
NUMERICAL METHODS

Implicit Euler Scheme for an Abstract Evolution Inequality

R. Z. Dautov and A. I. Mikheeva

Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russia

Received December 10, 2010

Abstract—For a triple $\{V, H, V^*\}$ of Hilbert spaces, we consider an evolution inclusion of the form $u'(t) + A(t)u(t) + \partial\phi(t, u(t)) \ni f(t)$, $u(0) = u_0$, $t \in (0, T]$, where $A(t)$ and $\phi(t, \cdot)$, $t \in [0, T]$, are a family of nonlinear operators from V to V^* and a family of convex lower semicontinuous functionals with common effective domain $D(\phi) \subset V$. We indicate conditions on the data under which there exists a unique solution of the problem in the space $H^1(0, T; V) \cap W_\infty^1(0, T; H)$ and the implicit Euler method has first-order accuracy in the energy norm.

DOI: 10.1134/S0012266111080076

The aim of the present paper is to state conditions guaranteeing the first-order accuracy of the implicit Euler method in the energy norm for the following problem: find a function $u(t) \in D(\phi)$ with $u(0) = u_0$ such that the inequality

$$\langle u'(t) + A(t)u(t) - f(t), v - u(t) \rangle + \phi(t, v) - \phi(t, u(t)) \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

holds for each $v \in D(\phi)$ and for almost all $t \in (0, T]$, which is equivalent to the relation $u'(t) + A(t)u(t) + \partial\phi(t, u(t)) \ni f(t)$, where $\partial\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$ is the subdifferential of ϕ . This problem was earlier considered in [1, 2] for the case in which the functional ϕ does not explicitly depend on t . (The operators $A(t)$ were assumed in [1] to be linear, and the case of $A(t) = 0$ was considered in [2].) We generalize the results of these papers by using the studies in [1]. The energy norm is defined by the formula

$$\|v\|_E = \|v\|_{L_\infty(0, T; H)} + \|v\|_{L_2(0, T; V)}.$$

1. NOTATION AND ASSUMPTIONS

Let V and H be separable Hilbert spaces with dense continuous embeddings $V \subset H = H^* \subset V^*$, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the duality pairing between V^* and V . For a given Banach space X , we define the spaces $L_p(0, T; X)$ and $W_p^k(0, T; X)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $k \geq 0$, and the norms in them in a standard way (e.g., see [3, Chap. 4]). Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= L_2(0, T; V), & \mathcal{V}^* &= L_2(0, T; V^*), & H^1(0, T; X) &= W_2^1(0, T; X), \\ \mathcal{D}(\phi) &= \{v \in \mathcal{V} : \phi(t, v(t)) \in L_1(0, T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We impose the following constraints on data of problem (1):

(A₁) $A(t)0 = 0$; the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(t)u - A(t)v, u - v \rangle &\geq \alpha \|u - v\|_V^2, & \alpha &= \text{const} > 0, \\ \|A(t)u - A(t)v\|_{V^*} &\leq m_0(t) \|u - v\|_V, & \|A'(t)v\|_{V^*} &\leq m_1(t) \|v\|_V, \\ M &= \|m_0\|_{L_2(0, T)} + \|m_1\|_{L_2(0, T)} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$[A'(t) = dA(t)/dt]$ hold for arbitrary $u, v \in V$ and for almost all $t \in [0, T]$.

(A₂) The functional $v \rightarrow \phi(t, v)$ is proper convex and lower semicontinuous on V for each $t \in [0, T]$, and its effective domain

$$D(\phi) = \{v \in V : \phi(t, v) < \infty\}$$

is independent of t ; $0 \in D(\phi)$.

(A₃) If $\chi : [0, T] \rightarrow V^*$ is a subgradient of ϕ at zero, i.e., if $\phi(t, v) - \phi(t, 0) \geq \langle \chi(t), v \rangle$ for all $v \in D(\phi)$, then $\chi \in H^1(0, T; V^*)$.

(A₄) $\int_0^T |\phi_t(t, u(t)) - \phi_t(t, v(t))| dt \leq \varrho(\|u\|_V, \|v\|_V) \|u - v\|_V$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\phi)$, where the function ϱ is continuous function and nondecreasing with respect to each argument and $\phi_t(t, u) = d\phi(t, u)/dt$, $u \in D(\phi)$.

