# Nilpotent elements in the Jacobson-Witt algebra over a finite field 

Serge Skryabin

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field with $q$ elements where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$. In [4] Kaplansky asked whether the number of elements in the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(L)$ of any simple finite dimensional Lie algebra $L$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by the formula

$$
\# \mathcal{N}(L)=q^{\operatorname{dim} L-\operatorname{rank} L}
$$

Its validity had been known already for the Lie algebras of semisimple algebraic groups in good characteristics [9, III.3.28]. Kaplansky observed that the formula holds also when $L$ is the Witt algebra $W_{1}$.

Indirect evidence in favour of a formula of this kind can be seen from general facts about the nilpotent cone. Indeed, in [6, Th. 4.2] Premet proved that for an arbitrary finite dimensional $p$-Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$ the variety of $[p]$-nilpotent elements is always a set-theoretic complete intersection of codimension $s$ in $\mathcal{L}$ where $s$ stands for the maximum dimension of toral subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}$. This result seems to suggest that the rank of $L$ in the formula for $\# \mathcal{N}(L)$ should probably be replaced by some other quantity.

In this paper we consider the Jacobson-Witt algebra $W_{n}$ for an arbitrary $n$. The main result is stated as follows:

Theorem. The algebra $W_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has precisely $q^{n p^{n}-n}$ nilpotent elements.
Note that $\operatorname{dim} W_{n}=n p^{n}$, while the rank of $W_{n}$ and the maximum dimension of tori in $W_{n}$ are both equal to $n$. Elements of $W_{n}$ are derivations of the reduced polynomial algebra $B_{n}$ in $n$ generators. With $D \in W_{n}$ one associates the maximal $D$-invariant ideal $I$ of $B_{n}$. The factor algebra $B_{n} / I$ is isomorphic with $B_{k}$ for some $k \leq n$. If $D$ is nilpotent, then $D^{p^{k}}$ induces a nilpotent linear transformation $A$ of the vector space $I / \mathfrak{m} I$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $B_{n}$. This leads to a stratification of the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}\left(W_{n}\right)$ by the pairs $(I, A)$. Theorem 3.4 determines the cardinalities of all strata. The total number of nilpotents in $W_{n}$ is then obtained by summation.

## 1. Preliminaries

In sections 1 and 2 we work over an arbitrary ground field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p>0$. Let $B_{n}$ be the commutative associative unital algebra defined by a set of generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and a set of relations $x_{i}^{p}=0, i=1, \ldots, n$. It has a basis over $\mathbb{F}$ consisting of all monomials $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}}$ with $0 \leq a_{i}<p$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then $B_{n} / \mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}$ and $f^{p}=0$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{m}$. So $\mathfrak{m}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $B_{n}$.

The Jacobson-Witt algebra $W_{n}$ is the Lie algebra of all derivations of $B_{n}$. It is a free $B_{n}$-module with a basis $\partial_{1}, \ldots, \partial_{n}$ where

$$
\partial_{i} x_{j}=\delta_{i j} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n .
$$

We denote by $G$ the group of all automorphisms of $B_{n}$. Each $\sigma \in G$ is determined uniquely by its values on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. More precisely, the assignment

$$
\sigma \mapsto\left(\sigma x_{1}, \ldots, \sigma x_{n}\right)
$$

gives a bijection between $G$ and the set of $n$-tuples of elements of $\mathfrak{m}$ whose cosets modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$ form a basis for the vector space $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Each $\sigma \in G$ induces an automorphism $\sigma_{*}$ of $W_{n}$ by the rule

$$
\sigma_{*}(D)=\sigma \circ D \circ \sigma^{-1}, \quad D \in W_{n}
$$

Clearly, $\sigma_{*}\left(D^{p}\right)=\sigma_{*}(D)^{p}$, that is, $\sigma_{*}$ commutes with the $p$-power map on $W_{n}$. In fact all automorphisms of $W_{n}$ are of the form $\sigma_{*}$ for $\sigma \in G$, with the only exceptions for $p=2, n \leq 2$ and $p=3, n=1$. For $p>3$ this was investigated by Jacobson [3]. A general result on isomorphisms of Cartan type Lie algebras which includes also cases of small characteristic is presented in [8, Th. 6.4].

For a proper ideal $I$ of $B_{n}$ put

$$
N(I)=\left\{D \in W_{n} \mid D(I) \subset I\right\} .
$$

There is a canonical homomorphism of $p$-Lie algebras $\pi: N(I) \rightarrow$ Der $B_{n} / I$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \pi=I W_{n} .
$$

Indeed, Ker $\pi=\left\{D \in W_{n} \mid D\left(B_{n}\right) \subset I\right\}$. Writing $D=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \partial_{i}$ with $f_{i}=D x_{i}$, we see that $D\left(B_{n}\right) \subset I$ if and only if $f_{i} \in I$ for all $i$. Both $N(I)$ and $I W_{n}$ are $p$-Lie subalgebras of $W_{n}$. If $D \in I W_{n}$, then

$$
D(f g) \equiv f D(g) \quad\left(\bmod I^{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } f \in B_{n} \text { and } g \in I
$$

since $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$, it follows that $D(\mathfrak{m} I) \subset \mathfrak{m} I$. Hence we get a canonical homomorphism of $p$-Lie algebras

$$
\lambda: I W_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g l}(I / \mathfrak{m} I) .
$$

Lemma 1.1. Given $D \in W_{n}$, let $I$ be the maximal $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$.
(i) $I$ is $G$-conjugate to the ideal generated by $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for some $k, 0 \leq k \leq n$.
(ii) $D$ is nilpotent if and only if $D^{p^{k}} \in I W_{n}$ and $\lambda\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)$ is nilpotent.

