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#### Abstract

Let $P, Q$ be projections on a Hilbert space. We prove the equivalence of the following conditions: (i) $P Q+Q P \leq 2(Q P Q)^{p}$ for some number $0<p \leq 1$; (ii) $P Q$ is paranormal; (iii) $P Q$ is $M^{*}$-paranormal; (iv) $P Q=Q P$. This allows us to obtain the commutativity criterion for a von Neumann algebra. For a positive normal functional $\varphi$ on von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ it is proved the equivalence of the following conditions: (i) $\varphi$ is tracial; (ii) $\varphi(P Q+Q P) \leq 2 \varphi\left((Q P Q)^{p}\right)$ for all projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and for some $p=p(P, Q) \in(0,1]$; (iii) $\varphi(P Q P) \leq \varphi(P)^{1 / p} \varphi(Q)^{1 / q}$ for all projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and some positive numbers $p=p(P, Q), q=q(P, Q)$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1$, $p \neq 2$. Corollary: for a positive normal functional $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $\varphi$ is tracial; (ii) $\varphi\left(A+A^{*}\right) \leq 2 \varphi\left(\left|A^{*}\right|\right)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This work continues the research of the authors [1-4], which contain new conditions for the commutativity of projections and various characterizations of the trace among all positive normal functionals on von Neumann algebras.

Let $P, Q$ be projections on a Hilbert space. In Theorem 3.2 we prove the equivalence of the following conditions: (i) $P Q+Q P \leq 2(Q P Q)^{p}$ for some number $0<p \leq 1$; (ii) $P Q$ is paranormal; (iii) $P Q$ is $M^{*}$-paranormal; (iv) $P Q=Q P$. This allows us to obtain the commutativity criterion for a von Neumann algebra (Corollary 3.3). In Theorem 4.1 for a positive normal functional $\varphi$ on von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ we prove the equivalence of the following conditions: (i) $\varphi$ is tracial; (ii) $\varphi(P Q+$ $Q P) \leq 2 \varphi\left((Q P Q)^{p}\right)$ for all projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and for some $p=p(P, Q) \in(0,1] ;($ iii $) \varphi(P Q P) \leq$ $\varphi(P)^{1 / p} \varphi(Q)^{1 / q}$ for all projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}$ and some positive numbers $p=p(P, Q), q=q(P, Q)$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1, p \neq 2$. Corollary 4.2 : for a positive normal functional $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $\varphi$ is tracial; (ii) $\varphi\left(A+A^{*}\right) \leq 2 \varphi\left(\left|A^{*}\right|\right)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

## 2. NOTATION, DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space over field $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $*$-algebra of all linear bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. An operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be paranormal (respectively, $M^{*}$-paranormal for some number $M>0$ ), if $\left\|X^{2} \xi\right\| \geq\|X \xi\|^{2}$ (respectively, $M\left\|X^{2} \xi\right\| \geq\left\|X^{*} \xi\right\|^{2}$ ) for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|\xi\|=1$. An operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a projection, if $X=X^{2}=X^{*}$. By the commutant of a set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we mean the set

$$
\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}): X Y=Y X \text { for all } X \in \mathcal{X}\} .
$$