(A₅) $f \in H^1(0, T; V^*)$, $u_0 \in D(\phi)$, and $C_0 = \|u_0\|_V + \inf_{v \in M(u_0, f)} \|v\|_H < \infty$, where the set

$$M(u_0, f) = \{w \in H : \langle w + A(0)u_0 - f(0), v - u_0 \rangle + \phi(0, v) - \phi(0, u_0) \geq 0 \ \forall v \in D(\phi)\}$$

is nonempty.

Note that condition (A₁) implies the continuity of the function $t \rightarrow A(t)$ on $[0, T]$ and the pseudomonotonicity and coercivity of $A(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ (e.g., see [4, p. 190]), and condition (A₃) permits one to assume without loss of generality that

$$\phi(t, v) \geq \phi(t, 0) = 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\phi).$$

In what follows, we assume that this condition is satisfied. Indeed, otherwise the problem can be reduced to problem (1) with data $\bar{f}(t) = f(t) - \chi(t)$ and $\bar{\phi}(t, v) = \phi(t, v) - \phi(t, 0) - \langle \chi(t), v \rangle$ and with the same solution u ; moreover, one can readily see that conditions (A₂), (A₃), and (A₅), as well as condition (A₄), remain valid for the new data, because $\|\chi'(t)\|_{V^*} \in L_2(0, T)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\phi}_t(t, u) - \bar{\phi}_t(t, v)| &= |\phi_t(t, u) - \phi_t(t, v) + \langle \chi'(t), u - v \rangle| \\ &\leq |\phi_t(t, u) - \phi_t(t, v)| + \|\chi'(t)\|_{V^*} \|u - v\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $C_0 < \infty$ in (A₅) is the matching condition for the data and is necessary for the problem to be solvable in the space $E^1 = H^1(0, T; V) \cap W_\infty^1(0, T; H)$. Indeed, if $u \in E^1$, then $u \in C([0, T]; V)$, $u' \in C([0, T]; H)$, and one can consider inequality (1) for $t = 0$ by continuity. Then we obtain $u'(0) \in M(u_0, f)$ and $C_0 < \infty$.

2. IMPLICIT EULER SCHEME

Let us fix the grid increment $\tau = T/N$ and the corresponding partition of the interval $[-\tau, T]$ into the elements $I_n = [t_{n-1}, t_n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $t_j = j\tau$, $j = -1, 0, \dots, N$. Set $y^n \approx u(t_n)$, $A^n = A(t_n)$, $f^n = f(t_n)$, $\phi^n(\cdot) = \phi(t_n, \cdot)$, $y^{-1} = u_0$, $A(t_{-1}) = A(0)$, $f(t_{-1}) = f(0)$, and $\phi(t_{-1}, \cdot) = \phi(0, \cdot)$.

Let us define an implicit scheme as follows: find $y^n \in D(\phi)$ such that the inequalities

$$\langle (y^n - y^{n-1})/\tau + A^n y^n - f^n, v - y^n \rangle + \phi^n(v) - \phi^n(y^n) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\phi) \tag{2}$$

hold for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Note that, unlike the traditional statement of the implicit Euler scheme, for $n = 0$, we solve the following problem to find y^0 :

$$\langle (y^0 - u_0)/\tau + A(0)y^0 - f(0), v - y^0 \rangle + \phi(0, v) - \phi(0, y^0) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\phi). \tag{3}$$

This approximation proves useful in connection with condition (A₅).

From inequality (2), we successively find y^n (starting from y^0). For $y^n \in D(\varphi) = D(\phi)$, we obtain the inequality

$$\langle By - G, v - y \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(y) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\varphi),$$

where $B = 1/\tau + A^n$ is a pseudomonotone coercive operator from V into V^* ,

$$G = y^{n-1}/\tau + f^n \in V^*,$$

and $\varphi(y) = \phi(t_n, y)$ is a proper convex lower semicontinuous functional on V . It is well known that there exists a uniquely determined solution of this inequality (e.g., see [4, Th. 8.5, p. 265]). Therefore, the sequence $\{y^n\}_{n=0}^N$ is well defined and lies in $D(\phi)$.

