

Springer Proceedings in Earth and Environmental Sciences

Tatiana B. Yanovskaya · Andrei Kosterov ·
Nikita Yu. Bobrov · Andrey V. Divin ·
Alexander K. Saraev ·
Nadezhda V. Zolotova *Editors*

Problems of Geocosmos—2018

Proceedings of the XII International
Conference and School

 Springer

Springer Proceedings in Earth and Environmental Sciences

Series Editor

Natalia S. Bezaeva, The Moscow Area, Russia

The series Springer Proceedings in Earth and Environmental Sciences publishes proceedings from scholarly meetings and workshops on all topics related to Environmental and Earth Sciences and related sciences. This series constitutes a comprehensive up-to-date source of reference on a field or subfield of relevance in Earth and Environmental Sciences. In addition to an overall evaluation of the interest, scientific quality, and timeliness of each proposal at the hands of the publisher, individual contributions are all refereed to the high quality standards of leading journals in the field. Thus, this series provides the research community with well-edited, authoritative reports on developments in the most exciting areas of environmental sciences, earth sciences and related fields.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/16067>

Tatiana B. Yanovskaya · Andrei Kosterov ·
Nikita Yu. Bobrov · Andrey V. Divin ·
Alexander K. Saraev · Nadezhda V. Zolotova
Editors

Problems of Geocosmos—2018

Proceedings of the XII International
Conference and School

 Springer

Editors

Tatiana B. Yanovskaya
Saint Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia

Andrei Kosterov
Saint Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia

Nikita Yu. Bobrov
Saint Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia

Andrey V. Divin
Saint Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia

Alexander K. Saraev
Saint Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia

Nadezhda V. Zolotova
Saint Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia

ISSN 2524-342X ISSN 2524-3438 (electronic)
Springer Proceedings in Earth and Environmental Sciences
ISBN 978-3-030-21787-7 ISBN 978-3-030-21788-4 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21788-4>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

This volume is a collection of papers presented at the 12th conference and school “Problems of Geocosmos” held on October 8–12, 2018, at Peterhoff Campus, St. Petersburg State University. Organized primarily by faculty of the Department of the Earth Physics, this conference is one of the few in Russia to cover a broad range of geophysical research. In 2018, 140 scientists from Russia and abroad attended, including about 45 young scientists, graduate and undergraduate students.

The conference was organized into four parts: Exploration Geophysics (EG), Paleomagnetism, Rock Magnetism and Geomagnetism (P), Seismology (S), and Solar-Terrestrial Physics (STP).

Part EG has covered two broad areas of environmental and engineering geophysics: near-surface research and deep geoelectric studies. Five papers by EG part attendees are presented in this volume. Vorobev et al. discuss the problem of geomagnetically induced currents (GIC) and their influence on stable operation of electric power networks. The treatment is based on a statistical relationship between GIC and variations of the geomagnetic field. Ermolin and Ingerov introduce new methodological approaches to processing audio-magnetotelluric (AMT) and magnetovariational (MVP) data in a heterogeneous environment. Ermolin et al. consider possibilities for improving AMT data quality in the so-called dead band when measuring the AMT field at high latitudes. New theoretical developments in the field of magnetotelluric (MT) measurements and interpretation of MT data are presented in two papers by Plotkin and Mogilatov and Plotkin et al. The first paper considers a possibility to measure the vertical electrical component of electromagnetic field with the help of the circular electric dipole (CED). In the second paper, the possible influence of the Hall effect in MT sounding is discussed.

Part P provided a forum to discuss problems of paleomagnetism, rock magnetism, and geomagnetism. Nine papers in this volume cover the whole range of these topics. Grishchenko et al. and Guzhikov et al. discuss magnetostratigraphy of Valanginian parts in Crimea and Maastrichtian in the Lower Volga area, respectively. New paleomagnetic data are presented for the Lower Carboniferous of the South Urals (Golovanova et al.) and the Lower Cretaceous of the Amur terrane at the junction zone between Sikhote-Alin and Mongol-Okhotsk orogenic belts (Peskov et al.).

Kosterov et al. analyze magnetic hysteresis loops measured in strong, up to 7 Tesla, magnetic field on high-coercivity rock samples. Study of magnetic properties of fallow soils in Tatarstan is presented by Fattakhova et al. Two papers by Merkuriev et al. discuss applying marine magnetic anomaly data to geochronological and geohistorical analysis and to studies of non-dipole component of the Earth's magnetic field, respectively. Finally, Starchenko presents a method to obtain a simple estimate of the relationship between planetary convection and magnetism using scaling laws.