[^0]A *-subalgebra $\mathcal{M}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a von Neumann algebra acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ if $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}$. If $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ then $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is a von Neumann algebra, and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime \prime}$ is the smallest von Neumann algebra that containes $\mathcal{X}$. For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ let $\mathcal{M}^{\text {sa }}, \mathcal{M}^{+}, \mathcal{M}^{u}, \mathcal{M}^{\text {id }}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$ denote its Hermitian, positive, unitary parts, the set of idempotents and the set of projections, respectively. For every operator $A \in \mathcal{M}$ its modulus $|A|=\sqrt{A^{*} A}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}^{+}$. Let $I$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ denote the unit and the center of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. For $Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {id }}$ we have $Q^{\perp}=I-Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {id }}$. For $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ we write $P \sim Q$ (the Murray-von Neumann equivalence) if $P=U^{*} U$ and $Q=U U^{*}$ for some $U \in \mathcal{M}$. A positive functional $\varphi$ on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a state, if $\varphi(I)=1$; tracial, if $\varphi\left(Z^{*} Z\right)=\varphi\left(Z Z^{*}\right)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{M}$; normal, if $A_{i} \nearrow A\left(A_{i}, A \in \mathcal{M}^{+}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi(A)=\sup \varphi\left(A_{i}\right)$. By $\mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$we denote the set of all positive normal functionals on $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.1 [5, Theorem 2.3.3]. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra of type $I_{n}$ ( $n$ is a cardinal number). Then $\mathcal{N}$ is ${ }^{*}$-isomorphic to the tensor product $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}=n$.

Lemma 2.2 [6, Corollary 3.3]. For every $X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(C(\Omega))^{\text {sa }}$ the algebra $\mathbb{M}_{n}(C(\Omega))$ contains a unitary operator $U$ such that $U^{*} X U(\omega)$ is diagonal for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

Lemma 2.3 [7, Chap. 5, item (ii) of Theorem 1.41]. If a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ is generated by two projections $P, R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\mathrm{pr}}$, then there exists a unique projection $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{Z}$ is of type $I_{2}$ and the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{Z^{\perp}}$ is Abelian, moreover, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{N}_{Z^{\perp}} \leq 4$.

Let $g(t)=\sqrt{t(1-t)}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{A}|\delta|=1$. By $R^{(\delta, t)}$ we denote the projection

$$
R^{(\delta, t)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & \delta g(t)  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\operatorname{delta}}{}(t) & 1-t
\end{array}\right)
$$

which lies in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{pr}}$.

## 3. THE NEW COMMUTATIVITY CRITERIONS OF PROJECTIONS

The search of the new commutativity criterions of projections was motivated by the following definition: Two (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operators $A$ and $B$ are said to be commuting, if all projections of the its corresponding projection-valued measures commute [8, Chap. VIII, §5, Definition].

Proposition 3.1. If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{s a}, Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text {id }}$ and $X Q$ is an $M^{*}$-paranormal operator then $X Q=Q^{*} X$.

Proof. It is well known [9] that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is $M^{*}$-paranormal if and only if

$$
M^{2} T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 \lambda T T^{*}+\lambda^{2} I \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

For $T=X Q$ this inequality takes the form

$$
M^{2} Q^{*} X Q^{*} X^{2} Q X Q-2 \lambda X Q Q^{*} X+\lambda^{2} I \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

We multiply both sides of this inequality from the left by the operator $Q^{* \perp}=Q^{\perp *}$ and from the right by the operator $Q^{\perp}$, and obtain

$$
-2 \lambda Q^{\perp *} X Q Q^{*} X Q^{\perp}+\lambda^{2} Q^{\perp *} Q^{\perp} \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Then division by $\lambda>0$ yields $-2 Q^{\perp *} X Q Q^{*} X Q^{\perp}+\lambda Q^{\perp *} Q^{\perp} \geq 0$ for all $\lambda>0$. Passing to the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $-2 Q^{\perp *} X Q Q^{*} X Q^{\perp}=-2\left|Q^{*} X Q^{\perp}\right|^{2} \geq 0$. Hence, $Q^{*} X Q^{\perp}=0$ and $Q^{*} X=$ $Q^{*} X Q=\left(Q^{*} X Q\right)^{*}=\left(Q^{*} X\right)^{*}=X Q$. The assertion is proved.

Theorem 3.2. For $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{p r}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\frac{P Q+Q P}{2} \leq(Q P Q)^{p}$ for some number $0<p \leq 1$;
(ii) $P Q$ is paranormal;
(iii) $P Q$ is $M^{*}$-paranormal;
(iv) $P Q=Q P$.