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

The piecewise constant and piecewise linear extensions of a grid function $g^n, n = -1, 0, \dots, N$, will be denoted by $g_\tau(t)$ and $\hat{g}_\tau(t), t \in [-\tau, T)$, respectively; by \check{u} we denote the shift $\check{u}(t) = u(t - \tau)$ of a function u . In addition, let $\ell(t)$ stand for the τ -periodic function equal to $(t - t_{n-1})/\tau$ on the interval $[t_{n-1}, t_n)$. Then, for all $t \in (-\tau, T)$, we have¹

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_\tau(t) &= (1 - \ell(t))\check{y}_\tau(t) + \ell(t)y_\tau(t), & \hat{y}_\tau(t) - y_\tau(t) &= (1 - \ell(t))(\check{y}_\tau(t) - y_\tau(t)), \\ \hat{y}'_\tau(t) &= (y_\tau(t) - \check{y}_\tau(t))/\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *One has the estimates*

$$\|(A - A_\tau)v\|_{V^*} + \tau\|\hat{A}'_\tau v\|_{V^*} \leq 2M\tau\|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}$$

and

$$\|f - f_\tau\|_{V^*} + \tau\|\hat{f}'_\tau\|_{V^*} \leq 2F\tau,$$

where $F = \|f\|_{H^1(0,T;V^*)}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle (A - A_\tau)v, w \rangle dt &= \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} \langle (A(t) - A(t_n))v(t), w(t) \rangle dt = \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} \left(\int_{t_n}^t \langle A'(s)v(t), w(t) \rangle ds \right) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} \left(\int_{I_n} m_1(s) ds \right) \|v(t)\|_V \|w(t)\|_V dt \leq M\tau\|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}\|w\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that $\|(A - A_\tau)v\|_{V^*} \leq M\tau\|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}$. The estimate $\|\hat{A}'_\tau v\|_{V^*} \leq M\|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}$, as well as similar estimates for f , can be proved in a completely similar way.

Lemma 2. *Let y^0 be a solution of problem (3). Then $\|y^0 - u_0\|_H^2 + \alpha\tau\|y^0 - u_0\|_V^2 \leq C_0^2\tau^2$.*

Proof. Let w be some element of the set $M(u_0, f)$ [see condition (A_5)]. We take $v = y^0$ in the inequality defining w and $v = u_0$ in (3). By adding the resulting inequalities, we obtain

$$\langle (y^0 - u_0)/\tau - w + A(0)y^0 - A(0)u_0, y^0 - u_0 \rangle \leq 0.$$

Let us use the strong monotonicity of A . Then

$$\|y^0 - u_0\|_H^2 + \alpha\tau\|y^0 - u_0\|_V^2 \leq \tau\|w\|_H\|y^0 - u_0\|_H.$$

Hence it follows that $\|y^0 - u_0\|_H^2 + \alpha\tau\|y^0 - u_0\|_V^2 \leq \tau^2\|w\|_H^2$. By minimizing this estimate with respect to w , we obtain the desired assertion.

¹ At the points of discontinuity, \hat{y}'_τ is assumed to be left continuous; \hat{f}_τ and \hat{A}_τ are defined in a similar way.

Lemma 3. *Let y be a solution of the scheme (2). Then²*

$$\|y_\tau\|_E \leq C, \quad \|\check{y}_\tau\|_E \leq C, \quad \|\hat{y}_\tau\|_E \leq C, \quad C = c(T, \alpha)(C_0 + F).$$

Proof. Let us multiply inequality (2) by τ and set $v = 0$. By virtue of conditions (A_1) and (A_3) , we obtain the inequality $\langle y^n - y^{n-1} + \tau A^n y^n, y^n \rangle \leq \tau \langle f^n, y^n \rangle$. We use the strong monotonicity A^n ($A^n 0 = 0$), the inequality

$$2\langle u - v, u \rangle = \|u\|_H^2 + \|u - v\|_H^2 - \|v\|_H^2 \geq \|u\|_H^2 - \|v\|_H^2 \quad \forall u, v \in V, \tag{4}$$

and the ε -inequality $2ab \leq \varepsilon^{-1}a^2 + \varepsilon b^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $a, b \in R$. As a result, we have

$$\|y^n\|_H^2 - \|y^{n-1}\|_H^2 + 2\alpha\tau\|y^n\|_V^2 \leq 2\tau\|f^n\|_{V^*}\|y^n\|_V \leq \tau\varepsilon^{-1}\|f^n\|_{V^*}^2 + \tau\varepsilon\|y^n\|_V^2.$$

