

ON LATTICE-UNIVERSAL VARIETIES OF ALGEBRAS

V.B. Repnitskii

1. Introduction and formulation of main results

If a lattice L is embeddable into the lattice of subalgebras of a certain algebra of a given class K , then we say that L is representable by a lattice of subalgebras of the algebra from K . The class K is said to be *lattice-universal* if any lattice is representable by the lattice of subalgebras of a certain algebra from K (the term “lattice-universal class” was suggested to the author by L.N. Shevrin). The classical Whitman theorem (see [1]) on representation of lattices by the lattices of subgroups ascertains in our terminology that the class of all groups is lattice-universal. Hence and from the fact that the lattice of subgroups of a group is a sublattice of the lattice of semisubgroups it follows automatically that the class of all semigroups is lattice-universal, too. Some proper lattice-universal subclasses in the class of semigroups, which stand far from the class of groups, were found by the author (see in [2]). Among those, we cite the class of all semilattices and the class of all commutative nilsemigroups of the index two.

The above-mentioned classes of algebras form varieties. This circumstance naturally leads to formulation of the following problem: *For the class of algebras of either this or that concrete signature, characterize all lattice-universal varieties of these algebras.* In the present article we consider this problem in the case of semigroups, associative rings, and lattices. The following three theorems represent the main results.

Theorem 1.1. *A variety of semigroups V is lattice-universal if and only if V satisfies one of the conditions:*

- (1) *V contains a semigroup which is not nilpotent extension of the rectangular band of groups;*
- (2) *V is a periodic variety and any lattice is representable by the lattice of semigroups of a certain group from V .*

Theorem 1.2. *Any non-nilpotent variety of associative rings is lattice-universal.*

Theorem 1.3. *The variety of lattices is lattice-universal if and only if it is nontrivial.*

Let us note that Theorem 1.3 is a strengthening of the corresponding result by Hong, who considered in [3] embeddings of finite lattices into lattices of sublattices.

As we can see, the question on a complete description of lattice-universal varieties of semigroups is reduced by Theorem 1.1 to a description of corresponding group periodic varieties. The latter problem seems to be very difficult. Until most recent time the author did not know any example of a proper non-Abelian variety of groups V , for which the answer to the question: Whether V is lattice-universal? were known. Certain movement along this direction was done by the author recently. So, for example, it was established that for arbitrary integer odd number $k \geq 665$ the variety of all groups of the exponent of k is lattice-universal. Moreover, it was shown that nilpotency of a group (ring) implies the fulfillment of a nontrivial identity in the corresponding lattice of subgroups

©1997 by Allerton Press, Inc.

Authorization to photocopy individual items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Allerton Press, Inc. for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$50.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.