Proof. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For $0<p \leq 1$ we have

$$
\frac{P Q+Q P}{2}=Q P Q \leq(Q P Q)^{p}
$$

by the inequality $Q P Q \leq Q I Q=Q \leq I$ and the Spectral Theorem.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). With $P$ and $Q$ we associate the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}=\{P, Q\}^{\prime \prime}$ generated by them. By Lemma 2.3 there exists a unique projection $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ such that the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{Z}$ is of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{Z \perp}$ is Abelian. Obviously, the projections $P Z^{\perp}$ and $Q Z^{\perp}$ commute. Gelfand's theorem on the representation of an Abelian unital $C^{*}$-algebra (see, for example, [7, Chap. 3, Theorem 1.18]) implies that the algebra $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{N}_{Z}\right)$ is ${ }^{*}$-isomorphic to the $C^{*}$-algebra $C(\Omega)$ of all complex-valued continuous functions on the Stone space $\Omega$ of all characters of the algebra $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{N}_{Z}\right)$. Now from Lemma 2.1 it follows that the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{Z}$ is ${ }^{*}$-isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathbb{M}_{2}(C(\Omega))$.

We define the domains of the rank constancy (or, equivalently, the domains of the canonical trace tr) for $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(C(\Omega))^{\mathrm{pr}}$ as follows:

$$
\Omega_{j}(\tilde{R})=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \tilde{r}_{11}(\omega)+\tilde{r}_{22}(\omega)=j\right\}, \quad j \in\{0,1,2\}
$$

The sets $\Omega_{j}(\tilde{R})$ are closed (being the preimages of the closed sets $\{j\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ under a continuous mapping) and constitute a covering of the space $\Omega$ by disjoint sets.

The projections $P Z$ and $Q Z$ are identified with $\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(C(\Omega))^{\mathrm{pr}}$, respectively. Let

$$
\Omega_{i j}=\Omega_{i}(\tilde{P}) \cap \Omega_{j}(\tilde{Q}), \quad i, j \in\{0,1,2\} .
$$

All the nine sets $\Omega_{i j}$ are open-closed and constitute a covering of the space $\Omega$ by disjoint sets. If $\omega \in \Omega \backslash \Omega_{11}$, then $\tilde{P} \tilde{Q}(\omega)=\tilde{Q} \tilde{P}(\omega)$.

Lemma 2.2 implies that there exist a unitary $U \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(C(\Omega))^{\text {u }}$ and a closed subset $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}(\tilde{P}) \subset \Omega_{1}(\tilde{P})$, such that $U^{*}(\omega) \tilde{P}(\omega) U(\omega)=\operatorname{diag}(1,0)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(\tilde{P})$ and $U^{*}(\omega) \tilde{P}(\omega) U(\omega)=\operatorname{diag}(0,1)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{1}(\tilde{P}) \backslash \Omega_{1}^{\prime}(\tilde{P})$. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the case

$$
P=\operatorname{diag}(1,0), \quad Q=R^{(\delta, t)}, \quad \delta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad|\delta|=1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 ;
$$

see (1). Since $Q P Q=t Q$, we have $(Q P Q)^{t}=t^{p} Q$. Since

$$
\frac{P Q+Q P}{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & * \\
* & *
\end{array}\right), \quad t^{p} Q-\frac{P Q+Q P}{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t^{p+1}-t & * \\
* & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

(here and elsewhere, the symbol "*" denotes matrix entries whose values will not be needed), we have $t^{p+1}-t \geq 0$ and $t \in\{0,1\}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). It is well known [10, Problem 9.5], that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is paranormal if and only if

$$
|T|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|T^{2}\right|^{2}+\lambda I\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad \lambda>0
$$