By setting $\varepsilon = \alpha$ and by summing the resulting inequalities with respect to n from 1 to $m \leq N$, we obtain the estimate

$$\|y^m\|_H^2 + \alpha\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|y^n\|_V^2 \leq \|y^0\|_H^2 + \alpha^{-1}\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \|f^n\|_{V^*}^2 \leq C^2, \quad C = c(T, \alpha)(C_0 + F),$$

since Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that $\|f_\tau\|_{V^*} \leq 3F$ and $\|y^0\|_H \leq \|u_0\|_H + C_0\tau \leq (1 + T)C_0$.

Consequently, we have the estimates

$$\|y_\tau\|_{L_\infty(0,T;H)} = \max_{1 \leq m \leq N} \|y^m\|_H \leq C, \quad \|y_\tau\|_{L_2(0,T;V)} \leq C/\alpha.$$

These two estimates imply the first assertion of the lemma. Since

$$\|\check{y}_\tau\|_{L_\infty(0,T;H)} = \max_{0 \leq m \leq N-1} \|y^m\|_H, \quad \|\check{y}_\tau\|_V^2 \leq \tau\|y^0\|_V^2 + \|y_\tau\|_V^2,$$

it is clear that $\|\check{y}_\tau\|_E \leq C$. The final estimate in the lemma follows from the inequality $\|\hat{y}_\tau\|_E \leq \|\check{y}_\tau\|_E + \|y_\tau\|_E$.

Lemma 4. *Let y be a solution of the scheme (2). Then $\|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E \leq C$, $C = C(T, \alpha, C_0, F, M, \varrho)$.*

Proof. Let us introduce the notation $y_t^n = (y^{n+1} - y^n)/\tau$. Set $v = y^{n+1}$ in inequality (2) preliminarily divided by τ and $v = y^n$ in the same inequality at the next time step; by adding the resulting inequalities, we arrive at the relation

$$\langle y_t^n - y_t^{n-1}, y_t^n \rangle + \langle A^n y^{n+1} - A^n y^n, y_t^n \rangle \leq \tau \langle f_t^n - A_t^n y^{n+1}, y_t^n \rangle + \tau^{-1} \Phi^n,$$

where $\Phi^n = (\phi(t^n, y^{n+1}) - \phi(t^{n+1}, y^{n+1})) - (\phi(t^n, y^n) - \phi(t^{n+1}, y^n))$. We again use the strong monotonicity of A^n and inequality (4) and obtain the relation

$$\|y_t^n\|_H^2 - \|y_t^{n-1}\|_H^2 + 2\alpha\tau\|y_t^n\|_V^2 \leq 2\tau(\|f_t^n\|_{V^*} + \|A_t^n y^{n+1}\|_{V^*})\|y_t^n\|_V + 2\Phi^n/\tau.$$

By applying the ε -inequality with $\varepsilon = \alpha$ to the right-hand side and by summing the resulting inequalities with respect to n from 0 to $m < N$, we obtain

$$\|y_t^m\|_H^2 + \alpha\tau \sum_{n=0}^m \|y_t^n\|_V^2 \leq \|y_t^{-1}\|_H^2 + \alpha^{-1}\tau \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\|f_t^n\|_{V^*}^2 + \|A_t^n y^{n+1}\|_{V^*}^2) + 2\tau^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Phi^n = S_1 + S_2 + S_3.$$

Just as above, it follows from this estimate that

$$\max_{0 \leq m \leq N-1} \|y_t^m\|^2 + \tau \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|y_t^n\|_V^2 \leq cS^2, \quad c = c(\alpha), \quad S^2 = S_1 + S_2 + S_3.$$

² Here and throughout the following, various constants like c and C , possibly with subscripts, are independent of τ .