Presentations in Part S covered a wide range of problems, from global seismicity and new earthquake precursors to study of peculiarities of the Earth structure by seismic methods at different scales. Results of the development of new measuring devices were also presented. The papers in this volume reflect all the above-mentioned lines of investigation. Characteristics of the spatial-temporal distribution of the aftershocks are presented by Guglielmi et al. and Zotov et al.; distribution of seismicity in the Crimea is described by Gobarenko and Yegorova. A relationship between the ionospheric anomalies and strong earthquakes is studied by Korsunova et al. Three papers (Gobarenko et al., Yanovskaya et al., Krasnoshchekov and Ovtchinnikov) discuss new studies of the Earth structure covering, respectively, the Earth's crust, upper mantle, and core. New seismic instruments having an advantage of higher precision compared to the existing ones are described by Kislov and Gravirov, and Gravirov et al. The paper by Sharov presents a comprehensive overview of the results of superdeep drilling in Fennoscandia.

Part on Solar-Terrestrial Physics is traditional for "Problems of Geocosmos" conferences and is attended by participants from leading Russian research institutes and universities, and foreign research centers. Topics covered at STP Part include a wide range of magnetospheric, ionospheric, climatic, and solar studies. This volume contains contributions dealing with temporal relations in characteristics of the lower atmosphere and solar activity at the multi-decadal time (Veretenenko and Ogurtsov); pitch-angle diffusion coefficient of energetic protons on the basis of data of the THEMIS spacecraft (Popova et al.); temporal and spatial variability of geomagnetic and telluric electric fields in the Eastern Fennoscandia (Kozyreva et al.); the effect of auroral particle precipitation and polar cap patches on scintillations of the GPS signals in the polar ionosphere (Belakhovsky et al.). Based on the aa (and/or ap) indices, Sokolov et al. presented an improved catalogue of magnetic disturbances including storms with aa >30. Kopytenko et al. discussed possible origins of the displacement of the position of the auroral oval by more than 1500 km over the past 50 years. Remenets et al. reported results of the terrestrial electromagnetic sounding of the lowest part of ionosphere during the natural disturbances.

St. Petersburg, Russia
March 2019

Tatiana B. Yanovskaya
Andrei Kosterov
Nikita Yu. Bobrov
Andrey V. Divin
Alexander K. Saraev
Nadezhda V. Zolotova

Contents

Part I Exploration Geophysics

Distortion of Local Magnetovariational Anomalies by Effect of Regional Structures	3
E. Ermolin and O. Ingerov	
The Features of Dead Band AMT Signal in Chukotka Region	11
E. Ermolin, O. Ingerov, A. A. Yankilevich, N. N. Pokrovskaya, T. V. Davytkina and V. Melnikov	
Role of Hall Effect in Magnetotelluric Sounding	19
Valery V. Plotkin, Vladimir S. Mogilatov and Vladimir V. Potapov	
About Measurement of Vertical Component of Electric Field During Magnetotelluric Sounding	29
Valery V. Plotkin and Vladimir S. Mogilatov	
Statistical Properties of the Geomagnetic Field Variations and Geomagnetically Induced Currents	39
A. V. Vorobev, V. A. Pilipenko, Ya. A. Sakharov and V. N. Selivanov	

Part II Paleomagnetism, Rock Magnetism and Geomagnetism

Magnetostratigraphy and Biostratigraphy of the Valanginian in the Crimean Mountains	53
V. A. Grishchenko, A. G. Manikin, Yu. N. Savelieva and A. A. Feodorova	
Magnetostratigraphy of the Maastrichtian from Volga Right Bank Area Near Saratov (Lower Volga Region)	83
A. Yu. Guzhikov, A. A. Guzhikova, A. G. Manikin and V. A. Grishchenko	