For $T=P Q$ this inequality takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 Q P Q \leq \lambda^{-1}(Q P Q)^{3}+\lambda I \quad \text { for all } \quad \lambda>0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P Q \neq Q P$, then an operator $Q P Q$ is not a projection and its spectrum $\sigma(Q P Q)$ contains some number $x \in(0,1)$. By (2) and the Spectral Theorem the number $x$ satisfies the inequality

$$
2 x \leq \lambda^{-1} x^{3}+\lambda \quad \text { for all } \quad \lambda>0
$$

However, for $\lambda=x^{3 / 2}$ it does not hold.
The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from Proposition 3.1.
Corollary 3.3. For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\frac{P Q+Q P}{2} \leq(Q P Q)^{p}$ for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ and some $p=p(P, Q) \in(0,1]$;
(ii) $P Q$ is paranormal for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$;
(iii) $P Q$ is $M^{*}$-paranormal for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ and some number $M=M(P, Q)>0$;
(iv) $\mathcal{M}$ is Abelian.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). By assumption, all projections from $\mathcal{M}$ commute. Then by the Spectral Theorem all the Hermitian operators from $\mathcal{M}$ also commute. Recall that every operator $A$ from $\mathcal{M}$ can be represented as the sum $A=T+i S$ with Hermitian operators $T=\left(A+A^{*}\right) / 2, S=\left(A-A^{*}\right) /(2 i)$ from $\mathcal{M}$.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). An Abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is ${ }^{*}$-isomorphic to the algebra $L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ on a localized measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$. For all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$ there exist $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $P=\chi_{A}, Q=\chi_{B}$ and inequality (i) for the indicators turns into equality. The assertion is proved.

## 4. TRACE CHARACTERIZATION ON VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

Theorem 4.1. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\varphi$ is tracial;
(ii) $\varphi\left(\frac{P Q+Q P}{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left((Q P Q)^{p}\right)$ for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ and for some number $p=p(P, Q) \in(0,1]$;
(iii) $\varphi(P Q P) \leq \varphi(P)^{1 / p} \varphi(Q)^{1 / q}$ for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ and for some positive numbers $p=p(P, Q)$, $q=q(P, Q)$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1, p \neq 2$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). For all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$ and $0<p \leq 1$ by the inequality $Q P Q \leq(Q P Q)^{p}$ and monotonocity of $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\text {sa }}$ we have

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{P Q+Q P}{2}\right)=\varphi(Q P Q) \leq \varphi\left((Q P Q)^{p}\right)
$$

The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from the inequalities $P Q P \leq P I P=P, Q P Q \leq Q I Q=Q$ and monotonocity of $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}^{+}$.

Let us show that for an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, the proof of the inverse implications can be reduced to the case of the algebra $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ just as this was done in a number of other similar cases (see [11] or [12]).

It is well known [11] that $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$is tracial if and only if $\varphi(P)=\varphi(Q)$ for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$ with $P Q=0$ and $P \sim Q$ (also see [12, Lemma 2]). Assume that a ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{N}$ in the reduced algebra $(P+Q) \mathcal{M}(P+Q)$ is generated by a partial isometry $V \in \mathcal{M}$ realizing the equivalence of $P$ and $Q$. Then $\mathcal{N}$ is ${ }^{*}$-isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, while inequalities (ii) and (iii) remain valid for operators from $\mathcal{N}$ and the restriction of the functional $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathcal{N}}$. We shall show that such a restriction is a tracial functional on $\mathcal{N}$; therefore, $\varphi(P)=\varphi(Q)$.

As it is well known, every linear functional $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ can be represented in the form $\varphi(\cdot)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S_{\varphi} \cdot\right)$. The two-by-two matrix $S_{\varphi}$ is called the density matrix of $\varphi$. It is easily seen that without loss of generality we can assume that

$$
S_{\varphi}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}-s, \frac{1}{2}+s\right), \quad 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Thus $\varphi(X)$ equals $(1 / 2-s) x_{11}+(1 / 2+s) x_{22}$ for $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{2}$ in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.
Let $0<\varepsilon \leq 1 / 2, h(\varepsilon)=1 / 4-\varepsilon^{2}\left(=g(1 / 2-\varepsilon)^{2}\right)$. Consider two one-dimensional projections $P=$ $R^{(1,1 / 2-\varepsilon)}$ and $Q=R^{(1,1 / 2+\varepsilon)}$, see (1). We have

