

Methodology of Innovative Economics

Kundakchyan R.M.

Mokichev S.D.

Kazan Federal University, Institute of Management, Economics and Finance, Kazan, 420008, Russia

Doi:10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n24p

Abstract

The article explains the methodology of exploring innovation economy. New economic theory and the transition to the priority of innovative economic laws generate new theoretical problems and lead to the emergence of new areas of economic research methodology.

Keywords: Innovative economy, economic theory, methodology, method, hypothesis, modernization, transformation

1. Introduction

In the economic system, there is a radical change in the dependencies and regularities. Within the industrial system the economic processes lose their pattern of development inherent to them for centuries. Previously, the growing points of the economic system were formed on its local elements within the individual entities, and then extended spatially, forcing out the preceding economic organizations in the competitive struggle. The latter either ceased to exist or turned into marginalized forms of activity on the background of qualitatively and quantitatively dominant new economic models.

Similar processes can be observed today, but only in the context of transformation. In terms of the economic system transformation the focus is on the fact what is primary: either essential patterns and regularities of occurrence and operating of the innovative economic system, which are formed in a qualitatively different form, or the transformation of regularities in the industrial economic system.

Increase in the integrity of the new economic system and the transition to the priority of innovative economic laws generate new theoretical problems and lead to the emergence of new areas of economic research methodology.

The methodology of innovative economics as a new branch of scientific knowledge is based on the methods and models of the innovative economic processes research to identify regularities, trends, and implications. It is possible to suggest a new stage in the formation and development of the methodology of innovative economics, the reasons, the content, and the effects of innovation processes in the dynamics of the modern economic system. In our opinion, the novelty of the provisions put forward consists in understanding the methodology of innovative economics as a product of the whole previous progressive development of economics, which embodies the most important and time-proven achievements of all the scientific schools and trends. Secondly, the novelty consists in essential specification of the innovative economics subject, which includes, on the one hand, the problems of innovation and inherent modernization, and on the other hand, the theoretical and practical aspects of the property relations transformation. The third aspect is the development of the research methodology for the theory of the triple helix and determination of the innovation degree at the enterprises [6], [14].

The specifics of economic relations of the innovation economy consist in their complex nature. Hence the need to have a methodology that implements the heuristic function of the research subject is obvious. Any theoretical system of knowledge makes sense only if it not just describes and explains the required subject area, but is also a tool for finding new knowledge. The structural theory of innovation economy includes functions, principles and laws that reflect the essence of innovation, the original reasons, the value factors, and the logic of the innovative economics which fundamentally distinguish it from the industrial stage of development and, above all, a willingness to change and operate in a situation of constant update in production, structures, and institutions [5], [2].

From a functional point of view innovative economics is a set of interrelated provisions describing, explaining and predicting a variety of events of formation and development of the national innovation system. Therefore, the innovative economics performs synthetic, explanatory and predictive function. In addition, it performs a methodological function, as it serves as a basis for diverse methods, techniques and tools of research. "An Essay on the nature and significance of

56 economic science" by Robbins [13] is often cited as an example of the approach, claiming the irrelevance of empirical
57 tests to determine the truth or falsity of economic theory. As a supporter of radical apriorism Robbins considered the
58 economic theory as a system of logical conclusions from a set of postulates, which, in turn, are generated by
59 introspection and are not subject to empirical testing. [3]

60 The direct opposite of radical apriorism is an ultra empiricism, which adherents refuse to accept any postulate or
61 premise that can not be directly verified. In other words, an ultraempirist offers to start with the facts, not the prerequisites
62 [11], [12].

63 The leader of the British historical school W. Cunningham contrasted two ways of research: from events to their
64 causes ("to identify economic phenomena and to seek conditions that caused them to life") and from cause to effect ("to
65 highlight economic reasons and try to deduce necessary consequences from them "). [4] According to O. Ananyin, the
66 historical school considered abstract theoretical analysis as secondary in relation to the empirical study of the historical
67 experience of economic activity which was viewed as the main task of an economist [1].

68 Building models of innovative economics suggests that theoretical knowledge of innovation appears as a moment
69 of discursive innovation practice, and basic assumptions and models, as certain components of dispositions and acts of
70 thinking (observation, measurement, and search for rules of correspondence between empirical and theoretical
71 languages, modeling, conceptualization, and construction of theoretical, ideal objects).

72 One can come to the empirical law of innovative economy development through industrial generalization of
73 innovation process. The challenge is to turn the assembled system of innovative facts into the conceptual system to give
74 them meaning and significance. Hypothesis and innovative economics, revealing empirical constructivism, are intended
75 to explain the reality and must be confirmed: innovative facts - empirical laws of innovation economy - hypothesis -
76 confirmation.

77 Thus, the way to the innovative economics has its own characteristics. The center of gravity is shifted toward
78 empiricism and realism. The following sequence in the development of the methodology for forming hypotheses and the
79 innovative economics is formed: empirical realism - active realism - streamlined realism. This is the basic outline of the
80 innovative economics methodology, but there are various modifications of modeling the future of modern economy. For
81 example, cognitive human activity consists in the construction of an innovative economic model, which should be
82 adequate to the world innovative laws. It is appropriate to use here the concept of "innovative empirical adequacy", which
83 is understood as the coincidence of empirical manifestations of the theoretical model of the innovation economy and the
84 most innovative economy.