The First Paleomagnetic Data on Lower Carboniferous Volcanics of the Central Magnitogorsk Zone in the South Urals	107
I. V. Golovanova, K. N. Danukalov and R. Yu. Sal'manova	
Paleomagnetism and Petromagnetism of Sedimentary Rocks of the Zhuravlevka-Amur Terrane (Junction Zone Between the Sikhote-Alin and Mongol-Okhotsk Orogenic Belts)	117
A. Yu. Peskov, M. V. Arkhipov, A. S. Karetnikov, A. V. Kudymov and A. N. Didenko	
Analysis of Strong-Field Hysteresis in High Coercivity Magnetic Minerals	127
A. Kosterov, E. S. Sergienko, A. G. Iosifidi, P. V. Kharitonskii and S. Yu. Yanson	
Preliminary Data on the Magnetic Properties of Fallow Soils (Zelenodolsky District, Republic of Tatarstan)	143
L. A. Fattakhova, L. R. Kosareva, V. V. Antonenko, A. V. Fattakhov and R. D. Akhmerov	
Influence of the Choice of a Geomagnetic Polarity Time Scale on Results of the Geochronological and Geohistorical Analysis of the Marine Magnetic Anomalies	151
Sergei A. Merkuriev, Sergei A. Ivanov and Charles DeMets	
Preliminary Estimation of the Non-dipole Part of the Geomagnetic Field in the Quaternary Period Based on the Investigation of Marine Magnetic Anomalies on the Carlsberg Ridge	165
Sergei A. Merkuriev, Irina M. Demina and Sergei A. Ivanov	
Simple Planetary Convection and Magnetism Estimations via Scaling and Observations	179
S. V. Starchenko	
Part III Seismology	
Atlas of Aftershock Sequences of Strong Earthquakes	193
A. V. Guglielmi, O. D. Zotov and A. D. Zavyalov	
On the Spatial-Temporal Structure of Aftershock Sequences	199
O. D. Zotov, A. D. Zavyalov and B. I. Klain	
Possible Short-Term Ionospheric Precursors of Strong Crustal Earthquakes	207
L. P. Korsunova, A. D. Legenka and V. V. Hegai	
Seismicity and Crustal Structure of the Southern Crimea and Adjacent Northern Black Sea from Local Seismic Tomography	215
V. Gobarenko and T. Yegorova	

Anisotropy of the Uppermost Mantle in Europe as Obtained from Surface Wave Data 229
 T. B. Yanovskaya, E. L. Lyskova and T. Yu. Koroleva

Heterogeneities of the Earth’s Inner Core Boundary from Differential Measurements of PKiKP and PcP Seismic Phases 241
 Dmitry Krasnoshchekov and Vladimir Ovtchinnikov

Superdeep Drilling and Its Impact on the Seismic Models of the Fennoscandian Shield 253
 N. V. Sharov

Some Remarks on the Seismometric Experiments Taking into Account the Thickness of the Frozen Layer Soil 263
 K. V. Kislov and V. V. Gravirov

PEMSDAS—Portable Expandable Modular Seismic Data Acquisition System 271
 V. V. Gravirov, K. V. Kislov, A. L. Sobisevich and L. E. Sobisevich

Part IV Solar-Terrestrial Physics

Influence of Different Ionospheric Disturbances on the GPS Scintillations at High Latitudes 281
 V. B. Belakhovsky, Y. Jin and W. J. Miloch

The Study of Auroral Oval Position Changes in Terms of Moving of the Earth’s Magnetic Pole 289
 Yuri A. Kopytenko, Sergey A. Chernouss, Alevtina A. Petrova, Mikhail V. Filatov and Maxim S. Petrishchev

Geomagnetic and Telluric Field Variability as a Driver of Geomagnetically Induced Currents 297
 O. Kozyreva, V. Pilipenko, E. Sokolova, Ya. Sakharov and D. Epishkin

Pitch-Angle Diffusion of Energetic Protons upon Their Interaction with EMIC Waves: Comparison of Calculation Results with THEMIS and NOAA/POES Data 309
 Tatyana Popova, Andris Lyubchich, Andrei Demekhov and Alexander Yahnin

Sunset Variations of the Bottom Edge of the Ionosphere During the Proton Precipitations on and After 29 September, 1989 319
 G. F. Remenets, M. I. Suhovey and V. A. Shishaev

Geomagnetic Disturbances with Sudden and Gradual Commencements in 1869–1954 According to Observatory Data and Catalogs of Storms 333
Sergey Sokolov, Vadim Soldatov and Vladimir Koshelevsky

Manifestation and Possible Reasons of ~60-Year Climatic Cycle in Correlation Links Between Solar Activity and Lower Atmosphere Circulation 341
Svetlana Veretenenko and Maxim Ogurtsov

Preliminary Data on the Magnetic Properties of Fallow Soils (Zelenodolsky District, Republic of Tatarstan)