This implies an estimate for the quantity required in the statement of the lemma,

$$\|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E \leq cS. \tag{5}$$

Let us estimate the terms occurring in S^2 . By Lemma 2, we have

$$S_1 = \tau^{-2} \|y^0 - u_0\|_H^2 \leq cC_0^2. \tag{6}$$

To estimate S_2 , we use Lemma 1. Obviously,

$$S_2 = \alpha^{-1} \int_0^T \left(\|\hat{f}'_\tau\|_{V^*}^2 + \|\hat{A}'_\tau y_\tau\|_{V^*}^2 \right) dt = \alpha^{-1} \left(\|\hat{f}'_\tau\|_{V^*}^2 + \|\hat{A}'_\tau y_\tau\|_{V^*}^2 \right) \leq \alpha^{-1} (F^2 + M^2 \|y_\tau\|_{L_\infty(0,T;V)}^2).$$

Since $\|y_\tau\|_{L_\infty(0,T;V)}^2 \leq \|y^0\|_V^2 + 2\|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_{L_2(0,T;V)} \|y_\tau\|_{L_2(0,T;V)}$, it follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 that

$$\|y_\tau\|_{L_\infty(0,T;V)}^2 \leq C(1 + \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E), \quad C = C(T, \alpha, C_0, F, M). \tag{7}$$

Consequently,

$$S_2 \leq C(1 + \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E). \tag{8}$$

Finally, let us estimate S_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= -2\tau^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (\phi_t(t, y^{n+1}) - \phi_t(t, y^n)) dt = -2\tau^{-1} \int_0^T (\phi_t(t, y_\tau(t)) - \phi_t(t, \check{y}_\tau(t))) dt \\ &\leq 2\tau^{-1} \varrho(\|y_\tau\|_V, \|\check{y}_\tau\|_V) \|y_\tau - \check{y}_\tau\|_V = 2\varrho(\|y_\tau\|_V, \|\check{y}_\tau\|_V) \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Since the norms $\|y_\tau\|_V$ and $\|\check{y}_\tau\|_V$ are bounded uniformly with respect to τ by Lemma 3, we have

$$S_3 \leq C\|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E, \quad C = C(T, \alpha, C_0, F, \varrho).$$

By summing this estimate with (6) and (8), we obtain the estimate $S^2 \leq C(1 + \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E)$. By using it in (5), we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

Lemma 5. *The inequality*

$$\langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A(t)\hat{y}_\tau - f, v - \hat{y}_\tau \rangle + \phi(t, v) - \phi(t, \hat{y}_\tau) \geq -R_\tau(t, v) \tag{9}$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in D(\phi)$; moreover, if $v \in \mathcal{D}(\phi)$, then there exists a constant C depending on $\|v\|_V$ such that

$$\int_0^T R_\tau(t, v(t)) dt \leq C(\tau^2 + \tau\|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_V).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma = \sigma(t)$, $t \in [-\tau, T]$, be the piecewise constant extension of the grid function t_n , $\sigma(t) = t_n$, $t \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. By writing out the grid inequality (2) in the index-free form, for all $t \in (-\tau, T)$, we have

$$\langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A_\tau(t)y_\tau - f_\tau, v - y_\tau \rangle + \phi(\sigma(t), v) - \phi(\sigma(t), y_\tau) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\phi). \tag{10}$$

Since \hat{y}_τ , \check{y}_τ , and y_τ are related by the identities

$$\hat{y}_\tau(t) = (1 - \ell(t))\check{y}_\tau(t) + \ell(t)y_\tau(t), \quad \hat{y}_\tau(t) - y_\tau(t) = (1 - \ell(t))(\check{y}_\tau(t) - y_\tau(t)),$$