$$
Q P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 h(\varepsilon) & (1+2 \varepsilon) \sqrt{h(\varepsilon)} \\
(1-2 \varepsilon) \sqrt{h(\varepsilon)} & 2 h(\varepsilon)
\end{array}\right), \quad Q P Q=4 h(\varepsilon) Q
$$

Hence $(Q P Q)^{p}=\left(1-4 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{p} Q$ and inequality in (ii) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}-2 \varepsilon^{2} \leq\left(1-4 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 \varepsilon s\right), \quad 0<\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Taylor's formula with the remainder in the Peano form we obtain

$$
\left(1-4 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{p}=1-4 p \varepsilon^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

and from (3) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2}-2 \varepsilon^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}-2 \varepsilon s-2 p \varepsilon^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

By assumption $s \geq 0$, hence inequality (3) holds for all $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 2]$ only in the case $s=0$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let, for certainty, $p>q$. In our notation we have $P Q P=4 h(\varepsilon) P, \varphi(P)=1 / 2+2 s \varepsilon$, $\varphi(Q)=1 / 2-2 s \varepsilon$ and $\varphi(P Q P)=1 / 2+2 s \varepsilon-2 \varepsilon^{2}-8 s \varepsilon^{3}$. The inequality $\varphi(P Q P) \leq \varphi(P)^{1 / p} \varphi(Q)^{1 / q}$ takes the form

$$
\frac{1}{2}+2 s \varepsilon-2 \varepsilon^{2}-8 s \varepsilon^{3} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+2 s \varepsilon\right)^{1 / p}\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 s \varepsilon\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by $2=2^{1 / p} 2^{1 / q}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+4 s \varepsilon-4 \varepsilon^{2}-16 s \varepsilon^{3} \leq(1+4 s \varepsilon)^{1 / p}(1-4 s \varepsilon)^{1 / q} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taylor's formula implies the asymptotic equalities

$$
(1+4 s \varepsilon)^{1 / p}=1+\frac{4 s}{p} \varepsilon+o(\varepsilon), \quad(1-4 s \varepsilon)^{1 / q}=1-\frac{4 s}{q} \varepsilon+o(\varepsilon)
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, and the right-hand side of inequality (4) is equal to

$$
1+\frac{4(q-p) s}{p q} \varepsilon+o(\varepsilon) \quad(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+)
$$

Since $s \geq 0$, inequality (4) for all $0<\varepsilon \leq 1 / 2$ holds only in the case of $s=0$.
Corollary 4.2. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\varphi$ is tracial;
(ii) $\varphi\left(\frac{A+A^{*}}{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\left|A^{*}\right|\right)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Recall [13], that for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there exist partial isometries $U, V \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
|X+Y| \leq U|X| U^{*}+V|Y| V^{*}
$$

If $Z=W|Z|$ is the polar decomposition of an operator $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ then $W \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\left|Z^{*}\right|=W|Z| W^{*}$. Also $|\varphi(T)| \leq \varphi(|T|)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{M}$, see [7, p. 320]. By virtue of this and the monotonicity of the tracial functional $\varphi$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi\left(\frac{A+A^{*}}{2}\right) \leq\left|\varphi\left(\frac{A+A^{*}}{2}\right)\right| \leq \varphi\left(\left|\frac{A+A^{*}}{2}\right|\right)=\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(\left|A+A^{*}\right|\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(U|A| U^{*}+V\left|A^{*}\right| V^{*}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi\left(U|A| U^{*}\right)+\varphi\left(V\left|A^{*}\right| V^{*}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi\left(U W\left|A^{*}\right| W^{*} U^{*}\right)+\varphi\left(V\left|A^{*}\right| V^{*}\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(\left|A^{*}\right|\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For $A=P Q$ with $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$ condition (ii) of Theorem 4.1 is met with $p=1 / 2$. The assertion is proved.