L. A. Fattakhova, L. R. Kosareva, V. V. Antonenko, A. V. Fattakhov and R. D. Akhmerov

Abstract Over the last few years, there has been an expansion of fallow lands in Russia due to issues in agricultural economics. Soils become fallow when left uncultivated for a time after successive crops. The recovery process depends on the duration of continuous arable farming in the area, soil type, area size and preferred land use methodology. Therefore, the importance of a comprehensive study of the processes occurring in fallow soils is reflected in their enormous expansion. In the course of soil formation, the transformation and migration of iron compounds change the magnetic susceptibility of soil. This suggests that magnetic susceptibility reflects the process of soil formation and may be considered a diagnostic indicator. This article presents the research results on the magnetic properties of fallow soils in Zelenodolsky district (Kazan). Samples of fallow sod-podzolic soils were used in this work. The magnetic properties of fallow soils were studied, and changes in the magnetic characteristics of fallow sod-podzolic soils were analyzed. The soil is characterized by the eluvial/illuvial type of profile patterns of magnetic susceptibility distribution. Evaluation of the contribution of the dia- /paramagnetic, superparamagnetic and ferromagnetic components from the coercive spectra shows that the increase in the magnetic susceptibility of sod-podzolic soils is due to the contribution of the ferromagnetic component.

L. A. Fattakhova (✉) · L. R. Kosareva · V. V. Antonenko · A. V. Fattakhov · R. D. Akhmerov
Department of Geophysics and Geoinformation Technologies, Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russia
e-mail: l.a.fattakhova@yandex.ru

L. R. Kosareva
e-mail: lina.kosareva@mail.ru

V. V. Antonenko
e-mail: stay_uzeless@mail.ru

A. V. Fattakhov
e-mail: avfattakhov@gmail.com

R. D. Akhmerov
e-mail: rinaz.akhmerov@mail.ru

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

T. B. Yanovskaya et al. (eds.), *Problems of Geocosmos—2018*, Springer Proceedings in Earth and Environmental Sciences, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21788-4_11

Keywords Fallow soil · Sod-podzolic soil · Magnetic susceptibility · Coercive spectrometry

1 Introduction

Today, arable lands are being taken out of production in most countries in accordance with common land management practices. This causes changes in soil formation processes and, subsequently, in the evolution of arable soils [1].

Plowing removes the vegetative cover and changes the composition and properties of soil. Soil becomes fallow as soon as it is left uncultivated for more than a year. The future plant succession and soil composition depend on the soil type, the duration of continuous arable farming in the area and the area size.

The importance of a comprehensive study of the processes occurring in fallow soils is reflected in their enormous expansion. They are the foundation of the agricultural land reserves.

In the past two decades, the study of environmental magnetism, including the magnetic characteristics of soils, had gained much ground worldwide [2–7]. Magnetic susceptibility patterns are unique for each soil type and depend on the magnetic susceptibility of parent rocks, weathering, and specific properties of the soil itself: organic content, mineral composition, grain size distribution, water and redox regime, composition of newly formed ferrous minerals, biogenic activity, etc. [2, 8]. Magnetic characteristics, such as magnetic susceptibility, are determined primarily by the content of iron compounds, their phase composition and dispersion. It was established that magnetic properties of zonal soils can regularly and continually change with depth, forming a magnetic profile that reflects type and intensity of the soil-forming process [2]. Also, it seems that the assumptions about soil being able to self-recover to its original state under naturally growing plant successions are unfounded. Therefore, it might be interesting to analyze the magnetic profiles of long-fallow (>10 years) sod-podzolic soils.

The purpose of this work is to study the magnetic properties of fallow soils, and to analyze received magnetic characteristics of fallow sod-podzolic soils.

2 Materials and Methods

For this study, long-fallow (11 and 12 years) loamy sod-podzolic soils of Zelenodolsky Municipal District (Republic of Tatarstan, Volga-Vyatsky region) were selected. The fallow vegetation is represented by fir and pine trees, grass and mixed herbs. The area belongs to the boreal (sub-taiga) type. Weathered dolomitized limestones and sandstones, clays, and marls (Upper Kazan stage of the Upper Permian) form flat and slightly wavy watersheds. The area under study is covered by unconsolidated Quaternary deposits represented by light and medium loam, sandy loam, and sand.



Fig. 1 Sampling procedure

Sampling sites formed a hexagonal grid. The distance between the central sampling point and each of the peripheral ones was 50 m (Fig. 1). The samples were taken every 10 cm to a depth of 70 cm (including sod). At the central point, sampling was conducted to a depth of 120 cm.