Proof. The factor algebra $B_{n} / I$ has a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{m} / I$ whose residue field is isomorphic with $\mathbb{F}$. Since this algebra is $D$-simple in the sense that it has no nontrivial ideals stable under its derivation induced by $D$, we get $B_{n} / I \cong B_{k}$ for some $k$ by Block's description of differentiably simple algebras [1] (see also [11, Ch. 3]). Note that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}=n$, while $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{2}=k$. There is an exact sequence of vector spaces

$$
I / \mathfrak{m} I \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be any basis for $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ such that $e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ span the image of $I / \mathfrak{m} I$. Pick representatives $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathfrak{m}$ of these $n$ cosets, taking $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ in $I$. There exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Hence the monomials $\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{a_{i}}$ with $0 \leq a_{i}<p$ form a basis for $B_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. It follows that the ideal $I^{\prime}$ of $B_{n}$ generated by $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ has codimension $p^{k}$. Since $I^{\prime} \subset I$, and $I$ also has codimension $p^{k}$ in $B_{n}$, we get $I^{\prime}=I$. Now it is clear that the ideal $\sigma^{-1}(I)$ is generated by the elements $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Suppose that $D$ is nilpotent. Then $\pi(D)$ is a nilpotent derivation of $B_{n} / I$. Since $\operatorname{dim} B_{n} / I=p^{k}$, we must have $\pi(D)^{p^{k}}=0$, whence $D^{p^{k}} \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi=I W_{n}$. The linear transformation of $I / \mathfrak{m} I$ induced by a nilpotent derivation $D^{p^{k}}$ must be nilpotent.

Conversely, suppose that $D^{p^{k}} \in I W_{n}$ and $\lambda\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)$ is nilpotent. We can find an integer $s \geq k$ such that $\lambda\left(D^{p^{s}}\right)=0$. The derivation $D^{p^{s}} \in I W_{n}$ induces a $B_{n} / I$ linear endomorphism $\varphi$ of the $B_{n} / I$-module $M=I / I^{2}$. By our choice of $s$ we have $\varphi(M) \subset \mathfrak{m} M$. Hence $\varphi^{j}(M) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{j} M$ for all $j \geq 0$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is a nilpotent ideal, there exists an integer $t \geq 0$ such that $\varphi^{p^{t}}=0$. Then the derivation $D^{p^{s+t}} \in I W_{n}$ maps $I$ into $I^{2}$. It follows that $D^{p^{s+t}}\left(I^{a}\right) \subset I^{a+1}$ for all integers $a \geq 0$ by induction. But $I^{a}=0$ for sufficiently large $a$. Hence $D^{p^{s+t}}$ is nilpotent, and so is $D$.

We will need partial information about powers of certain derivations. Their computation is based on Jacobson's formula for $(a+b)^{p}$ in any restricted Lie algebra (see [10, Ch. 2]).
Lemma 1.2. Let $J$ be any ideal of $B_{n}$. Suppose that $D_{1} \in W_{n}$ and $D_{2} \in J^{m} W_{n}$ where $m \geq p-1$. Then

$$
\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p} \equiv D_{1}^{p}+\operatorname{ad}\left(D_{1}\right)^{p-1} D_{2} \quad\left(\bmod J^{m-p+2} W_{n}\right)
$$

Hence $\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p} \equiv D_{1}^{p}\left(\bmod J^{m-p+1} W_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Note that $\left[W_{n}, J^{a} W_{n}\right] \subset J^{a-1} W_{n}$ and $\left[J W_{n}, J^{a} W_{n}\right] \subset J^{a} W_{n}$ for all integers $a>0$. Since $J^{m} W_{n}$ is a $p$-Lie subalgebra of $W_{n}$, we have $D_{2}^{p} \in J^{m} W_{n}$, and the conclusion follows from Jacobson's formula.

In the next lemma and later in the paper we adopt the convention that any product over the empty set of indices is considered to be 1 . So $\prod_{i=1}^{0} x_{i}^{p-1}=1$.

Lemma 1.3. For some fixed integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, let $J$ be the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$, and let $L$ be the $B_{n}$-submodule of $W_{n}$ generated by $\partial_{k+1}, \ldots, \partial_{n}$. If

$$
D=D_{1}+D_{2}+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3}
$$

where $\quad D_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \partial_{j}, \quad D_{2} \in L, \quad D_{3} \in W_{n}, \quad$ then

$$
D^{p^{k}} \equiv\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p^{k}}+(-1)^{k} D_{3} \quad\left(\bmod J W_{n}\right) .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.2

$$
D^{p} \equiv\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p}+\operatorname{ad}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p-1}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3}\right) \quad\left(\bmod J^{(k-1)(p-1)+1} W_{n}\right)
$$

From the equality $L=\left\{D^{\prime} \in W_{n} \mid D^{\prime} x_{i}=0\right.$ for all $\left.i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ it is clear that $L$ is a $p$-Lie subalgebra of $W_{n}$. Since $\left[D_{1}, \partial_{i}\right]=0$ for all $i=k+1, \ldots, n$, we have $\left[D_{1}, L\right] \subset L$. Now Jacobson's formula yields

$$
\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p}=D_{1}^{p}-D_{2}^{\prime} \quad \text { for some } D_{2}^{\prime} \in L
$$