For other trace characterizations, see [14-24] and the references therein.
Corollary 4.3. For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) an algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is Abelian;
(ii) $\varphi\left(\frac{P Q+Q P}{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left((Q P Q)^{p}\right)$ for all normal states $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text {pr }}$ and some number $p=p(P, Q) \in(0,1]$;
(iii) $\varphi(P Q P) \leq \varphi(P)^{1 / p} \varphi(Q)^{1 / q}$ for all normal states $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ and some positive numbers $p=p(P, Q), q=q(P, Q)$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1, p \neq 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 every normal state on $\mathcal{M}$ is tracial, i.e., $\varphi(X Y)=\varphi(Y X)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$. Since the set $\mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$separates the points of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ [25, Chap. III, Theorem 2.4.5], it follows from the last condition that $X Y=Y X(X, Y \in \mathcal{M})$, and thus the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is commutative.

Proposition 4.4. For every number $K>0$ there exists a positive functional $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that
(i) $\varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right) \geq 0$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{2}^{+}(\mathbb{C})$;
(ii) $\varphi \neq \lambda$ tr for all numbers $\lambda>0$.

Proof. Let $K>1$ and consider

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K^{2}+1 & 0 \\
0 & K^{2}-1
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & \delta_{1} x_{2} \\
\delta_{1} x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y_{1} & \delta_{2} y_{2} \\
\delta_{2} y_{2} & y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \geq 0,\left|\delta_{i}\right|=1, \delta_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, x_{1} x_{3} \geq x_{2}^{2}, y_{1} y_{3} \geq y_{2}^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right) \\
=\left(K^{2}+1\right)\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(K^{2}-1\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)+\left(K^{2}+1\right)\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(K^{2}-1\right)\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
+2 K\left(\left(K^{2}+1\right) x_{1} y_{1}+\left(\left(K^{2}+1\right) \operatorname{Re} \delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}}+\left(K^{2}-1\right) \operatorname{Re} \overline{\delta_{1}} \delta_{2}\right) x_{2} y_{2}+\left(K^{2}-1\right) x_{3} y_{3}\right) \\
=\left(K^{2}+1\right)\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)+\left(K^{2}-1\right)\left(x_{3}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)+2 K^{2}\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
+2 K\left(\left(K^{2}+1\right) x_{1} y_{1}+2\left(K+K^{2} \operatorname{Re} \delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}}\right) x_{2} y_{2}+\left(K^{2}-1\right) x_{3} y_{3}\right) \\
\geq\left(K^{2}+1\right)\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)+2 K^{2}\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(K^{2}-1\right)\left(x_{3}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
+2 K\left(\left(K^{2}+1\right) x_{1} y_{1}+2 K(1-K) \sqrt{x_{1} y_{1} x_{3} y_{3}}+\left(K^{2}-1\right) x_{3} y_{3}\right) \\
=\left(K^{2}+1\right)\left(x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right)+2 K^{2}\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(K^{2}-1\right)\left(x_{3}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
+2 K(K+1) x_{1} y_{1}+2 K(K-1) x_{3} y_{3}+2 K^{2}(K-1)\left(\sqrt{x_{1} y_{1}}-\sqrt{x_{3} y_{3}}\right)^{2}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition is proved.
Consider a matrix

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & \delta_{1} x_{2}  \tag{5}\\
\overline{\delta_{1}} x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \geq 0, \quad x_{1} x_{3} \geq x_{2}^{2}, \quad \delta_{1} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad\left|\delta_{1}\right|=1 .
$$

Lemma 4.5. Let $X$ be as in (5) and for $\lambda \in[0,1], a>0, \delta_{2} \in \mathbb{C},\left|\delta_{2}\right|=1$ put $A=\operatorname{diag}(a, 0)$, $B=R^{\left(\delta_{2}, \lambda\right)}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\exists K_{0}>-1 \forall K>K_{0} \forall A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{2}^{+}(\mathbb{C}) \operatorname{tr}\left(X\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right)\right) \geq 0$;
(ii) $A X=X A\left(\right.$ i.e., $\left.x_{2}=0\right)$.