Preliminary sample preparation was performed in accordance with the guidelines provided by the International Organization for Standardization [9]. AGICO susceptibility bridge MFK1-FA was used to measure the magnetic susceptibility (χ). Prior to this, all samples were ground in an agate mortar.

Coercive spectra can be used to determine the contribution of the dia/paramagnetic (χ_p) and ferromagnetic (χ_f) components to the magnetic susceptibility. Coercive spectra of isothermal magnetization in the magnetic fields of up to 0.5 T were obtained using a coercive spectrometer (“J_meter”) [10, 11], which allows separate recording of remanent and induced magnetization at room temperature. Samples were magnetized from their natural state. The following parameters were derived from the magnetization curves: saturation remanent magnetization (J_{rs}), saturation magnetization corrected for the paramagnetic component (J_s), bulk coercive force corrected for the paramagnetic component (B_c), coercivity of remanence (B_{cr}).

3 Results and Discussions

In the course of soil formation, transformation and migration of iron compounds changes the magnetic susceptibility of soil. This suggests that magnetic susceptibility reflects the process of soil formation and may be considered a diagnostic indicator [2, 12–15]. Using the measured values of magnetic susceptibility, the curves of changes with depth were constructed. Figure 2 shows variations of different components of




Fig. 2 Magnetic properties as a function of depth (central soil profile)

magnetic susceptibility χ and of bulk coercive force B_c with depth. In our case, the magnetic susceptibility distribution follows the patterns characteristic of the eluvial/illuvial type. This means that the upper part of the soil profile contains relatively small amount of magnetic materials, which increase in the middle part. However, the magnetic mineral content decreases again towards the underlying soil-forming rock and eventually becomes equal to that of the humus layer.

Coercive spectra can be used to determine the contribution of the dia/paramagnetic (χ_p) and ferromagnetic (χ_f) components to the magnetic susceptibility [16]. The shape of the curves for the paramagnetic, ferromagnetic and superparamagnetic components (Fig. 2) and a direct correlation between the total magnetic susceptibility and its ferromagnetic component (Fig. 3) clearly show that an increase in magnetic susceptibility in the illuvial layer is due to the ferromagnetic component.

Since one profile covers depths to 160 cm, and another six profiles cover depths to 80 cm, it might be interesting to compare the magnetic susceptibility between them. Figure 4 shows that the points 1, 2 and 6 reflect the upper part (0–80 cm) of the central profile, while the points 3, 4 and 5 reflect its lower part (80–160 cm). This indicates the irregular shaped relief. There is a slope gently falling off to the south-east, which means that organic and mineral elements are transported down the slope. This will primarily affect the growing vegetation in the study area. In general, all the magnetic profiles are of the eluvial/illuvial type. B-horizon is rich not only in clay, but also in magnetite, maghemite, hematite and goethite.

Magnetic rigidity and domain state of the magnetic grains were determined using the magnetic hysteresis parameters (B_c , B_{cr} , J_s , J_{rs}), which depend on the composition, magnetic mineral content, shape and size of magnetic grains. B_{cr}/B_c




Fig. 3 Relationship between the magnetic susceptibility and its ferromagnetic component (central soil profile)




Fig. 4 Magnetic susceptibility as a function of depth (various profiles)

and J_r/J_s ratios reflect prevailing grain size and ratios between magnetic fractions with different domain structures. The Day plot [17] is usually used to visualize these characteristics. It shows (Fig. 5) that the magnetic grains in the soil under study are pseudo-single domain particles or a mixture of single domain and multi domain grains.




Fig. 5 Day plot

4 Conclusions

Fallow sod-podzolic soils of the Volga-Vyatsky region were studied using a complex of modern magnetic analysis methods, including magnetometry and coercive spectrometry. Magnetic susceptibility, being the ultimate characteristic of magnetic properties, depends primarily on the ferromagnetic component. The shape of the magnetic susceptibility distribution suggests that fine-grained superparamagnetic mineral particles were formed due to the structural and material transformations of iron-containing minerals introduced into the soil from the parent rock. The increase of χ_f in the profiles coincides with the increase of χ_{sp} . So, the χ_f values are enhanced by the presence of superparamagnetic particles that follows the “pedogenic” mechanism of rock-magnetic changes in soils [18] which suggest χ_{sp} as an indicator of pedogenic degree. A gentle slope in the study area indicates different conditions for the formation of sustainable plant successions. It is intended in the future to study

soil samples and separate $<2.5 \mu\text{m}$ specimens using the differential thermomagnetic analysis (DTMA) to gain more information on the magnetic and mineralogical features of the studied soils.