Since $D_{2} x_{i}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ad}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p-1}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3}\right) & \equiv \operatorname{ad}\left(\partial_{1}\right)^{p-1}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3}\right) \\
& \equiv-\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3}\left(\bmod J^{(k-1)(p-1)+1} W_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
D^{p} \equiv D_{1}^{p}-D_{2}^{\prime}-\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3} \quad\left(\bmod J^{(k-1)(p-1)+1} W_{n}\right)
$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 again, we get $D^{p^{k}} \equiv-E^{p^{k-1}}\left(\bmod J W_{n}\right)$ where

$$
E=-D_{1}^{p}+D_{2}^{\prime}+\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{3}
$$

By a computation in [5, Lemma 3]

$$
D_{1}^{p}=-\sum_{j=2}^{k}\left(\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \partial_{j} .
$$

Thus $E$ has a similar structure as $D$, but with respect to the $k-1$ elements $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ instead of $k$ elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Proceeding by induction on $k$, we may assume that

$$
E^{p^{k-1}} \equiv-\left(D_{1}^{p}-D_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{p^{k-1}}+(-1)^{k-1} D_{3}\left(\bmod J W_{n}\right) .
$$

Then the required formula follows.
In the case $k=n$ of Lemma 1.3 we have $L=0$, and the derivation $D$ is a sum of two terms. Lemma 1.4 below describes properties of $D$. In a slightly different realization such derivations appeared in [5, Lemma 12]. These derivations are characterized by the property that they have trivial stabilizer in $G$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $\quad D=D_{1}+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{2} \quad$ where $\quad D_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \partial_{j}$, $D_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \partial_{j}$ with $\alpha_{j} \in F$. Then:
(i) The derivations $D^{p^{i-1}}$ with $i=1, \ldots, n$ form a basis for $W_{n}$ over $B_{n}$.
(ii) $B_{n}$ has no nontrivial D-invariant ideals (in other words, $B_{n}$ is $D$-simple).
(iii) $D^{p^{n}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i+1} \alpha_{i} D^{p^{i-1}}$.
(iv) $D$ is nilpotent if and only if $\alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i$.

Proof. Proceeding as in Lemma 1.3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{p^{r}} \equiv D_{1}^{p^{r}}+(-1)^{r}\left(\prod_{i=r+1}^{n} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D_{2}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{m}^{(n-r)(p-1)+1} W_{n}\right) \\
& D_{1}^{p^{r}}=(-1)^{r} \sum_{j=r+1}^{n}\left(\prod_{i=r+1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \partial_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r=0, \ldots, n$. Hence $D^{p^{r}} \equiv(-1)^{r} \partial_{r+1}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{m} W_{n}\right)$ for all $r=0, \ldots, n-1$ and $D^{p^{n}} \equiv(-1)^{n} D_{2}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{m} W_{n}\right)$. We see that the cosets $D^{p^{i-1}}+\mathfrak{m} W_{n}$ with $i=1, \ldots, n$ form a basis for the vector space $W_{n} / \mathfrak{m} W_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Then $\left\{D^{p^{i-1}} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a generating set for the $B_{n}$-module $W_{n}$ by Nakayama's Lemma; since this module is free, this set is its basis. Thus (i) is proved.

It follows from (i) that each $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$ is stable under all derivations; but there are only trivial ideals with this property. Also by (i) we can write

$$
D^{p^{n}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} D^{p^{i-1}} \quad \text { with } f_{i} \in B_{n}
$$

Since $D$ centralizers all powers of $D$, we get $\sum_{i=1}^{n} D\left(f_{i}\right) D^{p^{i-1}}=\left[D, D^{p^{n}}\right]=0$, whence $D\left(f_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$. Then $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are annihilated by all derivations of $B_{n}$, and it follows that $f_{i} \in \mathbb{F}$ for all $i$. Looking at the cosets modulo $\mathfrak{m} W_{n}$, we deduce from the earlier congruences that $f_{i}=(-1)^{n-i+1} \alpha_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} B_{n}=p^{n}$, we have $D^{p^{n}}=0$ whenever $D$ is nilpotent. Hence (iv) follows from (i) and (iii).
Lemma 1.5. Let $D \in W_{n}$ be any derivation such that $B_{n}$ is $D$-simple. Then:
(i) The derivations $D^{p^{i-1}}$ with $i=1, \ldots, n$ form a basis for $W_{n}$ over $B_{n}$.
(ii) The $n$ by $n$ matrix $\left[D^{p^{i-1}} x_{j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with entries in $B_{n}$ is invertible.

Proof. Denote by $\mathfrak{a}$ the $p$-Lie subalgebra of $W_{n}$ generated by $D$. If $D^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m} W_{n}$ then the ideal $B_{n} \cdot D^{\prime}\left(B_{n}\right)$ of $B_{n}$ is $D$-invariant since $\left[D, D^{\prime}\right]=0$. As this ideal is contained in $\mathfrak{m}$ and $B_{n}$ is $D$-simple, we conclude that $D^{\prime}=0$. Thus $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m} W_{n}=0$. Let $u(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the restricted universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{a}$. The dual space $u(\mathfrak{a})^{*}$ has a canonical algebra structure, and $\mathfrak{a}$ operates on $u(\mathfrak{a})^{*}$ via derivations. The natural action of $\mathfrak{a}$ on $B_{n}$ gives rise to an $\mathfrak{a}$-equivariant homomorphism of algebras $\varphi: B_{n} \rightarrow u(\mathfrak{a})^{*}$ (see [11, Th. 3.3.1]), which is an isomorphism by [7, Th. 3.2]. For our purposes it suffices to know that $\varphi$ is injective, but this follows from the facts that $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ is a proper $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ is $D$-simple. Since $\operatorname{dim} B_{n}=p^{n}$ and $\operatorname{dim} u(\mathfrak{a})^{*}=p^{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}}$, injectivity of $\varphi$ entails $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a} \geq n$. Then we must have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}=n \quad \text { and } \quad W_{n}=\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{m} W_{n}
$$

It follows that the set $\left\{D^{p^{i-1}} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ and also a basis for $W_{n}$ over $B_{n}$ by Nakayama's Lemma.

