

WCETR 2013

Research of Future Pedagogue-Psychologists' Social Competency and Pedagogical Conditions of its Formation

Roza A. Valeeva^{a *}, Liliya Sh. Karimova^{b**}

^a Kazan (Volga region) Federal University, Mezhlauka str. 1, Kazan 420021, Russia

^b Kazan (Volga region) Federal University, Mezhlauka str. 1, Kazan 420021, Russia

Abstract

The aim of the research was to study and diagnose the level of future pedagogue-psychologists' social competency, to ground theoretically and test experimentally pedagogical conditions of future pedagogue-psychologists' social competency formation. 130 students of the Institute of Pedagogy and Psychology of Kazan federal university were recruited for the test-experimental work. The complex of diagnostic methods to diagnose the level of social intelligence, empathetic abilities, strategies to solve conflict situations were used. On the basis of the obtained results pedagogical conditions of future pedagogue-psychologists' social competency formation were worked out and realized. Standard methods of mathematical statistics (Student's t-criterion) were applied for statistical processing of research empirical data.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of WCETR 2013.

Keywords: competency, social competency, social intelligence, students, future pedagogue-psychologists

1. Introduction

One of the most complicated issues of modern Russian school today is a low level of pedagogue-psychologists' professionalism. The majority of pedagogue-psychologists working in educational institutions have a short work experience; the staff is renewed significantly every year. Meanwhile aims and goals of pedagogue-psychologists' activity require their personal and professional maturity. Therefore, one of the priority-oriented guidelines of practical psychological education development in Russia is the improvement of competent pedagogue-psychologists' training system (Valeeva & Usova, 2006). It will help to perform their professional activity at a high level. Despite the variety of views on the successful professional psychological activity criteria, there is one that is

*Corresponding Author: Roza A. Valeeva. Tel.: +7-906-113-7120
E-mail address: valeykin@yandex.ru

shared by the majority of scientists: the main instrument of a psychologist-practitioner is their personality (Vachkov, 2005).

To realize professional activity successfully, a psychologist should have stable high self-estimation, positive outlook, ability to manage his psychological state, self-confidence. He ought to show his empathetic abilities towards another person, be able to reflect conflict situations adequately (Valeev, 2005).

According to V.N. Kuniztina (1995), these qualities make the structure of social competency. She defines social competency as the system of knowledge about social reality and oneself, the system of complicated social skills of interaction, scenarios of behaviour in typical social situations, ability to get adapted quickly and adequately, to take reasonable decisions in the changed situation.

Social competency takes the leading position in the hierarchy of values and is defined as a person's ability and readiness to manage his relations with the social realm and other people efficiently.

In the 80-s and 90-s a lot of scientists handled the issue of social competency and created complimentary models (Hinsch & Vitman, 2005, Selman, 1997). They define a social competency as the possession of cognitive, emotive and motor ways of behaviour which in certain social situations promote long-term favourable balance of positive and negative consequences.

Within the frames of English-American research position, K. Rubin (2001) and other scientists understand social competency as effectiveness and adequateness of an individual's reflection of various problem situations in life, and the ability to overcome them.

M. Argile (1962) combining professional and communicative competencies determines a social competency as obtained skills and ways of behaviour that allow an individual to display desirable impact on other people and ascribes such signs as social sensitivity, general skills of social interaction and skills of encouraging and reward, balance and quietness.

A.K. Markova (1990) represents the content of social competency as mastering mutual (group, co-operative) professional activity, collaboration, and methods of professional training adopted for this profession, responsibility for the results of work.

Thus, a social competency is attributed the ability to communicate, cooperate, to manage conflicts, achieve goals, adapt easily, display the initiative, take responsibility etc.

This very circumstance allows O.V. Romulus (2003) to consider this variety of competence as one of the basic characteristics of a personality and to single out basic structures of it: knowledge (availability of some information), attitude to this knowledge (to accept or reject, to ignore, to transform), implementation (practical application of knowledge). On the other hand he takes into consideration such aspects of social competency as ability to take in the social structure of the society, to announce positive social initiatives, to take responsibility for their realization, to participate in the activity of public organizations and associations.

In some researches a "social intelligence" is often considered as the counterpart of a social competency.