Acknowledgements The work is performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

We would like to thank reviewers Dr. A. Yu. Kazansky and Dr. T. Magiera, and editor Dr. A. A. Kostorov for their valuable advice.

References

1. Aleksandrovsky, A.L.: Evolution of Soils and Geographical Environment, 223 pp. Nauka Publishers, Moscow (2005) (in Russian)
2. Babanin, V.F., Trukhin V.I., Karpachevskiy L.O., Ivanov F.V., Morozov V.V.: Soil Magnetism, 202 pp. Yaroslavl: YaGTU, Moscow (1995) (in Russian)
3. Liu, Q., Robersts, A.P., Larrasoana, J.C., Banerjee, S.K., Guyodo, Y., Tauxe, L.: Oldfield F. Environmental magnetism: principles and applications. *Rev. Geophys.* **50**(4), RG4002 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012RG000393>
4. Blundell, A., Dearing, J.A., Boyle, J.F., Hannam, J.A.: Controlling factors for the spatial variability of soil magnetic susceptibility across England and Wales. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* **95**, 158–188 (2009)
5. de Jong, E., Pennock, D.J., Nestor, P.A.: Magnetic susceptibility of soils in different slope positions in Saskatchewan, Canada. *Catena* **40**, 291–305 (2000)
6. Maher, B.A., Alekseev, A., Alekseeva, T.: Magnetic mineralogy of soils across the Russian steppe: climatic dependence of pedogenic magnetite formation. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **201**, 321–341 (2003)
7. Jordanova N (2017) Soil Magnetism: Applications in Pedology, Environmental Science and Agriculture, 466 pp. Academic Press (2017)
8. Ivanov, A.V.: Magnetic and valence state of iron in the solid phase of the soil: Avtoref. Dis. Dr. biol. Sciences, 25 pp. Moscow (2003) (in Russian)
9. ISO 11464:1994: Soil Quality—Pretreatment of Samples for Physico-Chemical Analysis, p. 11
10. Yasonov, P.G., Nurgaliev, D.K., Burrov, B.V., Heller, F.: A modernized coercivity spectrometer. *Geol. Carpath.* **49**, 224–226 (1998)
11. Nurgaliev, D.K., Yasonov, P.G.: Coercivity spectrometer. Patent of the Russian Federation for a utility model. No 81805. *Bul. FIPS* No 9 (2009) (in Russian)
12. Lukshin, A.A., Kovrigo, V.P., Rumyantseva, T.I.: About magnetic properties of soils. In: Issues of genesis and rac. use of soil and fertilizer: Cr. to communicate and reference on report 4th Interstitial conference of Soil and agrochemists of the Middle Volga and South Urals, p. 92. Kazan (1968) (in Russian)
13. Boyle, J.F., Dearing, J.A., Blundell, A.: Testing competing hypotheses for soil magnetic susceptibility using a new chemical kinetic model. *Geology* **38**, 1059–1062 (2010)
14. Alekseev, A.O., Ryskov, Ya.G.: Magnetic susceptibility of soils as an indicator of the directivity and speed of development of steppe landscapes in the Holocene, pp. 16–20. *Nature and Anthropogenic Evolution of Soils. Pushchino* (1988) (in Russian)
15. Dearing, J.A., Maher, B.A., Oldfield, F.: Geomorphological linkages between soils and sediments: the role of magnetic measurements. In: Richards, K.S., Arnett, R.R., Ellis, S. (eds.) *Geomorphology and Soils*, pp. 245–266. Allen and Unwin, London (1985)

16. Kosareva, L.R., Nourgaliev, D.K., Kuzina, D.M., Spassov, S., Fattakhov, A.V.: Ferromagnetic, dia-/paramagnetic and superparamagnetic components of Aral Sea sediments: significance for paleoenvironmental reconstruction. *ARN J. Earth Sci.* **4**, 1–6 (2015)
17. Day, R., Fuller, M., Schmidt, V.A.: Hysteresis properties of titanomagnetites: grain size and composition dependence. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **13**, 260–267 (1977)
18. Evans, M.E., Heller, F.: *Environmental magnetism: principles and applications of enviromagnetics*, 311 pp. Academic Press, San Diego (2003)