Since $D^{p^{i-1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(D^{p^{i-1}} x_{j}\right) \partial_{j}$, the matrix in (ii) is the transition matrix from one basis $\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ of the $B_{n}$-module $W_{n}$ to another basis $\left(D^{p^{i-1}}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$. The invertibility of this matrix is immediate.

## 2. The normal form of elements

Lemma 2.1. Let $D \in W_{n}$. Suppose that for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the $k$ by $k$ matrix

$$
\left[D^{p^{i-1}} x_{j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}
$$

is invertible. Denote by $J$ the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Then there is a unique $k$-tuple $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ such that the set $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\}$ generates the ideal $J$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
D y_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod J^{k(p-1)}\right) \\
D y_{j} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} y_{i}^{p-1}\left(\bmod J^{k(p-1)}\right) \quad \text { for all } j=2, \ldots, k .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Put $V=J / \mathfrak{m} J$. This vector space has a basis consisting of the cosets of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Let $U$ be the vector subspace in $W_{n}$ spanned by $\left\{D^{p^{i-1}} \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$. There is a bilinear pairing $U \times V \rightarrow B_{n} / \mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}$ given by the rule

$$
\left\langle D^{\prime}, f+\mathfrak{m} J\right\rangle=D^{\prime}(f)+\mathfrak{m} \quad \text { for } D^{\prime} \in U \text { and } f \in J
$$

The invertibility of the matrix in the statement of the Lemma can be rephrased in terms of the nondegeneracy of this pairing. Indeed, since $\mathfrak{m}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $B_{n}$ and the matrix of the pairing coincides with the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ of the former matrix, one matrix is invertible if and only if so is the other. Note that the vector space $V$ and the pairing $U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ depend only on the ideal $J$, but not on the particular set of its generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$.

By Nakayama's lemma arbitrary $k$ elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in J$ generate the ideal $J$ if and only if their cosets modulo $\mathfrak{m} J$ span $V$, in which case these cosets give another basis for $V$. If this condition is satisfied, then the $n$ elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ generate the algebra $B_{n}$. Indeed, since $V$ embeds in $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, the cosets of those elements modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$ form a basis for $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. Moreover, all the assumptions of the lemma remain intact if the original $n$-tuple of generators $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is replaced with $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Passing to new generators, we may assume from the very beginning that the basis $\left\{D^{p^{i-1}} \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ for $U$ and the basis $\left\{x_{i}+\mathfrak{m} J \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ for $V$ are dual to each other. Thus

$$
D^{p^{i-1}} x_{j} \equiv \delta_{i j}(\bmod \mathfrak{m}), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k
$$

Now look at the following statements:
(i) There exist elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ generating the ideal $J$ and invertible elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ of $B_{n}$ such that

$$
D y_{1}=u_{1}, \quad D y_{j}=u_{j} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} y_{i}^{p-1} \quad \text { for all } j=2, \ldots, k
$$

(ii) $\quad B_{n}=D(J) \oplus J^{k(p-1)} \quad$ and $\quad J \cap \operatorname{Ker} D=0$.

We claim that (i) implies (ii). Suppose that (i) holds. For each integer $r$ such that $0 \leq r<p^{k}$ let $r_{i}$ be the coefficients in the $p$-adic expansion

$$
r=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_{i} p^{i}, \quad 0 \leq r_{i}<p
$$

and put $y^{(r)}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{y_{i}^{r_{i-1}}}{r_{i-1}!}$.
Denote by $J_{r}$ the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $\left\{y^{(s)} \mid r \leq s<p^{k}\right\}$. Then we get a chain of ideals $J_{0} \supset J_{1} \supset \cdots \supset J_{p^{k}-1}$ with $J_{0}=B_{n}, J_{1}=J$. The last ideal $J_{p^{k}-1}$ is generated by a single element

$$
y^{\left(p^{k}-1\right)}=(-1)^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{p-1}
$$

Since $J$ is generated by $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ and $y_{i}^{p}=0$ for all $i$, we have $J^{k(p-1)}=J_{p^{k}-1}$. Put $J_{p^{k}}=0$ for later use.

Let us evaluate the derivation $D$ at $y^{(r)}$ for $r>0$. Since $y_{i}^{p}=0$ for all $i$, we have

$$
D y^{(r)}=\left(D y_{m}\right) \frac{y_{m}^{r_{m-1}-1}}{\left(r_{m-1}-1\right)!} \prod_{i=m+1}^{k} \frac{y_{i}^{r_{i-1}}}{r_{i-1}!}=(-1)^{m-1} u_{m} y^{(r-1)}
$$

where $m=\min \left\{i \mid r_{i-1} \neq 0\right\}$. It follows that $D\left(J_{r}\right) \subset J_{r-1}$, and therefore for each $r, 0<r<p^{k}$, there is a well-defined map

