heat capacity, low-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy 1031 and X-ray diffraction). The eigenvalues of the thermal 1032 expansion tensor were calculated for the oxoborates (before 1033 the beginning of the oxidation and subsequent solid-phase 1034 decomposition). The values of volume thermal expansion 1035 coefficients of the compounds are comparable to each other. 1036 Although thermal oscillations of $[BO_3]^{3-}$ triangles contribute 1037 to the anisotropy of thermal expansion of hulsite and vonse-1038 nite, the anisotropy differs significantly with an increase in 1039 temperature and the reason for its difference is in the 1040 contribution of oxocentred $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ polyhedra 1041 that form different sequences of the double chains and double 1042 lavers in the structures of the oxoborates. In the structure of 1043 vonsenite, the $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ double chains are located 1044 1045 practically perpendicular to each other causing the slight anisotropy of the expansion. In hulsite, $[OM_4]^{n+}$ and $[OM_5]^{n+}$ 1046 layers alternate with each other causing the high anisotropy of 1047 the expansion in a direction that is practically perpendicular to 1048 these layers. The maximum expansion is along the direction 1049 that is close to the longer diagonal of the *ac* parallelogram, 1050 which is consistent with the theory of hinge deformations of 1051 the monoclinic crystals. 1052

Acknowledgements

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

The X-ray diffraction experiments were performed at The Centre for X-ray Diffraction Studies (Saint Petersburg State University). The authors are grateful to Dr M. G. Krzhizhanovskaya (Institute of Earth Sciences, Department of Crystallography, Saint Petersburg State University) for conducting the high-temperature X-ray powder diffraction experiments and Dr V. L. Ugolkov (Institute of Silicate Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences) for conducting the thermal analysis experiments. Dr Farit G. Vagizov acknowledges the Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University funded by the Russian Government.

Funding information

The following funding is acknowledged: Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) (grant No. 18-33-00644 to Yaroslav P. Birvukov, Almaz L. Zinnatullin, Institute of Silicate Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences).

- 1077 Aleksandrov, S. M. (1998). Geochemistry of Skarn and Ore Formation in Dolomites. VSP BV. 288. 1078
- Aleksandrov, S. M., Malysheva, T. V. & Rodin, S. S. (1967). 1079 Geochemistry 10, 1104–1110. (In Russian.) 1080
- Biryukov, Ya. P., Bubnova, R. S., Filatov, S. K. & Goncharov, A. G. 1081 (2016). Glass Phys. Chem. 42, 202-206. 1082
- Biryukov, Y. P., Filatov, S. K., Vagizov, F. G., Zinnatullin, A. L. & 1083 Bubnova, R. S. (2018). J. Struct. Chem. 59, 1980–1988.