it follows from the convexity of ϕ and inequality (10) that $(L = \langle A\hat{y}_\tau - A_\tau y_\tau, \hat{y}_\tau - v \rangle)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A\hat{y}_\tau - f_\tau, \hat{y}_\tau - v \rangle + \phi(\sigma, \hat{y}_\tau) - \phi(\sigma, v) \\ &= \langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A_\tau y_\tau - f_\tau, \hat{y}_\tau - v \rangle + \phi(\sigma, (1 - \ell)\check{y}_\tau + \ell y_\tau) - \phi(\sigma, v) + L \\ &\leq \langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A_\tau y_\tau - f_\tau, y_\tau - v \rangle + \langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A_\tau y_\tau - f_\tau, \hat{y}_\tau - y_\tau \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \ell)\phi(\sigma, \check{y}_\tau) + \ell\phi(\sigma, y_\tau) - \phi(\sigma, v) + L \\ &\leq (1 - \ell)[\langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A_\tau y_\tau - f_\tau, \check{y}_\tau - y_\tau \rangle + \phi(\sigma, \check{y}_\tau) - \phi(\sigma, y_\tau)] + L. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By multiplying relation (10) for $t - \tau$ by $(1 - \ell)$ and by setting $v = y$, we obtain the inequality

$$(1 - \ell)[\langle \hat{y}'_\tau(t - \tau) + \check{A}_\tau \check{y}_\tau - \check{f}_\tau, y_\tau - \check{y}_\tau \rangle + \phi(\check{\sigma}, y_\tau) - \phi(\check{\sigma}, \check{y}_\tau)] \geq 0.$$

By adding this quantity to the right-hand side in (11) and by making simple transformations, we obtain the desired inequality (9) with

$$R_\tau(t, v) = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + L,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= (1 - \ell)\langle \hat{y}'_\tau - \hat{y}'_\tau(t - \tau), \check{y}_\tau - y_\tau \rangle, \\ r_2 &= (1 - \ell)\langle \check{f}_\tau - f_\tau + A_\tau y_\tau - \check{A}_\tau \check{y}_\tau, \check{y}_\tau - y_\tau \rangle, \\ r_3 &= (1 - \ell)(\phi(\check{\sigma}, y_\tau) - \phi(\sigma, y_\tau) - \phi(\check{\sigma}, \check{y}_\tau) + \phi(\sigma, \check{y}_\tau)), \\ r_4 &= \phi(\sigma(t), \hat{y}_\tau) - \phi(t, \hat{y}_\tau) - \phi(\sigma(t), v) + \phi(t, v), \quad r_5 = \langle f - f_\tau, v - \hat{y}_\tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the integral of each term in $R_\tau(t, v)$. Note that the identity

$$\int_0^T (1 - \ell)g_\tau dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T g_\tau dt$$

holds for any piecewise constant function g_τ . Since $\hat{y}'_\tau(t) = (y_\tau(t) - \check{y}_\tau(t))/\tau$, it follows from inequality (4) and Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T r_1 dt &= \tau \int_0^T (1 - \ell)\langle \hat{y}'_\tau(t - \tau) - \hat{y}'_\tau, \hat{y}'_\tau \rangle dt = \frac{\tau}{2} \int_0^T \langle \hat{y}'_\tau(t - \tau) - \hat{y}'_\tau, \hat{y}'_\tau \rangle dt \\ &\leq \frac{\tau}{2} \int_0^T (\|\hat{y}'_\tau(t - \tau)\|_H^2 - \|\hat{y}'_\tau(t)\|_H^2) dt \leq \frac{\tau}{2} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{y^0 - u_0}{\tau} \right\|_H^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} C_0^2 \tau^2. \end{aligned}$$