$$
\varphi_{r}: J_{r} / J_{r+1} \rightarrow J_{r-1} / J_{r}
$$

induced by $D$. Since $D(f g) \equiv f(D g)\left(\bmod J_{r}\right)$ for all $f \in B_{n}$ and $g \in J_{r}$, the above map is $B_{n}$-linear. Note that $J_{r} / J_{r+1}$ and $J_{r-1} / J_{r}$ are cyclic free $B_{n}$-modules generated by the respective cosets of $y^{(r)}$ and $y^{(r-1)}$. The earlier computation shows that $\varphi_{r}$ takes the coset of $y^{(r)}$ to the coset of $(-1)^{m-1} u_{m} y^{(r-1)}$. Since $u_{m}$ is an invertible element of $B_{n}$, we deduce that $\varphi_{r}$ is an isomorphism of $B_{n}$-modules.
It follows that $J_{r-1} \subset D\left(J_{r}\right)+J_{r}$ and $J_{r} \cap \operatorname{Ker} D \subset J_{r+1}$. These inclusions enable us to prove by induction that $J_{0} \subset D(J)+J_{r}$ and $J \cap \operatorname{Ker} D \subset J_{r+1}$ for all $r$ such that $0<r<p^{k}$. Taking $r=p^{k}-1$, we get

$$
B_{n}=D(J)+J^{k(p-1)} \quad \text { and } \quad J \cap \operatorname{Ker} D=0 .
$$

The second equality entails $\operatorname{dim} D(J)=\operatorname{dim} J$. On the other hand, $J^{k(p-1)}$ coincides with the annihilator of $J$ in $B_{n}$. Therefore the multiplication by $y^{\left(p^{k}-1\right)}$ induces a vector space isomorphism of $B_{n} / J$ onto $J^{k(p-1)}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} B_{n}=\operatorname{dim} J+\operatorname{dim} J^{k(p-1)}=\operatorname{dim} D(J)+\operatorname{dim} J^{k(p-1)} .
$$

We see that the sum of $D(J)$ and $J^{k(p-1)}$ must be direct. Thus (ii) follows from (i), as claimed.

Now note that (ii) implies the conclusion of the lemma. Indeed, by (ii) there exists a unique $y_{1} \in J$ such that $D y_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod J^{k(p-1)}\right)$. Once $y_{1}$ is known, we deduce that there exists a unique $y_{2} \in J$ such that $D y_{2} \equiv y_{1}^{p-1}\left(\bmod J^{k(p-1)}\right)$, and so on. Since the derivations $D, D^{p}, D^{p^{2}}, \ldots$ map $J^{a}$ to $J^{a-1}$ for all $a>0$, it follows by induction on $j$ and $i$ that

$$
D^{p^{i-1}} y_{j} \equiv \prod_{s=i}^{j-1} y_{s}^{p-1}\left(\bmod J^{(k-i+1)(p-1)}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k
$$

In particular, $D^{p^{j-1}} y_{j} \equiv 1\left(\bmod J^{(k-j+1)(p-1)}\right)$, but then $D^{p^{i-1}} y_{j} \in J^{(k-i+1)(p-1)}$ whenever $j<i \leq k$. Hence $D^{p^{i-1}} y_{j} \equiv \delta_{i j}(\bmod \mathfrak{m})$ for all $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. The nondegeneracy of the pairing $U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ considered earlier entails $y_{i}+\mathfrak{m} J=x_{i}+\mathfrak{m} J$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, and we conclude that $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ generate the ideal $J$.

So it suffices to prove (i). We shall do this proceeding by induction on $k$. If $k=1$, property (i) means just that $D y_{1} \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Hence we may take $y_{1}=x_{1}$. Suppose that $k>1$ and (i) holds for the ideal $J^{\prime}$ of $B_{n}$ generated by $k-1$ elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}$. Then there exist elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}$ generating the ideal $J^{\prime}$ and invertible elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ of $B_{n}$ such that

$$
D y_{1}=u_{1}, \quad D y_{j}=u_{j} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} y_{i}^{p-1} \quad \text { for all } j=2, \ldots, k-1
$$

As we have seen, (i) implies that (ii) also holds for $J^{\prime}$. In particular,

$$
B_{n}=D\left(J^{\prime}\right) \oplus J^{\prime(k-1)(p-1)} .
$$

So we can find $v \in J^{\prime}$ such that $D x_{k}-D v \in J^{\prime(k-1)(p-1)}$. Take $y_{k}=x_{k}-v$. The ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ coincides with $J$ since it contains $J^{\prime}$ as well as $x_{k}=y_{k}+v$. The ideal $J^{\prime(k-1)(p-1)}$ is generated by the element $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{i}^{p-1}$. Hence

$$
D y_{k}=D x_{k}-D v=u_{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{i}^{p-1}
$$

for some $u_{k} \in B_{n}$. It remains only to show that $u_{k}$ is an invertible element of $B_{n}$. Since $D^{p^{k-1}} x_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, we deduce that $D^{p^{k-1}}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}$. In particular, $D^{p^{k-1}} v \in \mathfrak{m}$. Hence

$$
D^{p^{k-1}} y_{k}=D^{p^{k-1}} x_{k}-D^{p^{k-1}} v \notin \mathfrak{m} .
$$

On the other hand, $D^{p^{k-1}-1}$ induces a $B_{n}$-linear map $J^{\prime(k-1)(p-1)} \rightarrow B_{n} / J^{\prime}$. In fact this map coincides with the composite of all the maps $\varphi_{r}, 0<r<p^{k-1}$, introduced earlier, but with $J^{\prime}$ in place of $J$. Hence

$$
D^{p^{k-1}} y_{k}=D^{p^{k-1}-1}\left(u_{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{i}^{p-1}\right) \equiv u_{k} D^{p^{k-1}-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{i}^{p-1}\right) \quad\left(\bmod J^{\prime}\right)
$$

and it follows that $u_{k} \notin \mathfrak{m}$, as required.