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

- Bruker (2012). APEX and XPREP. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bubnova, R. S. & Filatov, S. K. (2013). Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 228. 395-428.
- Bubnova, R. S., Firsova, V. A., Volkov, S. N. & Filatov, S. K. (2018). Glass Phys. Chem. 44, 33-40.
- Bubnova, R. S., Shepelev, Ju. F., Sennova, N. A. & Filatov, S. K. (2002). Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 217, 444-450.
- Douvalis, A. P., Moukarika, A., Bakas, T., Kallias, G. & Papaefthymiou, V. (2002). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 14, 3303-3320.
- Eakle, A. S. (1920). Am. Mineral. 5, 141-143.
- Ehrenfest, P. (1933). Proc. R. Acad. 36, 153-157.
- Filatov, S. K. (2011). Crystallogr. Rep. 56, 953-961.
- Filatov, S. K. & Bubnova, R. S. (2007). Z. Kristallogr. Suppl. 2007, 447-452. NOT CITED, REMOVE?
- Filatov, S. K., Bubnova, R. S., Shepelev, Y., Anderson, J. & Smolin, Y. (2005). Cryst. Res. Technol. 40, 7-20. NOT CITED REMOVE?
- Freitas, D. C., Continentino, M. A., Guimarães, R. B., Fernandes, J. C., Oliveira, E. P., Santelli, R. E., Ellena, J., Eslava, G. G. & Ghivelder, L. (2009). Phys. Rev. B, 79, 134437.
- Freitas, D. C., Guimarães, R. B., Fernandes, J. C., Continentino, M. A., Pinheiro, C. B., Resende, J. A. L. C., Eslava, G. G. & Ghivelder, L. (2010). Phys. Rev. B, 81, 174403.
- Gomonay, E. V. & Loktev, V. M. (2014). Low Temp. Phys. 40, 17-35.
- Greedan, J. E. (2001). J. Mater. Chem. 11, 37-53.
- Greedan, J. E. (2010). Functional Oxides, edited by D. W. Bruce, D. O'Hare and R. I. Walton, ch. 2, pp. 41-117. John Wiley and Sons.
- Hawthorne, F. C. (2014). Mineral. Mag. 78, 957-1027. Huang, K. (1987). Statistical Mechanics, 2nd ed. p. 432. Wiley
- Knopf, A. & Schaller, W. T. (1908). Am. J. Sci. 175, 323-331.
- Knyazev, Yu. V., Kazak, N. V., Nazarenko, I. I., Sofronova, S. N., Rostovtsev, N. D., Bartolome, J., Arauzo, A. S. & Ovchinnikov, G.
- (2019). J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 474, 493-500. Konnert, J. A., Appleman, D. A. & Clark, J. R. (1976). Am. Mineral.
- 61, 116-122. Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J.
- Appl. Cryst. 48, 3-10.
- Krivovichev, S. V., Filatov, S. K. & Semenova, T. F. (1998). Russ. Chem. Rev. 67, 137-155.
- Krivovichev, S. V., Mentré, O., Siidra, O. I., Colmont, M. & Filatov, S. K. (2013). Chem. Rev. 113, 6459-6535.
- Larrea, J. J., Sánchez, D. R., Litterst, F. J., Baggio-Saitovitch, E. M., Fernandes, J. C., Guimarães, R. B. & Continentino, M. A. (2004). Phys. Rev. B, 70, 174452.
- Li, Z., Stevens, J. G., Zhang, Y. & Zeng, Y. (1994). Hyperfine Interact. 83. 489-494.
- Lou, Y., Li, D., Li, Z., Jin, S. & Chen, X. (2015). Sci. Rep. 5, 10996. NOT CITED, REMOVE?
- Magdysyuk, O. V., Müller, M., Dinnebier, R. E., Lipp, C. & Schleid, T. (2014). J. Appl. Cryst. 47, 701–711.
- Matsnev, M. E. & Rusakov, V. S. (2012). AIP Conf. Proc. pp. 178-185.
- Medrano, C. P. C., Freitas, D. C., Passamani, E. C., Resende, J. A. L. C., Alzamora, M., Granado, E., Galdino, C. W., Baggio-Saitovitch, E., Continentino, M. A. & Sanchez, D. R. (2018). Phys. Rev. B, 98, 054435.
- Medrano, C. P. C., Freitas, D. C., Sanchez, D. R., Pinheiro, C. B., Eslava, G. G., Ghivelder, L. & Continentino, M. A. (2015). Phys. Rev. B, 91, 054402.
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1272-1276.
- Moore, P. B. & Araki, T. (1974). Am. Mineral. 59, 985-1004.
- Oxford Instruments (2016). AZtec. Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK
- Petříček, V., Dušek, M. & Palatinus, L. (2014). Z. Kristallogr. 229, 345-352.
- Salje, E. (1992). Phys. Rep. 215, 49-99.

10 of 11 Biryukov et al. • Mixed-valent iron borates vonsenite and hulsite

Files: b/ra5077/ra5077.3d b/ra5077/ra5077.sgml RA5077 FA IU-2015/14(16)6 2015/13(16)6 ()

References

Bovo, L., Twengström, M., Petrenko, O. A., Fennell, T., Gingras, M. J. P., Bramwell, S. T. & Henelius, P. (2018). Nat. Commun. 9, 1999.

- Sasaki, A., Himeda, A., Konaka, H. & Muroyama, N. (2010). *Rigaku* J. 26, 10–14.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). *Acta Cryst.* A**32**, 751–767.

- Shepelev, Y. F., Bubnova, R. S., Filatov, S. K., Sennova, N. A. &

 Pilneva, N. A. (2005). J. Solid State Chem. 178, 2987–2997. NOT

 CITED, REMOVE?
- Shimomura, S., Nakamura, S., Ikeda, N., Kaneko, E., Kato, K. &
 Kohn, K. (2007). J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 310, 793–795.
- Smith, D. L. & Zuckerman, J. J. (1967). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29, 1203–1210.
- Suknev, V. S. & Diman, E. N. (1975). J. Appl. Spectrosc. 22, 194–196.

- Swinnea, J. S. & Steinfink, H. (1983). Am. Mineral. 68, 827–832. Takéuchi, Y. (1956). Mineral. J. 2, 19–26.
- Takéuchi, Y., Watanabé, T. & Ito, T. (1950). *Acta Cryst.* **3**, 98–107. Taroni, A., Bramwell, S. T. & Holdsworth, P. C. W. (2008). *J. Phys.*
- Condens. Matter, 20, 275233.
- Vallejo, E. & Avignon, M. (2007). J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **310**, 1130–1132.
- Whangbo, M., Koo, H. J., Dumas, J. & Continentino, M. A. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* 41, 2193–2201.
- Yamnova, N. A., Simonov, V. A. & Belov, N. V. (1978). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 238, 1094–1097.