By taking into account the strong monotonicity of \check{A}_τ , the estimate (8) for the quantity S_2 , and Lemma 4, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T r_2 dt &= \tau \int_0^T (1 - \ell)\langle f_\tau - \check{f}_\tau + \check{A}_\tau \check{y}_\tau - A_\tau y_\tau, \hat{y}'_\tau \rangle dt \\ &= \frac{\tau^2}{2} \int_0^T \langle \hat{f}'_\tau - \hat{A}'_\tau y_\tau, \hat{y}'_\tau \rangle dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle \check{A}_\tau y_\tau - \check{A}_\tau \check{y}_\tau, y_\tau - \check{y}_\tau \rangle dt \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^2}{2} (\|\hat{f}'_\tau\|_{V^*} + \|\hat{A}'_\tau y_\tau\|_{V^*}) \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_V \leq c\tau^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following estimates are similar to the estimate of S_3 in the proof of Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T r_3 dt &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\tilde{\sigma}(t)} (\phi_t(\xi, y_\tau) - \phi_t(\xi, \check{y}_\tau)) d\xi dt = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (\phi_t(\xi, y_\tau) - \phi_t(\xi, \check{y}_\tau)) d\xi dt \\ &= \frac{-\tau}{2} \int_0^T (\phi_t(\xi, y_\tau) - \phi_t(\xi, \check{y}_\tau)) d\xi \leq c\tau \|y_\tau - \check{y}_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}} = c\tau^2 \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq c\tau^2, \\ \int_0^T r_4 dt &= \int_0^T \int_t^{\sigma(t)} (\phi_t(\xi, \hat{y}_\tau) - \phi_t(\xi, v)) d\xi dt \leq c(v)\tau \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(v)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{D}(\phi)$. From Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^T r_5 dt \leq \|f - f_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}^*} \|v - \hat{y}_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq c\tau \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Finally, by taking into account Lemma 1 and inequality (7), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T L dt &\leq \int_0^T \|A\hat{y}_\tau - A_\tau y_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}^*} \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} dt \leq \int_0^T (\|A\hat{y}_\tau - A y_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}^*} + \|(A - A_\tau)y_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}^*}) \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} dt \\ &\leq (\tau M \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}^*} + M\tau \|y_\tau\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{V})}) \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq c\tau \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \end{aligned}$$

because $\hat{y}_\tau - y_\tau = \tau(\ell - 1)\hat{y}'_\tau$. By summing this estimate with the estimates for the integrals of the remaining terms in $R_\tau(t, v)$, we arrive at the definitive conclusion of the lemma.

4. EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION. ERROR ESTIMATE

Lemma 6. *Let \hat{y}_τ and \hat{y}_k be the solutions of the scheme (2) with time increments τ and $k = T/M$, respectively. Then $\|\hat{y}_\tau - \hat{y}_k\|_E \leq c(\tau + k)$, where c is a constant independent of τ and k .*

Proof. By Lemma 5, the inequality

$$\langle \hat{y}'_k + A\hat{y}_k - f, v - \hat{y}_k \rangle + \phi(t, v) - \phi(t, \hat{y}_k) \geq -R_k(t, v) \tag{12}$$

holds for $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in D(\phi)$; moreover, if $v \in \mathcal{D}(\phi)$, then $\int_0^T R_k(t, v(t)) dt \leq C(k^2 + k\|\hat{y}_k - v\|_{\mathcal{V}})$, $C = C(\|v\|_{\mathcal{V}})$.

By setting $v = \hat{y}_k$ in inequality (9) and $v = \hat{y}_\tau$ in (12) and by adding the resulting inequalities, we obtain $\langle \hat{y}'_k - \hat{y}'_\tau + A\hat{y}_k - A\hat{y}_\tau, \hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau \rangle \leq R_k(t, \hat{y}_\tau) + R_\tau(t, \hat{y}_k)$. Hence we have the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau\|_H^2 + 2\alpha \|\hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau\|_V^2 \leq 2(R_k(t, \hat{y}_\tau) + R_\tau(t, \hat{y}_k)).$$

We integrate this inequality with respect to $t \in (0, s)$, $s \leq T$, set $s = T$ on the right-hand side, use the estimates for the integrals of R_k and R_τ , and take into account the relation

$$\|\hat{y}_k(0) - \hat{y}_\tau(0)\|_H \leq \|\hat{y}_\tau(0) - u_0\|_H + \|\hat{y}_k(0) - u_0\|_H \leq c(k + \tau).$$

As a result, we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau)(s)\|_H^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^s \|\hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau\|_V^2 dt &\leq \|\hat{y}_k(0) - \hat{y}_\tau(0)\|_H^2 + c(\tau^2 + k^2) + c(\tau + k)\|\hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\leq c(\tau + k)^2 + c(\tau + k)\|\hat{y}_k - \hat{y}_\tau\|_{\mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the assertion of the lemma.