Proof. We have $A^{2}=a A, A B=(B A)^{*}$ and $\varphi\left(A^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(a X A)=a^{2} x_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(B^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(X B)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda x_{1}+\delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}} x_{2} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)} & * \\
* & \overline{\delta_{1}} \delta_{2} x_{2} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}+x_{3}(1-\lambda)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\lambda x_{1}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}}\right) x_{2} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}+x_{3}(1-\lambda) \text {, } \\
& \varphi(A B)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a x_{1} \lambda & * \\
* & \overline{\delta_{1}} \delta_{2} a x_{2} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}
\end{array}\right)=a x_{1} \lambda+\overline{\delta_{1}} \delta_{2} a x_{2} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}, \\
& \varphi(B A)=\varphi\left((A B)^{*}\right)=\overline{\varphi(A B)}=a x_{1} \lambda+\delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}} a x_{2} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right)=\left(2 a K \lambda+a^{2}+\lambda\right) x_{1}+(K a+1) \delta_{2} \overline{\delta_{1}} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)} x_{2}
$$

$$
+(K a+1) \overline{\delta_{2}} \delta_{1} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)} x_{2}+(1-\lambda) x_{3} .
$$

If $\lambda=1$, then $\varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right)=\left(a^{2}+2 a K+1\right) x_{1} \geq 0$ for all $x_{1} \geq 0$, hence $K \geq-1$. Let $\lambda \in[0,1)$, and $t=\sqrt{\lambda /(1-\lambda)} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right)=(2 a K+1) x_{1} t^{2}+a^{2} x_{1}\left(t^{2}+1\right)+2 \operatorname{Re} \delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}}(K a+1) x_{2} t+x_{3} \\
=\left(a^{2}+2 a K+1\right) x_{1} t^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}}(K a+1) x_{2} t+x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right) \geq 0$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{2}^{+}(\mathbb{C})$, then (for $\operatorname{Re} \delta_{1} \overline{\delta_{2}}=-1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{2}+2 a K+1\right) x_{1} t^{2}-2 x_{2}(K a+1) t+x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1} \geq 0 \quad \text { for any } \quad t \geq 0, a \geq 0, K>K_{0} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the equation $\alpha t^{2}-2 \beta t+\gamma=0$, with

$$
\alpha=x_{1}\left(a^{2}+2 a K+1\right) \geq 0, \quad \beta=x_{2}(K a+1), \quad \gamma=x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1} \geq 0 .
$$

Note that $x_{1} x_{3} \geq x_{2}^{2}$, and $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$. Clearly, if $x_{1}>0$ and $(a-1)^{2}+2 a(K+1)=x_{1}=0$, then $a=1$, $K=-1$ and thus $\beta=x_{2}(K a+1)=0$. Inequality ( 6 ) holds only in the following three cases:

1. $\alpha=0, \beta=0, \gamma \geq 0$;
2. $\alpha>0, D=\beta^{2}-\alpha \gamma \leq 0$;
3. $\alpha>0, D=\beta^{2}-\alpha \gamma \geq 0, t_{1,2}=\beta \pm \sqrt{D} \leq 0$.

In the first case of $\alpha=0=\beta$ we have $(a-1)^{2}+2 a(K+1)=0$ and $x_{2}(K a+1)=0$, but it is not possible simultaneously for any $a \geq 0$ and $K>K_{0}>-1$.