Denote by $I_{k}$ the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. In particular, $I_{0}=\mathfrak{m}$ and $I_{n}=0$. Put

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{D}_{k}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \partial_{j} \mid f_{j} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\left(\bmod B_{n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, k\right. \\
\text { and } \left.f_{j} \in I_{k} \text { for all } j=k+1, \ldots, n\right\}, \\
\mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \partial_{j} \mid f_{j} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{m} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, k\right. \\
\text { and } \left.f_{j} \in I_{k} \text { for all } j=k+1, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Clearly $I_{k}$ is stable under every derivation in $\mathcal{D}_{k}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}_{k} \subset N\left(I_{k}\right)$.
Lemma 2.2. For any $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}$ we have:
(i) $I_{k}$ is the maximal $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$.
(ii) $D^{p^{k}} \in I_{k} W_{n}$ if and only if $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$.
(iii) $D$ is nilpotent if and only if $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Let $D=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \partial_{j}$ with $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in B_{n}$. For each $j=1, \ldots, k$ we can write

$$
f_{j}=\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}+g_{j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}
$$

where $g_{j}$ lies in the subalgebra of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{F}$ be such that $g_{j}-\alpha_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then $g_{j}-\alpha_{j} \in I_{k}$ as well. Let $\pi: N\left(I_{k}\right) \rightarrow$ Der $B_{n} / I_{k}$ be the canonical map. Recall that $\operatorname{Ker} \pi=I_{k} W_{n}$. Identifying $B_{n} / I_{k}$ with $B_{k}$, we get

$$
\pi(D)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \partial_{j}+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j} \partial_{j} .
$$

Thus $\pi(D)$, regarded as an element of $W_{k}=\operatorname{Der} B_{k}$, satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1.4. By that lemma the algebra $B_{n} / I_{k}$ is $\pi(D)$-simple, but this is equivalent to statement (i) of Lemma 2.2.

By Lemma $1.4 \pi(D)$ is nilpotent if and only if $\alpha_{j}=0$ for all $j=1, \ldots, k$. Furthermore, $\pi(D)$ is nilpotent if and only if $\pi(D)^{p^{k}}=0$ or, equivalently, $D^{p^{k}} \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi$. On the other hand, vanishing of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ means that $g_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, k$, that is, $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$. This yields (ii). Now (iii) follows from Lemma 1.1.

We will denote by $G_{k}$ the subgroup of $G$ consisting of all automorphisms $\sigma$ of $B_{n}$ which satisfy the following two conditions:
(1) the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ is stable under $\sigma$,
(2) $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for all $i=k+1, \ldots, n$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $D \in W_{n}$ be any derivation with the maximal $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$ equal to $I_{k}$. There exists a unique $\sigma \in G_{k}$ such that $D \in \sigma_{*}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$.

Proof. Let us check that $D$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1. Consider the algebra $B_{n} / I_{k} \cong B_{k}$ and its derivation $\pi(D)$ induced by $D$. By the assumption
about $D$ this algebra is $\pi(D)$-simple. Put $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i}+I_{k}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Lemma 1.5, applied to $\pi(D)$, shows that $\left[\pi(D)^{p^{i-1}} \bar{x}_{j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ is an invertible matrix with entries in $B_{n} / I_{k}$. But

$$
\pi(D)^{p^{i-1}} \bar{x}_{j}=D^{p^{i-1}} x_{j}+I_{k} .
$$

Since $I_{k}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $B_{n}$, it follows that the matrix $\left[D^{p^{i-1}} x_{j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ with entries in $B_{n}$ is also invertible.

Thus Lemma 2.1 applies. Let $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ be the $k$-tuple given by the conclusion of that lemma. Note that $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}$ if and only if $y_{i}=x_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. In fact, writing $D=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \partial_{j}$ with $f_{j}=D x_{j}$, we have $f_{j} \in I_{k}$ for all $j=k+1, \ldots, n$ since $D\left(I_{k}\right) \subset I_{k}$. At the same time the condition on $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{k}$ amounts to the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 for the $k$-tuple $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$.

If $\sigma \in G_{k}$, then $\sigma\left(I_{k}\right)=I_{k}$. Therefore the maximal $\sigma_{*}^{-1}(D)$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$ coincides with $I_{k}$ as well, but the $k$-tuple of Lemma 2.1 defined with respect to the derivation $\sigma_{*}^{-1}(D)$ changes to $\left(\sigma^{-1} y_{1}, \ldots, \sigma^{-1} y_{k}\right)$. It follows that $\sigma_{*}^{-1}(D) \in \mathcal{D}_{k}$ if and only if $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. So it remains to observe that there exists a unique $\sigma \in G_{k}$ with this property.
Corollary 2.4. Suppose that $D \in W_{n}$ is such that $B_{n}$ is $D$-simple. Then there is a unique $\sigma \in G$ such that $D \in \sigma_{*}\left(\mathcal{D}_{n}\right)$. In particular, $D$ has trivial stabilizer in $G$.

Proof. In the special case $k=n$ we have $I_{k}=0$ and $G_{k}=G$ since the ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is stable under $G$.
Corollary 2.5. The set $\mathcal{N}_{\text {reg }}=\left\{D \in \mathcal{N}\left(W_{n}\right) \mid B_{n}\right.$ is $D$-simple $\}$ is a single $G$-orbit.
Proof. By Lemma 2.2 a derivation in $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is nilpotent if and only if it lies in $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\prime}$. Hence, by Corollary 2.4, any $G$-orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{\text {reg }}$ intersects $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\prime}$. However, $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ contains only one element.

In [5] Premet proved, assuming $\mathbb{F}$ to be algebraically closed, that the $G$-orbit of the derivation in $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}\left(W_{n}\right)$.