The concept of social intelligence was firstly used by E.L. Thorndike (1920) to specify "foresight in interpersonal relations". Many famous psychologists contributed to the interpretation of this notion. G.Allport (1937) described a social intelligence as a specific ability to judge people in the right way, to predict their behaviour, and to provide adequate interpersonal interaction. Social intelligence according to G.Allport is a special "social gift" that makes people's relationships smooth. It is characterized by social adaptability instead of the depth of understanding.

Thus, social competency of a pedagogue-psychologist is an integral system combination of inter-connected knowledge, skills, ways of activity set in accordance with psychological-pedagogical activity in an educational institution determining his ability and readiness to establish contacts with the subjects of educational process, participate in mutually taking decisions and conflict management in a non-violent way.

In terms of this definition, the main characteristics of future pedagogue-psychologist's social competency are developed social intelligence, empathy, ability to manage conflict situations efficiently. These characteristics are outlined in the structure of future pedagogue-psychologist's competency, consisting of intellectual-gnostic, emotive-reflexive and behavioural components. Intellectual-gnostic component of social competency implies the availability of specific knowledge in the sphere of social interaction including knowledge about oneself and ability to comprehend other people, understand intentions, feelings, and states of an individual according to verbal and non-verbal signs; awareness of the importance to master various ways of communication necessary to enlarge social and subjective experience in life and professional self-determination. Emotive-reflexive component means the ability to control and analyse one's emotional condition; to self-analyse, self-organize and self-stimulate; to listen and hear, to

understand and feel sympathy towards another person (empathy). Behavioural component suggests the person's activity aimed at creative self-development; ability to adapt in new situations of social-pedagogical interaction; to keep one's behaviour under control in conflict situations and choose the correct strategy of behaviour.

A psychologist as a personality and a professional is developing in the process of study, up-bringing and socialization. Therefore, the future pedagogue-psychologist's social competency formation may be more successful and efficient if necessary pedagogical conditions are implemented in the course of teaching.

Accordingly, the aim of the present research was to study and diagnose the level of future pedagogue-psychologist's social competence, to ground theoretically and test experimentally pedagogical conditions of its formation.

2. Methods

To diagnose the intellectual-gnostic characteristic of social competency Guilford's test of social intelligence was applied. This test consists of four subtests: "A story Ending Test", "Expression Testing", "Verbal Expression", "Story Additions". The general level of social intelligence development is determined on the basis of the complex assessment of all four subtests.

To reveal the emotive-reflexive characteristic of social competency, the level of students' ability to understand and feel sympathy for another person, i.e. their empathic ability V.V. Boyko's test of empathic abilities was applied.

To diagnose the behavioural characteristic of social competency Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode instrument was applied. It includes 30 questions allowing to single out typical ways of conflict management (Competing, Accommodating, Avoiding, Collaborating, Compromising)

The results were processed with Student's t-criterion.

3. Results

At the stating stage of the experiment, the intellectual-gnostic characteristic research according to Guilford's test showed that students can deal with non-verbal reactions rather well, they are able to communicate with different interlocutors in different situations rather successfully. But a low level of "Story Additions" subtest (9.2 scores) testifies to the fact that students have some difficulties when analysing situations of interpersonal interaction and, as a result, have poor adaptation abilities to establish relationship with different people. The general level of social intelligence development was determined on the basis of the total sum of each subtest and the indicator of a social intelligence complex assessment in the group made 33 scores. It corresponds to the third (average-developed) level of social intelligence.

The integral indicator of students' empathic abilities at this stage made 18, 32 scores that corresponds to the understated level.

The results of Thomas-Kilmann's Instrument demonstrated that the majority of the group participants choose such behaviour strategies in conflict situations as accommodating (7, 06 scores), avoiding (6, 72 scores), competing (9, 23 scores). The results of all three indicators of the stating experiment showed that the level of future pedagogue-psychologist's social competence is rather low.

The goal of the forming experiment was to realize the developed complex of the following pedagogical conditions facilitating efficient future pedagogue-psychologists' social competency formation:

- Refinement of "Psychological and pedagogical workshop", "Methods of active social-psychological teaching" training courses by situations of social interaction;
- Application of different active teaching methods aimed at the formation of intellectual-gnostic, emotive-reflexive and behaviour components of a social competency;
- Development and realization of a special social competency formation program with the application of social-psychological training;
- Reasonable combination of classroom and extracurricular students' activity and opportunities of psychological and pedagogical school practice in the development of future pedagogue-psychologists' readiness for effective execution of socially oriented activity and appropriate conflict management.