85 Thus, the explanatory hypotheses of constructive empiricism are recognized as true when matching the innovation
86 economy. They are locally true if they are adequate to the innovation economy. Means of achieving objectivity is the unity
87 of subject and method of innovative economics. As emphasized by Kuhn, "paradigm formation and the appearance on its
88 basis a more esoteric type of research is a sign of maturity of any scientific discipline" [10].

89 Today the state of innovative economics is characterized by a variety of theoretical approaches and conceptual
90 provisions, the emergence and development of new areas, the search for new premises, and principles of universal
91 constants, which would contribute to the establishment of a clear recognized paradigm of the innovation economy. There
92 is a distinction between the "realism of the outside world" («World Realism»), which implies that the object of the
93 innovative economics is an innovative system, as it is (and not as we would like to see it) and «Truth Realism», which
94 implies that we judge the theory of innovation system comparing its results with real activity, and not only using the
95 criteria of its internal consistency and satisfaction of initial axioms as a basis. But this naturally raises the question of what
96 constitutes an "objective innovation system." It is obvious that this approach is closely related to positivism [11], [12]. If
97 one includes in the concept of "real world" not only existing institutions and the state of science and technology in
98 innovation system, but primarily the sum of notions of the subjects of innovative relations about their own innovation and
99 innovations of others, about their own position on the modernization of their potential on a new basis, the concept of
100 «World» becomes similar to the one that you can find in the strategic management - innovative system operates in both
101 domestic and foreign environment.

102 "Truth Realism" as a criterion in relation to the innovation system allows to narrow the scope of analysis. Inside the
103 criterion of "Truth Realism" one can distinguish between procedures which involve checking on the basis of a specific
104 innovation process and procedures, which check the logic of the innovation process in the innovation system.

105 2. Methodology

106 Each national innovation system is specific. No basic theoretical model, even a "good" one and generally accepted in the
107 scientific community can not be directly applied to economic analysis and forecasting. It requires the development of

110 more detailed models that take into account a multitude of specific variables in a particular innovation system where this
111 theoretical model will serve as a basis.

112 Neoclassical innovative economics does not adequately describe the features of a technologically advancing
113 market economy and, at best, merely states that advancement, for example, by means of production functions.

114 Orthodoxy turns away from the real problems of technological progress, which often leads to a negative
115 consequences and deep decline in production.

116 Evolutionary innovative economics sees economic development as an irreversible process of growing complexity,
117 diversity and productivity of production due to recurrent change of technologies, products, organizations, and institutions.

118 To develop the innovative economics from the odds-formal logic it is necessary to point out a number of
119 fundamental principles which one should adhere to.

120 The first principle is the recognition of the objective nature of the innovation process, which forms the subject of the
121 economics. This means that all the innovative relations really exist outside of our consciousness.

122 The second principle is the analysis of innovative forms of relationships based on their classification and
123 comparison of certain features in order to reveal their essence, or sustainable qualitative determination, which is
124 characterized by a special, distinctive location and movement of the innovation economy in the economic system. Such
125 analysis from the individual forms to their common core content is called the empirical method or the movement of
126 knowledge from a single form to the abstract that is to substantiality of its content [16].

127 The third principle of formal-logical method of innovation economy cognition is the reverse ascent from the abstract
128 (economic system) back to the specific (innovation system), but as a manifestation in it the cognized essence that is to
129 essentially-specific. This method of cognition from the general to the specific is referred to as theoretical method. A
130 characteristic feature of the modern science is intrascientific reflection which is a study of the innovation economy
131 properties, supplemented by a new approach that is the use of forms and methods of scientific knowledge of innovation
132 processes, new ways of raising questions relating to the methodology of the study of the innovation economy. It is
133 proposed to understand under such a methodology the general view of the innovation economy, the object and the
134 method of analysis, the purposes and methods of developing the innovative economics, the balance between theory and
135 reality. The formulation "general view" captures the essence of the methodology pretty well, in which scientists and
136 economists have repeatedly drawn attention to the significance of the individual energy in the economic development of
137 innovative processes. Among them are K.Marx, V.Sombart, M.Weber, A. Bogdanov, J.Schumpeter. According to J.
138 Maynard Keynes in particular "an appreciable part of our actions, because they are aimed at something positive, depend
139 on spontaneous optimism rather than on rigorous calculations based on moral, hedonistic or economic motives. It is our
140 innate desire for activity that drives the world" [7]. It is worth mentioning Weber's "spirit of capitalism", the "entrepreneurial
141 natures" of Sombart and the role of the entrepreneur in the "creative destruction" of the economic equilibrium of
142 Schumpeter. An innovative person and his tendency for the "creative destruction" have the world-historical significance
143 and are found in any economic system [16]. A variety of manifestation forms for human innovation can include two
144 aspects: information and energy. As information it means the data about innovation and innovative experience. As energy
145 it suggests a mobilization force that transforms the information into a system of innovation priorities and values, ensuring
146 a high level of productive innovative motivation or degree of innovation commitment of an innovative person.