In the second case,

$$
a^{2} x_{2}^{2} K^{2}+2\left(x_{2}^{2}-x_{1} x_{3}-a^{2} x_{1}^{2}\right) a K+\left(x_{2}^{2}-\left(a^{2}+1\right) x_{1}\left(x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1}\right)\right) \leq 0 .
$$

Note that for any $a$ we have $x_{2}^{2}-x_{1} x_{3}-a^{2} x_{1}^{2} \leq 0$ and $x_{2}^{2}-\left(a^{2}+1\right) x_{1}\left(x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1}\right) \leq 0$. If we fix $a>0$ and assume that $K$ is sufficiently large, then obviously this inequality is violated for any $x_{2} \neq 0$, which leads us to the consideration of the third case. Else, if $x_{2}=0$, then the inequality

$$
2\left(-x_{1} x_{3}-a^{2} x_{1}^{2}\right) a K+\left(-\left(a^{2}+1\right) x_{1}\left(x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1}\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

holds for any $a>0, K>K_{0}$. But also, if $x_{2}=0$, then $X A=A X$, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) \operatorname{diag}(a, 0)=\operatorname{diag}(a, 0) \operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the third case, for any $a>0, K>K_{0}>-1$ we have

$$
a^{2} x_{2}^{2} K^{2}+2\left(x_{2}^{2}-x_{1} x_{3}-a^{2} x_{1}^{2}\right) a K+\left(x_{2}^{2}-\left(a^{2}+1\right) x_{1}\left(x_{3}+a^{2} x_{1}\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

and $\beta \leq-\sqrt{D} \leq 0$. This is true only if $\beta \leq-\sqrt{\beta^{2}-\alpha \gamma}$, which in its turn holds if and only if $\beta \leq 0$, thus either $a K \leq-1$, which is impossible for any $K>K_{0}$ and $a \geq 0$, simultaneously, or $x_{2}=0$ for all $a>0, K>K_{0}$. Again, we have (7).

Teorem 4.6. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\varphi$ is tracial;
(ii) $\forall K \geq-1 \forall A, B \in \mathcal{M}^{+} \varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right) \geq 0$;
(iii) $\exists K_{0}>-1 \forall K>K_{0} \forall A, B \in \mathcal{M}^{+} \varphi\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right) \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We have $\sqrt{A} B \sqrt{A} \geq 0$ and $\varphi(A B)=\varphi(B A)=\varphi(\sqrt{A} B \sqrt{A}) \geq 0$, thus $\varphi\left(A^{2}+\right.$ $\left.B^{2}+K(A B+B A)\right)=\varphi\left((A-B)^{2}\right)+(K+1) \varphi(A B+B A) \geq 0$.

The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). It is sufficient to consider the case $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, see the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $P, Q$ be some arbitrary one-dimensional projections in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{pr}}$. There exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that $P=\operatorname{diag}(1,0), Q=R^{\left(\delta_{2}, \lambda\right)}$ for $\lambda \in[0,1], \delta_{2} \in \mathbb{C},\left|\delta_{2}\right|=1$. Also, without loss of generality we may assume that $\varphi(\cdot)=\operatorname{tr}(X \cdot)$ with $X$ as in (5). Let $a>0$, then the inequality $\varphi\left((a P)^{2}+Q^{2}+K(a P+Q)\right) \geq 0$ implies

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(X\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+K(A+B)\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

in terms of Lemma 4.5. Thus, $x_{2}=0$ and $P X=X P$ in some basis $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$, where $\xi_{1} \in P \mathcal{H}=$ $\{P \xi: \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and $\xi_{2} \in P^{\perp} \mathcal{H}=\left\{P^{\perp} \xi: \xi \in \mathcal{H}\right\}$. The commutativity property does not depend on the representation of an operator in matrix form. Thus, simpliy for operators $X$ and an arbitrary $P$ the equality $P X=X P$ holds. Hence the operator $X$ commutes with all of the operators of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and the matrix $X$ commutes with all of the matrices in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})^{\text {pr }}$, which is possible only if $X=\lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
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