At the control stage of the experiment we estimated the efficiency of the named above pedagogical conditions.

Having analysed the results of average values in the group before and after the experiment, we noticed that the results of the subtest “A story Ending Test” increased from 9 to 12 scores. It can testify that students have well-formed skills to anticipate people’s further actions, and arrange guidelines of their behaviour to achieve the desired goals. The increased indicator of “Expression Testing” (from 9 to 13 scores) gives reason to say that students can properly estimate states, feelings, intentions of other people according to their non-verbal manifestations, mime, postures, gestures. According to the results of four subtests, the average level of social intelligence has increased (the 5th level). Individuals with a high social intelligence are able to get the utmost information about people’s behaviour, to understand the language of non-verbal communication, to display foresight dealing with other people, to promote successful social adaptation.

The value of the empathic abilities integral indicator has increased significantly from 18,32 to 23,43 scores.

The retest was carried out with the application of Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode instrument as well. Thus, if at the stating stage students possessed such strategies as accommodating and avoiding which according to G.Thomas and R.Kilmann are not considered as successful strategies to manage conflicts, then at the control stage, collaborating (8,95) and compromising (8,49) had the highest score. These strategies of behaviour are effective for conflict management, in case of collaborating strategy application both parts gain the advantage. It is also important to state that scores of competing and avoiding strategies decreased though at the stating stage had a high rate.

The changes of average values in the students’ group before and after the experiment were tested for their reliability with Student’s t-criterion. The following data were obtained after calculations: $t_{cr} = 1,98$ (at $p < 0,05$), $t_{cr} = 2,62$ (at $p < 0,01$). As $t_{emp} > t_{cr}$, the differences between behaviour strategies in conflict situations gained at the pre-test and post-test stages of the experiment do exist and they are significant.

4. Conclusions

According to the results of diagnostics, we observe the dynamics in the pre and post period of the experiment. Students have a high level of social intelligence, their level of empathic abilities and reflection has increased. In conflict situations they prefer such strategies as collaborating and compromising. The observation also showed that students began to reveal behaviour confidence in situations of social interaction, they tried to be creative.

The dynamics of social competency growth was caused by the complex of developed pedagogical conditions and the following factors as well: close collaboration of teachers and constant high level of students’ motivation, application of active teaching methods, organization of students’ independent work.

References

- Allport, G.W. (1937). *Personality: a psychological interpretation*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Argyle, M. and Robinson P. (1962) Two origins of achievement motivations. *British journal of social and clinical psychology*, vol.1
- Boyko, V.V. (1996) *The energy of emotions in dialogue: a look at himself and others*. Moscow: Information and Publishing House “Philin”
- Hinsh, R. & Vittman, S. (2005) *Social competency* / Transl. from Germ. Kharkov: Publishers Gumanitarny Tzentr.
- Kunitzina V.N. (1995) Social competency and social intellect; structure, functions, interrelations. In *Theoretical and applied questions of psychology* (pp.135-148). St. Petersburg: SPbSU.
- Markova A.K. (1990) Psychological analysis of a teacher’s professional competency. *Soviet pedagogics*, 8, 82-88.
- Thomas, K.W. & Kilmann, R.H. (1974) *Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument*. Mountain View, CA: Xicom, a subsidiary of CPP, Inc.
- Romulus, O.V. (2003) Social competency as one of the basic characteristics of a personality. In *Man and society: history and modern times* (pp.132-135). Voronezh.
- Rubin, K.H. (2001) *Interpersonal problem solution and social competency in children’s behavior*. St.Petersburg: Piter.
- Selman, R. (1997) Stages of social intelligence development. In Tzukerman G.A. (Ed) *Psychology of development: a task for parents and their pedagogues* (pp.51-54). Moscow-Riga.
- Thorndike, E.L. (1920). *Intelligence and its use*. *Harper's Magazine*, 140, 227-235.
- Vachkov, I. V. (2005) *The basis of group training technology*. Psychotechnics. Moscow: Publishers “OS-89”.

Valeev, A. (2005) Self-realization of the person in the context of humanistic psychology. *Proceedings of the Samara Scientific Center of Russian Academy of Sciences. Special Issue on "Actual problems of the humanities*, 2005, vol. 2, 55-61.

Valeeva, R., Usova, S. (2006) Teaching and research work of students as means of self-realization. *Higher Education in Russia*, 9, 91-95.