147 The choice of methodology, as well as the choice of the investigated problem of the innovation economy, is, in
148 some way, the art of competent identification of the innovation economy problem. But this is only the first part of the
149 research problem. The second important issue is the right choice of the research methodology for the problems of
150 innovation economy.

151 The criteria for selection of research methodology are as follows: the factor of scientific character; the role of
152 human innovation; the factor of dynamics; the factor of target; the factor of creative destruction.

153 Methods of research:

- 154 - Empirical, including organizational, functional-structural and functional-cost innovation.
- 155 - Scientific - conceptual (socio-economic, diachronic, program-target).
- 156 - Pragmatic (technocratic, informational).

157 Methodology as a way of exploring the innovation economy is diverse. It can be classified as follows:

- 158 - scientific methodology and methodology of different types of knowledge depending on the area of knowledge
159 of innovation economy, where the methodology is used;
- 160 - quantitative methodology and qualitative methodology depending on the type of innovative relations;
- 161 - inductive methodology, interpretive methodology, experimental methodology, modeling methodology,
162 evolutionary methodology, depending on the type of method used;
- 163 - universal methodology, general scientific methodology and science specific methodology according to the

164 levels.

165 According to E. Korotkov the content of the research methodology includes: the purpose and the object of research
166 (current and future); approaches (systemic, aspective, conceptual, empirical, pragmatic, scientific); guidelines and
167 limitations (rigid, predictable, soft, unpredictable); ways and means of research; research methods (specific, formally
168 logical and valid) [9].

169

170 3. Conclusion

171

172 Hypothesis and innovative economics disclose the streamlined realism and are aimed at solving the problems of
173 economy modernization. Hypothesis and innovative economics containing constructive rationalism have the most
174 sophisticated methodological framework. This leads to the discovery of new ideas, new activities of enterprises and
175 organizations that will reshape the economy and generate a whole new industry. The main criterion for their evaluation is
176 the novelty and usefulness of economic modernization. Reliance on a given model designs the future that is based on the
177 refinement of the existing reality.

178 Methodology and the style of innovation economy cognition are closely inter-related and represent the general
179 principles of research for the study of innovation economy, ensure interdisciplinary synthesis, develop the outlines of the
180 innovation economy problem and its method of verification, analyze the ideological standards of knowledge.

181

182 References

183

- 184 Ananyin O. Economy: Science and / or Art // *Voprosy ekonomiki*.-2007.-#11.-pp.4-5
- 185 Bagautdinova, N.G., Galeeva, G.T., Kundakchyan, R.M. Development of the corporate management system in the modern context //
186 *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 27(13), 2013, pp.43-47.
- 187 Blaug M. (1992) *The Methodology of Economics, or How Economists Explain*, Cambridge Surveys of Economic Literature, 316P.
- 188 Cunningham W.A. (1997) *Plea For Pure Theory*, The Economic Review, Routledge and Thames Press, Vol.2,7, P.33.
- 189 Gusakov M.A. The institutional environment for development of breakthrough technologies // *Innovatsii*.-2012.-#6.-pp. 23-29
- 190 Etzkowitz, H., S. Fuchs, N. Gupta, C. Kemelgor and M. Ranga (2007), 'The Coming Gender Revolution in Science, In: E. J. Hackett, O.
191 Amsterdamska, M. Lynch and J. Wajcman (eds) *New Handbook of Science and Technology Studies* (3rd edition), Cambridge:
192 MIT Press.
- 193 Keynes J.M. (1936) *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*, Palgrave Macmillan (2007 edit.), 472P.
- 194 Kundakchyan R., Grigoryeva N. Econometric Modelling Of Indicators Of Innovation Activity Level, *American Journal of Applied Sciences*
195 11 (9): 1578-1583, 2014.
- 196 Korotkov E.M. Investigating Management System.-M.: DEKA, 2003.-336p.
- 197 Kuhn T. (1962) *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, University of Chicago Press (2012 Edit.), 264P.
- 198 Mokichev S.V. *Methodology of Economic Research*.-Kazan: Kazan University, 2009.-244c.
- 199 Mokichev, S.D. The Influence of Cooperative Connections On Innovation activities of enterprises, *World Applied Sciences Journal*
200 Volume 27, Issue 2, 2013, pp.212-215.
- 201 Robbins L. (1945) *An Essay on the nature and significance of economic science*, MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED ST. MARTIN'S
202 STREET, LONDON
- 203 Safullin L.N. Fatkhiev A.M. Grigorian K.A. The Triple Helix Model of Innovation / Safullin L.N. Fatkhiev A.M. Grigorian K.A. //
204 *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. Vol. 5, № 18 (2014).
- 205 Sallet J. Paisley E. Innovation Clusters Create Competitive Communities, *Huff Post Social News*. September 21, 2009, p.3