## 3. Counting arguments

In this section we assume that $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$. We will denote by $\# X$ the cardinality of a finite set $X$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}\left(I_{k} / \mathfrak{m} I_{k}\right)$, and let $\varphi: \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the map defined by the rule $\varphi(D)=\lambda\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\# \varphi^{-1}(A)=q^{k\left(p^{n-k}-1\right)+(n-k)\left(p^{n}-p^{k}\right)-(n-k)^{2}} \quad \text { for each } A \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

Proof. Note that each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$ can be written as in Lemma 1.3 with

$$
D_{2} \in \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} I_{k} \partial_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{3} \in I_{k} W_{n}
$$

Then $D_{1}+D_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$ as well, whence both $D^{p^{k}}$ and $\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p^{k}}$ lie in $I_{k} W_{n}$. Denote by $J$ the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Applying Lemma 1.3, we get

$$
D^{p^{k}}-\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p^{k}}-(-1)^{k} D_{3} \in I_{k} W_{n} \cap J W_{n}=\left(I_{k} \cap J\right) W_{n} \subset \mathfrak{m} I_{k} W_{n} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \lambda
$$

It follows that

$$
\varphi(D)=\lambda\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)=\lambda\left(\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{p^{k}}\right)+(-1)^{k} \lambda\left(D_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)+(-1)^{k} \lambda\left(D_{3}\right)
$$

If $D^{\prime} \in I_{k} W_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
D+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D^{\prime}=D_{1}+D_{2}+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right)\left(D_{3}+D^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}, \quad \text { and } \\
\varphi\left(D+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)+(-1)^{k} \lambda\left(D_{3}+D^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(D)+(-1)^{k} \lambda\left(D^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The map $\lambda: I_{k} W_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is surjective since $\left\{\lambda\left(x_{i} \partial_{j}\right) \mid k<i, j \leq n\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{g}$. Given $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can find $D^{\prime} \in I_{k} W_{n}$ such that $\lambda\left(D^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{k}\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)$. We see that $\varphi(D)=A_{1}$ if and only if $\varphi\left(D+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}\right) D^{\prime}\right)=A_{2}$, and so there is a bijection between $\varphi^{-1}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi^{-1}\left(A_{2}\right)$. Thus any two fibres of $\varphi$ have the same cardinality. Since $\mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$ is an affine translation of the vector subspace

$$
V=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathfrak{m} t \partial_{j}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} I_{k} \partial_{j} \subset W_{n} \quad \text { where } t=\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p-1}
$$

it follows that

$$
\# \varphi^{-1}(A)=\# \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime} / \# \mathfrak{g}=q^{\operatorname{dim} V-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}}=q^{k(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} t)+(n-k)\left(\operatorname{dim} I_{k}\right)-(n-k)^{2}}
$$

The isomorphism $B_{n} / I_{k} \cong B_{k}$ shows that $I_{k}$ has codimension $p^{k}$ in $B_{n}$. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} I_{k}=p^{n}-p^{k}$. Denote by $J$ the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. The multiplication by $t$ induces a vector space isomorphism between $B_{n} / J$ and $B_{n} t$. Since $B_{n} / J \cong B_{n-k}$, we get $\operatorname{dim} B_{n} t=p^{n-k}$. Since $B_{n} t / \mathfrak{m} t$ is spanned by the coset of $t$, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} t=p^{n-k}-1$.
Lemma 3.2. The group $G_{k}$ has order $q^{k\left(p^{n}-p^{n-k}-k\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(q^{k}-q^{i-1}\right)$.
Proof. Denote by $J$ the ideal of $B_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Each automorphism $\sigma \in G_{k}$ is determined uniquely by its values on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. The condition $\sigma(J)=J$ in the definition of $G_{k}$ means precisely that $\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{k}\right)$ generate the ideal $J$. Hence the assignment $\sigma \mapsto\left(\sigma\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(x_{k}\right)\right)$ gives a bijection between $G_{k}$ and the set of $k$-tuples of elements generating $J$ as an ideal. By Nakayama's lemma arbitrary $k$ elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in J$ generate the ideal $J$ if and only if their cosets modulo $\mathfrak{m} J$ form a basis for the vector space $J / \mathfrak{m} J$. As is well known, the number of different bases for a $k$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ equals $\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(q^{k}-q^{i-1}\right)$. Furthermore, $q^{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} J}$ is the number of elements in each coset modulo $\mathfrak{m} J$. Hence $q^{k(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} J)}$ is the number of possible ways to choose representatives of $k$ cosets modulo $\mathfrak{m} J$, and it follows that

$$
\# G_{k}=q^{k(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} J)} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(q^{k}-q^{i-1}\right)
$$

Since $B_{n} / J \cong B_{n-k}$, we have $\operatorname{dim} J=p^{n}-p^{n-k}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} J=p^{n}-p^{n-k}-k$, and we are done.
Lemma 3.3. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{k}$ the set of all ideals $I$ of $B_{n}$ such that $B_{n} / I \cong B_{k}$. Then

$$
\# \mathcal{I}_{k}=q^{(n-k)\left(p^{k}-1-k\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q^{n}-q^{i-1}}{q^{k}-q^{i-1}}
$$