Theorem 1. *The following assertions hold.*

(a) *There exists a unique solution u of problem (1). It satisfies the inclusion $u \in H^1(0, T; V) \cap W_\infty^1(0, T; H)$.*

(b) *Let u and \bar{u} be the solutions of problem (1) with input data $\{u_0, f\}$ and $\{\bar{u}_0, \bar{f}\}$, respectively; then $\|\bar{u} - u\|_E \leq c(\|\bar{u}_0 - u_0\|_H + \|\bar{f} - f\|_{V^*})$.*

(c) *If \hat{y}_τ is the piecewise linear extension of the solution of the implicit scheme (2), then $\|u - \hat{y}_\tau\|_E \leq c\tau$.*

Proof. We start the proof from assertion (b). Set $v = \bar{u}(t)$ and $v = u(t)$ in inequality (1) defining u and \bar{u} , respectively. By adding the resulting inequalities, we obtain

$$\langle (\bar{u} - u)', \bar{u} - u \rangle + \langle A\bar{u} - Au, \bar{u} - u \rangle \leq \langle \bar{f} - f, \bar{u} - u \rangle \leq \|\bar{f} - f\|_{V^*} \|\bar{u} - u\|_V.$$

This implies the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\bar{u} - u\|_H^2 + \alpha \|\bar{u} - u\|_V^2 \leq \alpha^{-1} \|\bar{f} - f\|_{V^*}^2,$$

whence, in turn, we obtain the stability estimate in assertion (b); note that it guarantees the uniqueness of the solution.

To prove assertion (a), we arbitrarily fix $v \in \mathcal{D}(\phi)$. By integrating inequality (9), we obtain the relation

$$\int_0^T (\langle \hat{y}'_\tau + A\hat{y}_\tau - f, v - \hat{y}_\tau \rangle + \phi(t, v) - \phi(t, \hat{y}_\tau)) dt + \varepsilon_\tau \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon_\tau \leq c(\tau^2 + \tau \|\hat{y}_\tau - v\|_V). \quad (13)$$

From the a priori estimates, we have

$$\hat{y}_\tau, \hat{y}'_\tau \in E = L_2(0, T; V) \cap L_\infty(0, T; H);$$

moreover, $\|\hat{y}_\tau\|_E + \|\hat{y}'_\tau\|_E \leq C$ uniformly with respect to τ . It follows from Lemma 6 that the sequence $\{\hat{y}_\tau, \tau = T/N, N = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in E . Therefore, it strongly converges to some element $u \in E$; in a standard way, one can show that \hat{y}'_τ weakly converges to u' in $L_2(0, T; V)$, and $\|u\|_E + \|u'\|_E \leq C$. By virtue of the lower semicontinuity of the functional $v \rightarrow \phi(t, v)$, $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\phi(t, u) \leq \liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \phi(t, \hat{y}_\tau);$$

i.e., $u \in D(\phi)$. Therefore, by taking into account the continuity of the operators $A(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, and by passing to the limit in (13), we obtain the inequality

$$\int_0^T (\langle u'(t) + A(t)u(t) - f(t), v(t) - u(t) \rangle + \phi(t, v(t)) - \phi(t, u(t))) dt \geq 0.$$

This implies inequality (1), because v is arbitrary. Since the estimate

$$\|\hat{y}_\tau(0) - u_0\|_H \leq C_0\tau$$

holds by Lemma 2, it follows that $u(0) = u_0$ and u is a solution of problem (1).

To prove assertion (c), it suffices to pass to the limit as $k \rightarrow 0$ in the estimate in Lemma 6.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 10-01-00629).

REFERENCES

1. Savaré, G., Weak Solutions and Maximal Regularity for Abstract Evolution Inequalities, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 1996, no. 6, pp. 377–418.
2. Rulla, J., Error Analysis for Implicit Approximations to Solutions to Cauchy Problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 1996, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 68–87.
3. Gajewski, H., Gröger, K., and Zacharias, K., *Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen*, Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1974. Translated under the title *Nelineinye operatornye uravneniya i operatornye differentsial'nye uravneniya*, Moscow: Mir, 1978.
4. Lions, J.-L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites nonlinéaires*, Paris: Dunod, 1969. Translated under the title *Nekotorye metody resheniya nelineinykh kraevykh zadach*, Moscow: Mir, 1972.