Proof. Each ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}_{k}$ is generated by a set of $n-k$ elements lying in $\mathfrak{m}$ whose cosets modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. There are $\prod_{i=1}^{n-k}\left(q^{n}-q^{i-1}\right)$ possible ways to choose an $(n-k)$-tuple of linearly independent vectors in the $n$ dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. Hence

$$
q^{(n-k)\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k}\left(q^{n}-q^{i-1}\right)
$$

is the number of $(n-k)$-tuples of elements generating an ideal in $\mathcal{I}_{k}$. The same ideal $I$ can be generated in

$$
q^{(n-k)(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} I)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k}\left(q^{n-k}-q^{i-1}\right)
$$

different ways. So it follows that

$$
\# \mathcal{I}_{k}=\frac{q^{(n-k)\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right)}}{q^{(n-k)(\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} I)}} C_{n, n-k} \quad \text { where } \quad C_{n, r}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q^{n}-q^{i-1}}{q^{r}-q^{i-1}}
$$

Note that $C_{n, r}$ is the $q$-binomial coefficient equal to the number of $r$-dimensional subspaces in an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In the above formula we may replace $C_{n, n-k}$ with $C_{n, k}$ since these two numbers are equal. We also have $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}^{2}=$ $p^{n}-1-n$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} I=p^{n}-p^{k}-(n-k)$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}^{2}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} I=p^{k}-1-k$, yielding the desired equality.

For an integer $k$ such that $0 \leq k \leq n$, an ideal $I$ of $B_{n}$ such that $B_{n} / I \cong B_{k}$, and a nilpotent linear transformation $A \in \mathfrak{g l}(I / \mathfrak{m} I)$ put
$\mathcal{N}_{k}=\left\{D \in \mathcal{N}\left(W_{n}\right) \mid\right.$ the maximal $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$ has codimension $\left.p^{k}\right\}$,
$\mathcal{N}_{I}=\left\{D \in \mathcal{N}\left(W_{n}\right) \mid\right.$ the maximal $D$-invariant ideal of $B_{n}$ coincides with $\left.I\right\}$,
$\mathcal{N}_{I, A}=\left\{D \in \mathcal{N}_{I} \mid \lambda\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)=A\right\}$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$, let $I$ be any ideal of $B_{n}$ such that $B_{n} / I \cong B_{k}$, and let $A$ be a nilpotent linear transformation of the vector space $I / \mathfrak{m} I$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\# \mathcal{N}_{I, A}=q^{n p^{n}-(n-k) p^{k}-k(k+1)-(n-k)^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(q^{k}-q^{i-1}\right) \\
\# \mathcal{N}_{I}=q^{n\left(p^{n}-1\right)-(n-k) p^{k}-k^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(q^{k}-q^{i-1}\right) \\
\# \mathcal{N}_{k}=q^{n\left(p^{n}-1\right)-(2 n-k)(k+1) / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(q^{n-i+1}-1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Since $I$ is $G$-conjugate to $I_{k}$, we may assume that $I=I_{k}$. By Lemma 2.3

$$
\mathcal{N}_{I}=\coprod_{\sigma \in G_{k}} \sigma_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{I} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}\right), \quad \text { a disjoint union. }
$$

Since all automorphisms in $G_{k}$ induce the identity transformation of $I / \mathfrak{m} I$, the derivations $D^{p^{k}}$ and $\sigma_{*}\left(D^{p^{k}}\right)$ induce the same transformation of $I / \mathfrak{m} I$ for any $\sigma \in G_{k}$ and $D \in \mathcal{N}_{I} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}$. Hence

$$
\mathcal{N}_{I, A}=\coprod_{\sigma \in G_{k}} \sigma_{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{I, A} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.2 $\mathcal{N}_{I} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k} \subset \mathcal{D}_{k}^{\prime}$. So it follows that $\mathcal{N}_{I, A} \cap \mathcal{D}_{k}=\varphi^{-1}(A)$ where $\varphi$ is the map from Lemma 3.1. We see that there is a bijection between $\mathcal{N}_{I, A}$ and the cartesian product $G_{k} \times \varphi^{-1}(A)$. Hence $\# \mathcal{N}_{I, A}=\# G_{k} \cdot \# \varphi^{-1}(A)$.

The set $\mathcal{N}_{I}$ is a disjoint union of subsets $\mathcal{N}_{I, A}$ with $A$ running over the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}(I / \mathfrak{m} I) \cong \mathfrak{g l}_{n-k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Since $\# \mathcal{N}_{I, A}$ does not depend on $A$, we get $\# \mathcal{N}_{I}=\# \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot \# \mathcal{N}_{I, A}$. The first factor here is the number of nilpotent $n-k$ by $n-k$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. It is known from [2]:

$$
\# \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})=q^{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g}}=q^{(n-k)^{2}-(n-k)}=q^{(n-k)(n-k-1)}
$$

Finally, $\# \mathcal{N}_{k}=\# \mathcal{I}_{k} \cdot \# \mathcal{N}_{I}$ since $\mathcal{N}_{k}$ is a disjoint union of subsets $\mathcal{N}_{I^{\prime}}$ with $I^{\prime}$ running over $\mathcal{I}_{k}$. Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 provide all values needed.

The main result stated in the introduction now follows from the next lemma:
Lemma 3.5. Let $N_{k}=\# \mathcal{N}_{k}$. Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} N_{k}=q^{n\left(p^{n}-1\right)}$.
Proof. From the explicit formula in Theorem 3.4 we deduce the recurrence relation

$$
q^{n-k-1} N_{k+1}=\left(q^{n-k}-1\right) N_{k} \quad \text { for } k=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

Now a downward induction on $k$ shows that $\sum_{i=k}^{n} N_{i}=q^{n-k} N_{k}$ for all $k=0, \ldots, n$. Taking $k=0$ and noting that $N_{0}=q^{n\left(p^{n}-2\right)}$, we arrive at the desired conclusion.
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